10:37:38 2 10:37:40 3 10:37:42 4 10:37:43 10:37:49 10:37:49 6 7 10:37:50 8 10:37:52 9 10:37:55 10:37:59 10 10:38:00 11 10:38:01 12 10:38:03 13 10:38:05 14 10:38:05 15 10:38:07 **16** 10:38:09 17 10:38:11 18 10:38:13 19 10:38:16 20

10:38:18 21

10:38:19 22

10:38:20 23

10:38:22 24

10:38:26 25

do you consent to have your testimony audio and videotaped?

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. PEEBLES:

Q. Mr. Bohrer, what was in the briefcase that you brought into court today? What's in the briefcase?

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge, relevance.

MS. PEEBLES: I think the Judge wants to know and I'd like to know.

THE COURT: No, I don't want to know. I just don't want it on the witness stand. I'll sustain the objection.

MS. PEEBLES: Well, does it have anything to do with the Heidi Allen case?

MR. MOODY: Judge --

THE COURT: If you want to -- did you issue a subpoena duces tecum for his documents?

MS. PEEBLES: No, I didn't, Judge, but he brought them here in court.

THE COURT: Sustained then.

- Q. Are you a Canadian citizen?
- A. I don't know if I'm dual or not.
- Q. You don't know?

10:38:28

1

- 10:38:32 2
- 10:38:34 3
- 10:38:37 4
- 10:38:40 5
- 10:38:42 6
- 10:38:44
- 10:38:49 8
- 10:38:54 9
- 10:39:01 10
- 10:39:04 11
- 10:39:06 12
- 10:39:06 13
- 10:39:11 14
- 10:39:13 15
- 10:39:17 16
- 10:39:18 17
- 10:39:19 18
- 10:39:20 19
- 10:39:21 20
- 10:39:22 21
- 10:39:24 22
- 10:39:25 23
- 10:39:28 24
- 10:39:30 25

- A. I was naturalized at six.
- Q. Were you born in Canada?
- A. Yes. I was born in Quebec.
- Q. So that's where you're from, Canada?
- A. I was born there, yep.
- Q. You have been there recently?
- A. Not since I was adopted.
- Q. Now, you have dual citizenship?
- A. I spoke with a Canadian at one time and he says he doesn't see why I wouldn't be and I told him I was naturalized.
 - Q. When were you --
- **A.** And he says well, Canada still recognizes -- should still recognize you.
 - Q. When were you naturalized? When did you --

MR. MOODY: Your Honor, I'm going to object.

What is the relevance of this?

THE COURT: Where are we going with this?

MS. PEEBLES: It's relevant, Your Honor.

THE COURT: How? You can say it's relevant.

Tell me how it's relevant.

MS. PEEBLES: It's relevant to his credibility. I have other things that I'm going to introduce about how he wound up in Oswego County.

MR. MOODY: So she's impeaching her own

1 10:39:33 10:39:34 2 3 10:39:35 4 10:39:39 5 10:39:40 10:39:42 10:39:42 7 8 10:39:44 9 10:39:47 10 11 12 10:39:52 13 10:39:52 14 10:39:54 15 10:39:56 **16** 10:39:59 17 10:40:00 18 10:40:02 19 10:40:03 20 10:40:05 21

10:40:08 22

10:40:19 23

10:40:22 24

10:40:25 **25**

witness now?

MS. PEEBLES: No. I'm asking him questions about his background which I'm entitled to do despite the fact --

MR. MOODY: I want the relevance of what his nationality is.

THE COURT: What's the relevance of whether he's a dual citizen or not a dual citizen?

MS. PEEBLES: He may not be a US citizen and that's what I'm questioning him about.

THE COURT: Why is that relevant?

MS. PEEBLES: Because he has a felony conviction out of Wisconsin.

THE COURT: Why's that relevant to what he may or may not know about Heidi Allen?

MS. PEEBLES: Because, Your Honor, it was for unlawful imprisonment of a woman.

MR. MOODY: So now we're impeaching our own witness again.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection. I don't see whether he's a dual citizen or not is relevant.

Q. Do you have a history of violence against women?

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge, relevance.

THE COURT: Ms. Peebles, he's your witness.

1 10:40:26 2 10:40:29 3 10:40:31 10:40:32 4 10:40:35 5 10:40:37 6 7 10:40:42 10:40:43 8 10:40:45 9 10:40:47 10 10:40:49 11 10:40:54 12 10:40:59 13 10:41:00 14 10:41:03 15 10:41:06 16 10:41:07 17 10:41:09 18 10:41:12 19 10:41:14 20 10:41:15 21 10:41:21 22 10:41:24 23 10:41:29 24 10:41:32 **25**

MS. PEEBLES: I'm asking him if he did, I'm not impeaching him. He has an opportunity to say yes or no. How am I impeaching him?

THE COURT: Answer the question, Mr. Bohrer.

- A. Can you repeat the question?
- **Q.** Do you have a history of violence against women?

MR. MOODY: Objection, foundation. Can we have a time frame, can we have anything?

THE COURT: I'll go with time frame. Do you have a time frame, Ms. Peebles?

MS. PEEBLES: Yeah. Just for the record, I don't have to make my witness a credible, wonderful person because I call them.

THE COURT: I'm not denying that, Ms. Peebles, but you cannot attack your own witness the minute he sits down on the witness stand.

MS. PEEBLES: I'm not attacking him.

THE COURT: You're backdooring the attack, Ms. Peebles. Answer the question when or give a time frame for Mr. Bohrer.

- Q. Mr. Bohrer, between 1981 and 1996, do you have a history of violence against women?
 - A. I don't consider it as violence.
 - Q. Well, what do you mean by that?
 - A. I didn't hit any woman.

1 10:41:38 2 10:41:42 10:41:43 3 10:41:44 4 10:41:49 10:41:51 7 10:41:53 10:41:56 10:41:58 10:42:00 10 10:42:02 11 10:42:04 12 10:42:06 13 10:42:09 14 10:42:12 15 10:42:14 16 10:42:15 17 10:42:17 18 10:42:17 19 10:42:20 **20** 10:42:21 21 10:42:22 22 23 10:42:24 24 10:42:25 **25**

Q. Do you date a female by the name of Tina?A. Yep.

MR. MOODY: I'm going to object where we're going with this. Are we going to -- are we going to establish his violent history or are we going to ask him what he knows about this case?

THE COURT: What is relevant about his history? He is not on trial right now. The question is what does he or does he not know about the Heidi Allen case.

MS. PEEBLES: Just so I'm clear so I know what I'm allowed to question my own witness on, is the Court restricting me to simply asking him only about the Heidi Allen case and nothing more? I want it clear.

THE COURT: Are you trying to prosecute your own witness, Ms. Peebles?

MS. PEEBLES: Am I trying to prosecute them?
THE COURT: Yes.

MS. PEEBLES: Well, if I had the authority to I would, but no.

THE COURT: It sounds like you're putting him on trial, Ms. Peebles.

MS. PEEBLES: Judge, I'm trying --

THE COURT: The relevant issue to this case is what he may or may not have said or what is or not in

1 10:42:28 2 10:42:32 3 10:42:35 4 10:42:37 10:42:39 10:42:41 6 7 10:42:45 8 10:42:48 10:42:52 9 10:42:54 10 10:42:55 11 10:42:59 12 10:43:00 13 10:43:01 14 10:43:05 15 10:43:06 16 10:43:11 17 10:43:15 18 10:43:17 19 10:43:22 20 10:43:24 21 10:43:28 22 10:43:40 23 10:43:51 **24**

10:43:53 **25**

his knowledge regarding Heidi Allen. How is whether or not he was or was not violent toward women in a time frame of fifteen years relevant to what he knows about Heidi Allen?

MS. PEEBLES: Well, Your Honor, I think it's obvious that the theory of the government is that she was abducted and kidnapped and murdered, so that would be a violent act, and I am trying to establish some background on Mr. Bohrer.

THE COURT: I'm going to deny it.

MS. PEEBLES: Okay, so --

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection. Excuse me.

- **Q.** All right, when did you arrive in Oswego County, Mr. Bohrer?
 - A. Fall of 1991 I believe.
- Q. And when you arrived in Oswego County in 1991, where were you living.
 - A. On -- when I arrived?
- Q. Yeah, when you arrived, when you arrived in Oswego County in 1991, where did you move to? Where did you live?
- **A.** Well, I'm -- I'm not sure I understand the question because I originally came to be best man for a wedding at Spinners.
 - Q. Okay.

1 10:43:54 10:43:55 2 3 10:43:55 4 10:43:56 5 10:43:57 6 10:43:58 10:44:01 7 8 10:44:03 9 10:44:04 10:44:07 10 10:44:12 11 10:44:22 12 10:44:26 13 10:44:27 14 10:44:32 15 10:44:37 **16** 10:44:41 17 10:44:45 18 10:44:51 19 10:44:52 **20** 10:44:54 21 10:44:58 22 10:45:01 23 10:45:01 24 10:45:05 25

THE COURT: At where?

THE WITNESS: Spinners.

THE COURT: Okay.

- Q. Now Spinners?
- A. In New Haven.
- **Q.** Okay, is that where you went when you first arrived in Oswego County is Spinners?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Were you living at Spinners in 1991?
- A. Eventually, because after the wedding I -- since I was discharged from IBM, I thought well, fishing, whatever, you know, I got the rest of my life to figure out what I want to do.
- Q. Okay, so you -- you were in Wisconsin before you moved to Oswego County, right?
 - A. Yeah, but not directly.
 - Q. What do you mean by that?
 - A. I came to Oswego County in ninety-one.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. I left Milwaukee in eighty-one.
 - Q. Okay, and oh, so where were you --
- **A.** I was working for IBM Research and Development for ten years.
- Q. Between 1981 and the time you moved to Oswego County?

10:45:05

10:45:08

10:45:11 3

10:45:13 4

10:45:14 5

10:45:18 6

10:45:23 7

10:45:24 8

10:45:30 9

10:45:37 10

10:45:38 11

10:45:40 12

10:45:41 13

10:45:42 14

10:45:45 **15**

10:45:46 16

10:45:49 17

10:45:51 18

10:45:54 19

10:45:55 20

10:45:58 21

10:46:00 22

10:46:02 23

10:46:05 24

10:46:06 25

A. Yep.

Q. Okay, so when you moved to Oswego County in 1991, you were retired.

A. Correct.

Q. Now, do you remember -- did you -- did you live at Spinners in 1991 or were you saying you were just there for a wedding so I'm clear?

A. No. I only stayed at Spinners for that weekend of the wedding. I might have stayed the rest of the week or went back.

Q. Okay, so where did you go after you went to this wedding?

A. Few days later.

Q. Where did you move to in Oswego County after you went to that wedding?

A. Where did I go?

Q. Yeah. Where did you move to -- so you weren't living at Spinners, but where did you move, where were you staying overnight, where were you living?

A. Back -- back to Duchess County where I lived.

Q. Duchess County?

A. Yeah, that's where IBM is.

Q. Okay, when did you move to Oswego County? I guess I thought you told me --

A. After the wedding and I hung out and met a few

1 10:46:09 10:46:13 2 3 10:46:19 4 10:46:25 5 10:46:27 6 10:46:33 10:46:38 7 8 10:46:45 9 10:46:48 10:46:50 10 10:46:51 11 10:46:52 **12** 10:46:56 13 10:46:57 14 10:46:59 15 10:47:00 16 10:47:07 17 10:47:11 18 10:47:12 19 10:47:15 20

10:47:17 21

10:47:23 22

10:47:27 **23**

10:47:36 **24**

10:47:37 **25**

people and said well, this would be a good place to move, it's cheaper, now that I'm on a fixed income. I thought I'd take my crack at fishing.

- **Q.** So was that 1991?
- **A.** I had an idea for wetland farming to help increase wetlands that were being depleted.
- **Q.** Okay, so 1991 you moved to Oswego County? Do you know when you moved to Oswego County?
 - A. Yes, the fall.
 - Q. 0f 1991.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. All right, is that when you moved into Spinners?
 - A. No.
- Q. Where were you living when you actually moved to Oswego County?
- A. I had acquired a place to live from a person that lived in New Haven that owned a four-bedroom ranch house.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. And he agreed to rent it to me.
 - **Q.** And how long did you stay there?
- **A.** So I went back to Beacon, rented a U-haul and came back to New Haven, filled the house up with my furniture and met the neighbors, and that was -- that was it, you know.
 - Q. So how long did you stay at that apartment where you

10:47:40 1

10:47:42 2

10:47:45 **3**

10:47:49 4

10:47:52 5

10:47:58 6

10:48:00 7

10:48:03 8

10:48:03 9

10:48:05 10

10:48:06 11

10:48:08 12

10:48:09 13

10:48:13 14

10:48:19 15

10:48:21 **16**

10:48:23 17

10:48:25 18

10:48:32 19

10:48:33 20

10:48:35 21

10:48:37 22

10:48:40 23

10:48:42 24

10:48:45 25

were living when you moved in there in 1991?

- A. It was a four-bedroom ranch house.
- **Q.** How long were you at the four-bedroom ranch house? You were renting a room?
 - A. Maybe -- renting a room?
 - Q. Were you -- were you occupying the whole house?
- A. I wasn't renting a room, I was renting the whole house.
- Q. Oh, okay, I thought you moved in with him, I'm sorry, I misunderstood.
 - A. Moved in with who?
 - Q. I misunderstood what you said.
- **A.** There was a gentleman that frequented Spinners that owned the four-bedroom ranch house on Mason Road.
 - Q. And you lived there by yourself?
 - A. And I lived thereby myself.
 - Q. Gotcha, okay, so how long did you stay there?
- A. I believe a little over two years, maybe three, two I guess.
 - Q. And where were --
 - A. Definitely two winters.
 - Q. Where were you living in April of 1994?
 - A. Spinners.
 - Q. Okay, and Spinners is located where?
 - A. On six and 104B.

1 10:48:51 10:48:55 2 3 10:48:56 4 10:48:59 5 10:49:01 6 10:49:04 7 10:49:05 8 10:49:08 9 10:49:09 10:49:11 10 10:49:13 11 10:49:15 12 10:49:16 13 10:49:19 14 10:49:26 **15** 10:49:33 16 10:49:35 17 10:49:36 18 10:49:39 19 10:49:40 20 10:49:42 21 10:49:49 22 10:49:51 23 10:49:53 24 25

- Q. And how far is Spinners from the D&W Convenience Store?
 - A. Probably less than a half a mile.
- **Q.** So you're familiar with where -- you were familiar back then with where the D&W Convenience Store was?
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. And did you go to the D&W Convenience Store?
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. Did you know Heidi Allen?
 - A. Not really.
 - Q. Did she make you sandwiches?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So you knew who she was?
- **A.** Just -- I mean she didn't have a name tag or anything like that. I didn't really know her name.

MR. MOODY: I'm sorry, I can't understand him while he's coughing.

THE COURT: Do you need water?

THE WITNESS: I'm getting it.

MR. MOODY: If you can have him repeat the answer once he's done.

THE WITNESS: What was the question again please?

MS. PEEBLES: I'd have to have it read back. (Whereupon, the court reporter read back the

10:50:08

1

10:50:08 2

10:50:16 3

10:50:20 4

10:50:24 5

10:50:26

10:50:27 **7**

10:50:30 8

10:50:30 9

10:50:30 10

10:50:33 11

10:50:33 12

10:50:34 13

10:50:36 14

10:50:38 **15**

10:50:41 **16**

10:50:44 17

10:50:46 **18**

10:50:52 19

10:50:53 **20**

10:50:56 21

10:50:58 22

10:51:00 23

10:51:01 24

10:51:02 25

last question and answer).

A. Yep. In fact out of a hundred waitresses at convenience stores, diners or whatever, none of them wear name tags, and I probably maybe know two or three names to this day.

Q. But you --

A. It's not uncommon for me not to know somebody's name.

Q. Right.

A. Because I don't get personal with people in the stores.

Q. Okay, but you --

A. And you can ask any one of them.

Q. But you knew who she was.

A. I was aware of who she was, she made my sandwiches.

Q. Okay, fair enough. How often would you go in there to get sandwiches?

A. Probably every day sometimes. Not necessarily because she was there.

Q. So I -- you were familiar --

A. Just a convenience store.

Q. And you were familiar with the layout of the convenience store?

A. I'm not blind.

Q. And did you see where the work schedule was hanging

1 10:51:04 10:51:05 2 10:51:06 3 4 10:51:08 5 10:51:11 6 10:51:14 7 10:51:20 10:51:23 8 10:51:25 9 10:51:27 10 10:51:28 11 10:51:28 12 10:51:32 13 10:51:32 14 10:51:36 15 10:51:39 **16** 10:51:40 17 10:51:41 18 10:51:43 19 10:51:45 **20** 10:51:46 21 10:51:47 22 10:51:49 23 10:51:52 24

10:51:53 25

at the convenience store?

Michael Bohrer - Direct

- A. No.
- **Q.** You never -- and you never said anything about it to anybody about the work schedule?
 - A. When?
- **Q.** Did you -- I guess my question is did you know or observe where the work schedule was hanging at the D&W?
 - A. No, never.
- Q. Did you ever tell anybody that you knew where the work schedule was?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you ever write it down and hold it in any kind of a box?

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge, leading.

THE COURT: I'll allow it.

- A. Hum?
- Q. Did you ever --

THE COURT: Ask the question again.

Q. Did you ever write that information down and keep it in a box?

THE COURT: Before he answers the question,

I'm not sure what information -- are you looking for a specific schedule or the alleged location of the schedule?

MS. PEEBLES: The alleged location of the

10:51:55 1

10:51:55 2

10:51:59

10:52:02 4

10:52:11 5

10:52:15

6

10:52:17 7

10:52:21 8

10:52:26 9

10:52:28 10

10:52:30 11

10:52:31 12

10:52:33 **13**

10:52:35 14

10:52:39 15

10:52:42 16

10:52:47 17

10:52:52 18

10:52:56 19

10:53:01 20

10:53:06 21

10:53:06 22

10:53:08 23

10:53:09 24

10:53:12 25

schedule.

THE COURT: Okay.

A. If you are referring to anything that was in the box that I willingly gave over to the investigators, I -- I'd have to look at the document to refresh my memory of what it is you're talking about.

Q. Okay, I'll get back to that. Well, why don't we talk about the box. Now, at some point did you become obsessed with the Heidi Allen case?

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge,

characterization.

THE COURT: I'll allow it.

- A. Ask the question please.
- Q. At some point after the abduction of Heidi Allen in 1994, did you become obsessed with the case?
 - A. I wouldn't say obsessed. Outraged.
- **Q.** Okay, you mention this box that you willingly turned over. Was that after you were interviewed by Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson at the Mapleview Diner?
- A. You're speaking of the -- from a year ago or two years ago?
 - Q. Yeah, March twenty-first of 2013.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Actually did you tell them about that box?
 - A. Yes. They weren't aware of it, and I said I'll tell

Michael Bohrer - Direct

10:53:17 **1** you what, I'll turn you over everything I have dug up.

- Q. And in fact did you take them to where you lived?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And --

MS. PEEBLES: Pardon me for a moment.

THE COURT: Absolutely.

(Whereupon, there was a pause in the

proceeding.)

THE COURT: This is March of thirteen, Ms.

Peebles?

MS. PEEBLES: March, yeah, of 2013.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. PEEBLES: March twenty-first. May I

approach the witness?

THE COURT: Yes, absolutely.

Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 51A and ask if you can take a look at that and tell me --

THE COURT: That's the Defense Exhibit 51?

MS. PEEBLES: Defense Exhibit 51A.

- A. Yeah, that's where I was for less than a year.
- Q. Is that a fair and accurate depiction of where you lived?
 - A. Yeah.

MS. PEEBLES: At this time I'd like to move 51A into evidence.

10:53:17 10:53:19 2 3 10:53:23 4 10:53:23 5 10:53:31 6 10:53:32 7 10:53:33 8 10:53:44 9 10:53:44 10:53:45 10 10:53:46 11 10:53:48 12 10:53:49 13 10:54:02 14 10:54:03 **15** 10:54:04 16 10:54:09 17 10:54:15 18 10:54:17 19 10:54:19 20 10:54:21 21 10:54:24 22 10:54:24 23 10:54:24 24

10:54:26 25

1 10:54:28 2 10:54:29 3 10:54:31 10:54:33 4 5 10:54:36 6 10:54:37 7 10:54:39 10:54:40 8 10:54:42 9 10:54:56 **10** 10:54:58 11 10:54:59 12 10:55:01 13 10:55:01 14 10:55:04 15 10:55:06 16 10:55:11 17 10:55:15 18 10:55:17 19 10:55:17 20 10:55:19 **21** 10:55:21 22 10:55:22 23 10:55:24 24 10:55:27 **25**

THE COURT: Can you give me a time frame when he allegedly lived there?

MS. PEEBLES: March twenty-first of 2013.

THE COURT: So this was where he was living when this box was turned over?

MS. PEEBLES: When he went with Investigator Pietroski and Johnson, yes.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. MOODY: Can I see the exhibit?

THE COURT: Ms. Peebles, does it have an address?

MS. PEEBLES: I'm going to ask him that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MOODY: Judge, I'm not sure I get the relevance of where he was living in March of 2013, so my objection isn't necessarily to the -- to the exhibit themselves, I guess 51A through C or just 51A.

MS. PEEBLES: I'm going to introduce all of them.

MR. MOODY: I'm not sure what the relevance is of where he was living in March of 2013.

THE COURT: What's the relevance, Ms. Peebles?

MS. PEEBLES: It's where the box was, and they went, and these are pictures that the investigator took when they collected the box of memorabilia surrounding

Michael Bohrer - Direct

Heidi Allen.

THE COURT: What's the relevance? What's the difference if they picked them up at McDonald's or at his house?

MS. PEEBLES: I think it's important for the Court to see exactly where it was in his living quarters. I'm providing -- I just want to provide the Court with information.

MR. MOODY: If the issues are on the box, we got the box here.

MS. PEEBLES: I have the contents of the box. I'm getting to that.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection. I don't see the relevance of where the box comes from.

- **Q.** Where were you living? What was the address of that place where you were residing when you went with investigators on March twenty-first of 2013?
 - A. I think it was 257 Bengal Road.
- **Q.** And where is Rice Road in connection with Bengal Road?
 - A. Not far from that address.
 - Q. Do you know how far?
 - A. Maybe a mile.
 - Q. A mile?

 10:55:30
 1

 10:55:31
 2

 10:55:32
 3

 10:55:35
 4

 10:55:35
 5

 10:55:37
 6

 10:55:40
 7

 10:55:42
 8

10:55:48 11

10:55:46 **10**

9

10:55:43

10:55:50 12

10:55:50 13

10:55:51 14

10:55:54 **15**

10:55:54 **16**

10:55:59 17

10:56:02 18

10:56:04 19

10:56:10 20

10:56:12 21

10:56:13 22

10:56:15 23

10:56:17 24

10:56:22 25

10:56:23 10:56:27 3 10:56:28 4 10:56:32 10:56:32 5 10:56:33 7 10:56:33 8 10:56:35 9 10:56:39 10:56:42 10 10:56:44 11 10:56:47 12 10:56:51 13 10:56:53 14 10:56:53 **15** 10:56:57 **16** 10:56:59 17 10:57:02 18 10:57:04 19 10:57:09 20 10:57:12 21 10:57:16 22 10:57:19 23 10:57:21 24

10:57:25 25

A. Well, less than a mile.

MS. BIANCO: Judge, perhaps if he could move the microphone closer. We're having a hard time hearing.

THE WITNESS: Sorry.

THE COURT: That better?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's just that it's right in my face. Is that all right?

- **Q.** And describe your -- what you were living in at that address on Bengal Road.
 - A. I was living in a camper.
- **Q.** And the box that you turned over to investigators, where was that located when you took them there to give them that information?
 - A. In the front.
 - Q. In the front?
 - A. Cab part of the camper.
 - **Q.** On the passenger seat?
- A. I don't know -- no, it was kind of like in between.

 I had all the -- it's like a catchall closet when you're

 camping till you got to go somewhere.
- **Q.** If I show you a photograph, would it refresh your recollection of where exactly the box was?
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. Handing you what's been marked as Defendant's

10:57:44 8

10:57:42

10:57:48

7

9

10:57:48 10

10:57:50 11

10:57:51 12

10:57:59 13

10:58:01 14

10:58:04 15

10:58:12 16

10:58:20 17

10:58:24 18

10:58:25 19

10:58:34 **20**

10:58:39 21

22

10:58:44 23

10:58:48 24

10:58:48 25

Exhibit B, I'll ask you to take a look at that.

THE COURT: B or D?

MS. PEEBLES: B.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. MOODY: Did she say defense?

THE COURT: Defense Exhibit B, 51B.

MS. PEEBLES: 51B.

MR. MOODY: I thought you said B. I thought we were using letters.

Q. Does that refresh your recollection, Mr. Bohrer, about where the box was?

A. Well, I'm quite aware of my camper. I'm just validating that yes, that's my camper.

Q. Okay, and the box in the picture, where was it?

A. I don't know. About the only thing I could make out was the air conditioner and the window, the door of the passenger side. It's not a very good photo. Can I look at it again?

Q. Sure.

A. Yep. I had pulled it and then the officer told me to set aside so he can take a picture before I took it out.

Q. Okay.

A. But that is not the original position when that door was opened.

Q. Okay, so but it was in the front cab part of the RV?

- 10:58:52
- 10:58:52 2
- 10:59:13 3
- 10:59:17 4
- 10:59:18 5
- 10:59:20 6
- 10:59:31 7
- 10:59:35 8
- 10:59:37 9
- 10:59:41 10
- 10:59:43 11
- 10:59:45 12
- 10:59:47 13
- 10:59:48 14
- 10:59:50 15
- 10:59:51 16
- 10:59:55 17
- 10:59:57 18
- 10:59:59 19
- 11:00:01 20
- 11:00:01 21
- 11:00:09 22
- 11:00:12 23
- 11:00:15 24
- 11:00:16 25

- A. Right.
- Q. When -- when Heidi Allen was abducted, were you ever interrogated by sheriff's investigators?
 - A. I was questioned.
 - Q. And when was that?
 - A. Less than two weeks.
 - Q. Less than two weeks from when? I'm sorry.
 - A. After Heidi was abducted.
- **Q.** And did you drive yourself down to the Sheriff's Department or did they come and get you?
 - A. No, I think they pulled me over.
 - Q. Pulled you over for what?
 - A. To question me.
 - Q. Were you driving when they pulled you over?
 - A. I believe so.
- Q. And you -- were you -- did you commit any traffic infractions for them to pull you over?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you remember what road you were on when they pulled you over?
- **A.** I believe it was -- it was six, on the other side of 104B from the Spinners.
 - Q. And at that time you were still living at Spinners?
 - A. Hah?
 - Q. At that time you were still living at Spinners?

1 11:00:18 11:00:18 2 3 11:00:21 4 11:00:22 5 11:00:27 11:00:36 11:00:36 8 11:00:39 9 11:00:40 11:00:50 10 11:00:54 11 11:00:54 **12** 11:00:58 13 11:01:00 14 11:01:00 15 11:01:02 **16** 11:01:04 17 11:01:08 18 11:01:10 19 11:01:10 20 11:01:12 21 11:01:14 22 11:01:15 23 11:01:17 24 11:01:20 25

A. I believe so.

Q. Okay, so when they pulled you over, do you recall who it was that pulled you over?

A. That's a little fuzzy. I don't know if it was Bobby Wheeler or a deputy. I don't remember. I think it was Bobby Wheeler.

Q. Were there two of them, two deputies that pulled you over or just one?

A. I don't have that much detail in my memory of it. It was a casual encounter and I answered all their questions.

Q. So when they took you down to where, Oswego County Sheriff's Department? Is that where they took you?

A. No.

Q. Where'd they take you?

A. They never took me anywhere.

Q. Did you tell Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson that you were brought down to the Sheriff's Department?

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge, leading.

THE COURT: Please don't answer, sir. What's the objection, sir?

MR. MOODY: It's leading. Did you tell.

THE COURT: It's harmless leading. I'll allow

it.

1 11:01:22 11:01:24 2 3 11:01:25 4 11:01:26 5 11:01:41 11:01:41 7 11:01:43 8 11:01:46 9 11:01:50 11:01:51 10 11:01:51 11 11:01:53 **12** 11:01:53 **13** 11:01:56 14 11:01:57 15 11:01:57 16 11:02:00 17 11:02:01 18 11:02:06 **19** 11:02:10 20 11:02:15 **21** 11:02:17 22 11:02:24 **23** 11:02:26 24

11:02:29 **25**

- Q. You can answer.
- A. You want to repeat the question?
- Q. Sure. I'm sorry.

(Whereupon, the court reporter read back the last question).

THE COURT: Before you -- can you give the Court at least a time when this alleged statement was made to Pietroski and this other investigator?

MS. PEEBLES: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. PEEBLES: On March twenty-first of 2013.

THE COURT: Thank you.

- **Q.** When you spoke to Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson.
 - A. Um hum.
- Q. Did you tell them that they brought you down to the Sheriff's Department?
 - A. Not on the first questioning of me, no.
- **Q.** How many times were you questioned, let's settle that. So two weeks after she ends up missing, you're questioned and you're questioned where?
- A. I think three to four. I'm sure they have an accurate record of it.
 - Q. Don't be so sure.
 - A. Well, I would hope their records would be accurate.

1 11:02:33 11:02:38 2 3 11:02:39 4 11:02:41 11:02:42 5 11:02:44 7 11:02:45 8 11:02:46 11:02:47 9 11:02:50 10 11:02:54 11 11:02:56 12 11:02:58 13 11:02:59 14 11:03:00 15 11:03:05 16 11:03:09 17 11:03:13 18 11:03:15 19 11:03:18 20 11:03:19 **21** 11:03:32 22 11:03:34 **23** 11:03:37 24

11:03:44 **25**

Q. Where -- where were you when they were questioning you?

MR. MOODY: Okay, which time are we talking about?

Q. That particular time, I'm still on the time when they pulled you over, two weeks after.

THE COURT: Okay.

- A. Less than two weeks.
- Q. Less than two weeks?
- A. And where did they take me you're asking me?
- Q. Where were you? Where were they asking you the questions, in your car or --
 - A. Outside my car.
 - Q. I see, okay, and --

A. It was a friendly country encounter of the local Oswego sheriffs. I knew eventually they would be questioning me because I was the new kid on the block, it's only natural that they would so --

Q. And what did they ask you when they pulled you over on the roadside?

A. General questions. I -- more than likely -- well, I don't know. Ask them.

- Q. Do you have any recollection of what they asked you?
- A. I'm sure they asked me where I was the night before Heidi disappeared or whatever and where I was that morning.

1 11:03:48

11:03:48 2 3

11:03:54

4 11:04:01

11:04:05 5

11:04:07

7 11:04:11

8 11:04:13

11:04:24 9

11:04:26 10

11:04:26 11

11:04:28 12

11:04:30 13

11:04:31 14

11:04:34 15

11:04:39 **16**

11:04:40 17

11:04:42 18

11:04:45 19

11:04:48 20

11:04:52 21

11:04:55 22

11:04:56 23

11:05:00 24

11:05:03 **25**

Q. Where?

I'm sure, I mean that's why the reason why they, you know, wanted to check up on me because, you know, there's a girl missing. Of course you're going to ask the new stranger in the community.

And when they asked you that question, what did you Where were you? tell them?

I don't think this is a fair line of questioning. A. should be asked exactly the same question that they asked me.

THE COURT: It's not up to you to determine whether it's a fair line of questioning. Answer the question.

I don't remember exactly how the questions were so I really can't answer accurately how I responded.

Q. Well, let me ask you --

I can tell you in general. A.

Okay, well, let me ask you this. Where were you the Q. morning Heidi Allen was abducted in 1994?

A. At what time?

Between seven in the morning and eight o'clock in Q. the morning.

A. Passed out at Hermie Jordal's in little Texas.

And did you tell that to the officers when they were Q. asking you those questions that morning?

- 11:05:05 1
- 11:05:06 2
- 11:05:09 3
- 11:05:17 4
- 11:05:19
- 11:05:21
- 11:05:30 7
- 11:05:36 8
- 11:05:43 9
- 11:05:45 10
- 11:05:49 11
- 11:05:57 12
- 11:06:03 13
- 11:06:03 14
- 11:06:11 15
- 11:06:13 16
- 11:06:16 17
- 11:06:32 18
- 11:06:32 **19**
- 11:06:34 **20**
- 11:06:35 21
- 11:06:39 22
- 11:06:39 23
- 11:06:43 24
- 11:06:43 25

- A. Sure.
- Q. And who is Hermie Jordal?
- A. He was a person that I befriended in the community.
- Q. Is he still alive today?
- A. No, he is not.
- Q. Were you with anyone else at Hermie Jordal's house?
- **A.** No because when we got to his house we were both pretty drunk and he let me stay there instead of driving back to Spinners so.
 - Q. Let me ask you this. What were you driving in 1994?
 - A. I believe it was a black eighty-seven GMC truck.
 - Q. So you were driving the black pickup truck in 1994?
 - A. I believe so.
- Q. Now you had this conversation on the roadside. I'm going back to when you were questioned two weeks --
- A. Oh, wait, you jogged my memory. I think I had a Ford seventy-two, wasn't a GTO, Ford. I don't know. I can't remember.
- Q. Okay, are you saying now you don't remember what you were driving in 1994?
 - A. Oh, no, it was green, it was the Galaxy.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. My God, I, you know, yeah, I had a Galaxy at that time.
 - Q. Okay, so you weren't driving a black pickup truck in

11:06:47 1

11:06:51 2

11:06:58 3

11:06:58 4

11:07:00 5

11:07:02 6

11:07:05 **7**

11:07:06 8

11:07:10 9

11:07:15 10

11:07:23 11

11:07:28 12

11:07:30 13

11:07:31 14

11:07:33 15

11:07:38 **16**

11:07:40 17

11:07:41 18

11:07:44 19

11:07:47 **20**

11:07:49 21

11:07:51 22

11:07:52 23

11:08:00 24

11:08:02 25

1994, that's your testimony?

A. If I had mistakenly said I had the black truck, I apologize.

- Q. Are you denying that you ever had a black truck?
- A. No.
- Q. When did you have a black truck?
- A. After I had the green Galaxy.
- **Q.** Did you tell Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson that you were driving a black pickup truck in 1994?
- A. I answered it this time as a black truck so more than likely I said a black truck, not remembering the Galaxy.
 - Q. Now --
- **A.** You have to understand when they were questioning me, I had just -- they woke me up.
 - Q. You were sleeping while you were driving?
 - A. I had not -- hah?
- Q. Wait. Where are you talking about, the questioning.
 Oh, Investigator Pietroski and Johnson?
 - **A.** Yeah, 2013.
- Q. Where did -- you didn't meet them at your house though did you?
 - A. No. I met them at the Mapleview Diner.
 - Q. What time of day was that?
 - A. I believe it was morning, sometime late morning.

- 11:08:14 1
- 11:08:23 2
- 11:08:31 3
- 11:08:34 4
- 11:08:34 5
- 11:08:35
- 11:08:39 7
- 11:08:39 8
- 11:08:42 9
- 11:08:46 **10**
- 11:08:49 11
- 11:08:50 12
- 11:08:51 13
- 11:08:59 14
- 11:09:03 15
- 11:09:10 **16**
- 11:09:24 17
- 11:09:32 18
- 11:09:32 19
- 11:09:35 20
- 11:09:38 **21**
- 11:09:42 22
- 11:09:46 23
- 11:09:55 24
- 11:10:01 25

- Q. How long did the interview last?
- A. Including taking them to my place to get the box?
- **Q.** Did they drive you in the car to take you to get the box?
 - A. No, they followed me.
- **Q.** Yeah. Including getting the box, how long was it do you think?
 - A. I -- I guess at least an hour.
- **Q.** Have you ever listened to the audio recording of the interview between you and Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson?
 - A. No.
- **Q.** All right. Now, let's get back to 1994. After you're questioned on the roadside, were you ever questioned again after that roadside conversation with Deputy Wheeler?
- A. Yeah, I was -- I was questioned much later down the road. I don't remember how long. More than a year. I'm not sure.
- **Q.** Now was that an interview with the Oswego County Sheriff's Department?
 - A. Yeah. The only people I've ever spoke with.
 - Q. Didn't you speak with the FBI?
- A. You know, you're -- you've got facts that coincide with four or five different time frames and you're throwing them all in and it's a one --

1 11:10:04 11:10:05 2 3 11:10:07 4 11:10:08 11:10:10 5 11:10:11 11:10:14 7 8 11:10:17 11:10:20 9 11:10:24 10 11:10:26 11 11:10:28 12 11:10:32 13 11:10:40 14 11:10:41 15 11:10:41 **16** 11:10:50 17 11:10:58 18 11:10:58 19 11:11:02 20 11:11:03 **21** 22 11:11:20 23 11:11:20 24 11:11:22 25

THE COURT: You don't understand the question, ask her to rephrase the question. Don't make a statement to Ms. Peebles.

THE WITNESS: Well, she's --

THE COURT: Ask -- if you don't understand the question, simply ask her to rephrase the question.

- A. Ask the previous questions that you just last asked.
- **Q.** My question was after the roadside conversation with Deputy Wheeler, were you interviewed again by the Oswego County Sheriff's Department?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay, and do you know when that was?
 - A. When. It -- it's -- I'll have to say I don't know.
 - Q. You don't know?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did you ever sign a sworn statement?
 - A. At an investigation, interrogation?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. I don't believe so.

MS. PEEBLES: Judge, can I have a moment?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceeding).

THE COURT: Do you need more time, Ms.

Peebles?

1 11:11:22 11:11:24 2 3 11:11:26 11:11:27 4 11:11:37 11:11:39 7 11:11:41 8 11:11:43 11:11:50 9 10 11:16:19 11 11:16:20 **12** 11:16:22 13 11:16:24 14 11:16:25 15 11:16:32 **16** 17 11:16:37 18 11:18:22 19 11:18:25 **20** 11:18:25 21 11:18:25 22 11:18:28 23 11:18:29 24 11:18:31 25

MS. PEEBLES: If I could just a minute, I'm trying to locate a document, Judge, that I wasn't going to use but I am now.

THE COURT: That's okay. Are you anticipating five minutes, less than five minutes?

MS. PEEBLES: Can I have five minutes, Judge?

I may have to --

THE COURT: Let's recess for five minutes. Sir, you can step down.

(Whereupon, there was a recess).

THE COURT: We're back on the record. Are you ready, Ms. Peebles, Ms. Bianco?

MS. PEEBLES: I think the witness is speaking with his attorney right now.

THE COURT: Okay. Would you mind seeing if they're ready to come back in?

(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceeding).

THE COURT: We're back on the record. Ms. Peebles.

MS. PEEBLES: Yes.

Q. Mr. Bohrer, I was asking you some questions about -THE COURT: I don't mean to cut you off. Mr.
Bohrer, consider the fact that you're still under oath,
okay?

1 11:18:31

11:18:32 2

3 11:18:35

4 11:18:41

11:18:45 5

11:18:51

7 11:18:54

8 11:18:55

9 11:18:58

11:19:00 10

11:19:19 11

11:19:21 **12**

11:19:24 **13**

11:19:25 14

11:19:30 **15**

11:19:40 **16**

11:19:51 17

11:19:53 18

11:19:55 19

11:19:57 20

11:20:02 21

11:20:03 22

11:20:09 23

11:20:13 24

11:20:16 25

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Sorry about that. Go ahead.

- Q. Mr. Bohrer, you indicated that you were interviewed a couple times, but you don't remember the second time who you were interviewed by. Is there a document that might refresh your recollection as to who may have interviewed you?
 - A. The second time I was interviewed?
 - Q. Yes.
- I guess -- I think the only thing I remember is A. being in the interview room and there were about ten sheriffs standing, sitting in chairs and standing around, pretty much just looking at me.
 - Q. Just looking at you? What do you mean by that?
- Α. I mean they were asking me questions, I don't remember the questions, but it wasn't just one or two sheriffs there.
 - Q. There were ten?
 - I don't know. Α.
 - Q. Did you give a statement at that point?
 - I don't remember. Α.
- Is there a document that might help refresh your Q. recollection as to whether or not you gave a statement?
 - A. Well, I'm sure it would help.
 - Q. Okay, I'm going to approach and hand you what's been

1 11:20:19 2 11:20:23 3 11:20:26 4 11:20:30 11:21:09 5 11:21:09 7 11:21:12 8 11:21:43 11:21:45 9 10 11:21:47 11:21:50 11 11:22:30 12 11:22:33 13 11:22:35 14 11:22:38 **15** 11:22:42 16 11:22:43 17 11:22:47 18 11:22:49 **19** 11:22:52 **20** 11:22:54 21 11:22:59 22 11:23:02 23 11:23:03 24

11:23:04 25

marked as Defendant's Exhibit 129 and ask you to look at that document and read it to yourself and tell me if it helps refresh your recollection.

(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceeding.)

- A. This is from the FBI office?
- **Q.** Does that refresh your recollection?

THE COURT: If the Court's correct, the question is refreshing your recollection as to whether or not a statement was given, correct?

MS. PEEBLES: Yes.

- A. This is to the FBI?
- **Q.** I'm asking you does that document refresh your recollection about whether you gave a statement to an FBI agent.

THE COURT: The question should actually be did you give a statement. Now the question is does it refresh your recollection as to whether you gave the FBI agent. Is that now an amended question because the first question was does it refresh your recollection as to whether or not a statement was given. He then asked you a question which was inappropriate but you then changed the question.

MS. PEEBLES: Because of his question, Judge.

THE COURT: So that puts a new question. What

11:23:06 1

11:23:07

2

11:23:07 **3**

11:23:07 4

11:23:10 5

11:23:13 6

11:23:14 **7**

11:23:15 8

11:23:43 9

11:23:45 10

11:23:49 11

11:23:52 12

11:23:58 **13**

11:23:59 14

11:24:00 **15**

11:24:07 **16**

11:24:14 17

11:24:17 **18**

11:24:19 19

11:24:21 20

11:24:22 **21**

11:24:22 22

11:24:25 23

11:24:28 24

11:24:39 25

is your new question?

Q. My new question is --

THE COURT: Thank you.

Q. -- does that refresh your recollection with regard to whether or not you gave a statement to the FBI back in 1995?

THE COURT: Thank you.

A. Is this --

THE COURT: Mr. Bohrer, you cannot ask a question. Does that document that you have been handed refresh your recollection as to whether or not you gave a statement to the FBI in 1995? That's it. It's either yes or no.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q. And having refreshed your recollection, do you recall theorizing that it was a drug motive which resulted in Heidi Allen being kidnapped?

MR. MOODY: Judge, I'm going to object. I think that's a leading question. It's --

THE COURT: It's leading. I'll sustain as to leading.

- Q. Well, okay, what did you tell the FBI now that you've read the report?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. You don't know or you don't remember?

1 11:24:48 2 11:24:52 3 11:25:01 11:25:03 4 11:25:07 5 6 11:25:09 7 11:25:13 11:25:23 8 9 11:25:26 11:25:31 10 11:25:34 11 11:25:37 **12** 11:25:38 **13** 11:25:40 14 11:25:41 **15** 11:25:44 16 11:25:45 17 11:25:47 18 11:25:50 19 11:25:51 **20** 11:25:56 **21** 11:26:01 22 11:26:03 23 11:26:24 24 11:26:27 25

A. You got me so I'm afraid if I make a mistake on what little I read there, then my credibility will be stained or something. I don't have photographic memory.

Q. I'm not suggesting you do. Do you know the general substance of what you told the FBI after having read this report? I'm not going to hold you word for word.

- A. Well, not at this time.
- Q. Not at this time?
- A. I would have to read it more seriously.
- **Q.** Well, if we took a break and allowed you to sit down and read it at the lunch break perhaps and I'll go back to a different line --

THE COURT: I think the appropriate line of questioning would be if you review the document again, would it refresh your recollection of what you said to the FBI.

- **Q.** If you reviewed this document a second time and you had more time to read it, would that help assist you in refreshing --
 - A. I'm sure it would.

THE COURT: Present it.

(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceeding).

MS. PEEBLES: Judge, in order to save a little time, I'm suggesting perhaps I allow Mr. Bohrer at the

11:26:29 11:26:32 3 11:26:35 4 11:26:36 11:26:39 5 11:26:41 7 11:26:45 11:26:47 8 11:26:48 11:26:53 10 11:26:56 11 11:26:58 12 11:26:59 **13** 11:27:01 14 11:27:02 15 11:27:02 16 11:27:04 17 11:27:06 18 11:27:07 19 11:27:09 20 11:27:10 21 11:27:18 22 11:27:22 23 11:27:26 **24** 11:27:32 25

lunch break to take the document and review it a little more thoroughly and I'll start a different line of questioning.

THE COURT: Is that a refreshment of recollection or is that a -- he completely forgot, now he's learning it again? It's a difference.

MR. MOODY: I'm fine with that, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Bohrer, give the document back to Ms. Peebles. With the consent of the People, you can review it -- you want to photocopy it for him to review on the lunch hour break?

MS. PEEBLES: We have an extra copy.

THE COURT: I would ask that you give him a copy, not that one.

MS. PEEBLES: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay, and you can return to this line of questioning after lunch?

MS. PEEBLES: Yes.

THE COURT: That's your consent, Mr. Moody?

MR. MOODY: Yeah, Judge.

Q. Okay, Mr. Bohrer, when Heidi Allen came up missing, do you recall whether they set up a command center for -- for her, a search command center?

- A. When I heard about her missing?
- Q. No. Were you aware that they set up a command

Michael Bohrer - Direct

center when Heidi Allen went missing?

- A. No.
- Q. Didn't you open a business right across from the Heidi Allen Center?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Okay, so you are aware that there was a command center for Heidi Allen, right?
- **A.** I thought you were talking about the day that she turned up missing that people went to a command center or something, but I am aware of that place across from the post office that they set up much later.
- **Q.** And what was the -- what was the business that you opened up across from that command center?
 - A. Little Fix-it Shop.
 - Q. And what was that shop, what was the business?
- A. Basically I specialized in fixing sentimental products, pieces, Christmas ornaments, ceramics. A lot of old people with sentimental items would -- that broke years ago and -- and wanted it fixed.
- Q. Where exactly -- give the Court an idea as to where exactly this business was located.
 - A. Next to the post office in New Haven.
 - Q. All right, what street are we talking about?
 - **A.** 104 and six.
 - Q. And how long were you operating that business?

11:27:43 **3** 11:27:45 **4**

11:27:34

11:27:38

1

5

8

11:27:50

11:27:48

11:27:52 **7**

11:27:54

11:27:59

11:28:04 10

11:28:10 11

11:28:17 12

11:28:19 13

11:28:22 14

11:28:24 **15**

11:28:28 16

11:28:36 17

11:28:46 18

11:28:51 19

11:28:55 **20**

11:28:58 **21**

11:28:59 **22**

11:29:02 23

11:29:04 **24**

11:29:07 **25**

11:29:11 11:29:14 11:29:19 11:29:21 11:29:26 11:29:30 11:29:32 11:29:32 11:29:38 11:29:40 11:29:45 11:29:49

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

- 11:29:40 10

 11:29:45 11

 11:29:49 12

 11:29:50 13

 11:29:56 14

 11:30:04 15

 11:30:04 16
 - 11:30:10 19

11:30:10 18

11:30:05 17

- 11:30:12 **20**
- 11:30:14 **22**

11:30:13 21

- 11:30:19 **23**
- 11:30:21 **24**
- 11:30:25 **25**

- A. Less than a year, just for the summer.
- **Q.** And did -- at any other time did you open up another business?
 - A. Yeah. Eventually I opened up another business, yes.
 - Q. And what was the name of that business?
 - A. Medspars.
 - Q. And what type of business was Medspars?
 - A. Electronic repair and computer service and repair.
 - Q. Do you recall what year you opened up that business?
 - A. It was fall of 1996.
- **Q.** And can you tell the Court the location of that business?
 - A. It's on State Route 69 near the railroad tracks.
- Q. And what -- what road runs perpendicular to Route 69?
 - A. Route 11.
- **Q.** And what about on the other side? Well, strike that.
 - A. Actually that's State Route 11.
 - Q. State Route 11.
 - A. Right.
- Q. Okay, and what -- what other road runs behind Medspars do you know?
 - A. Beley's Drive.
 - Q. Can you spell that?

- 11:30:28 1
- 11:30:28 2
- 11:30:28 3
- 11:30:30 4
- 11:30:31
- 11:30:33 6
- 11:30:37 7
- 11:30:43 8
- 11:30:45
- 11:30:47 10
- 11:30:51 11
- 11:30:51 12
- 11:30:54 13
- 11:30:57 14
- 11:31:04 **15**
- 11:31:04 16
- 11:31:07 17
- 11:31:13 18
- 11:31:19 **19**
- 11:31:24 **20**
- 11:31:35 21
- 11:31:35 22
- 11:31:37 23
- 11:31:39 24
- 11:31:41 25

- A. Hum?
- Q. Can you spell that?
- A. Beley?
- Q. Beley? For the court reporter?
- **A.** B-E-L-E-Y.
- Q. And how far was Medspars from Rice Road?
- A. In the seventeen years I was there, I don't think I was aware of Rice Road.
 - Q. Did you know Deb Vecchio?
- A. Oh, yeah, but I didn't remember she was on Rice Road.
 - Q. I didn't say she was on Rice Road.
 - A. Well --
- Q. What does that mean? I just asked if you knew Deb Vecchio.
- **A.** Yeah, but I was -- I was -- the first question I asked in reference to when I was at -- at Spinners, or not Spinners, at Medspars.
 - Q. How -- how did you know Deb Vecchio?
- A. I had met Debbie Vecchio I think through Tina I think.
 - Q. Tina, your girlfriend?
 - A. Girlfriend. She worked at East Coast Resorts.
 - Q. Do you remember what year that was?
 - A. No.

- 11:31:42 1
- 11:31:45 2
- 11:31:47 3
- 11:31:53 4
- 11:31:55 5
- 11:31:58 6
- 11:32:01 7
- 11:32:06 8
- 11:32:10 9
- 11:32:11 10
- 11:32:15 11
- 11:32:22 12
- 11:32:25 13
- 11:32:26 14
- 11:32:36 15
- 11:32:40 16
- 11:32:45 17
- 11:32:48 18
- 11:32:50 19
- 11:32:52 20
- 11:32:53 21
- 11:32:57 22
- 11:32:58 **23**
- 11:32:59 24
- 11:33:01 **25**

- Q. Do you remember what year you met Deb Vecchio?
- A. No.
- Q. But you know that Deb Vecchio lived on Rice Road?
- A. I didn't remember that she lived on Rice Road.
- Q. Had you ever been to her house?
- A. Twice.
- Q. Do you remember when you were -- what the time frame of when you were at Deb Vecchio's house?
 - A. No, I don't.
 - Q. In the last ten years?
 - A. No. I think it was -- I don't remember.
- Q. You don't have any recollection? Could it have been twenty years ago?
- **A.** It was a casual, you know, just a -- I don't even remember why I -- I don't remember.
- **Q.** Okay, you mentioned East Coast Travel. Did Deb Vecchio work for East Coast Travel?
 - A. East Coast Resorts.
 - Q. Or East Coast Resorts, my apologies.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you hire her to work there?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Were you running --
 - A. I don't hire for East Coast Resorts.
 - Q. Well, were you contracting with them and hiring

employees? 11:33:04

2

4

I don't remember if I met her before or after, I don't remember.

Q. Speaking of East Coast Travels, who -- who else worked for East Coast Travel, do you recall?

THE COURT: It's East Coast Resorts.

Q. Or resorts, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: That's all right.

- Repeat the question please. Α.
- Q. Why don't I withdraw the question and ask what is East Coast Resorts?
- A. East Coast Resorts is a timeshare campground facility.
 - Q. Where is that located?
 - A. Parish, New York.
 - Whereabouts in Parish? Q.
 - One-oh -- whoops, State Route 69 and Crim Road. A.
 - And what road? Q.
 - Crim. A.
 - Crim Road? Q.
 - Yeah. A.
- Now was there some type of telemarketing that was Q. going on for East Coast Resorts?
 - A. Yes, there was.
 - Q. And where was that being operated out of?

3 11:33:22

11:33:06

11:33:22

11:33:29

11:33:31

11:33:27 5

7

11:33:32 8

9 11:33:33

11:33:35 10

11:33:38 11

11:33:39 12

11:33:44 13

11:33:44 14

11:33:46 **15**

11:33:48 **16**

11:33:50 17

11:33:56 **18**

11:33:58 19

11:33:58 **20**

11:33:59 **21**

11:34:00 22

11:34:05 23

11:34:07 24

11:34:07 25

1 11:34:10 11:34:12 2 3 11:34:14 4 11:34:18 11:34:18 5 6 11:34:37 7 11:34:41 11:34:43 8 9 11:34:49 11:34:57 10 11:35:06 11 11:35:13 12 11:35:18 13 11:35:23 14 11:35:28 **15** 11:35:32 **16** 11:35:37 17 11:35:41 **18** 11:35:41 19 11:35:43 20 11:35:46 21 11:35:47 **22** 11:35:52 **23** 11:35:59 **24**

11:36:02 **25**

MR. MOODY: Object. Can we have a time frame?
THE COURT: Yes, please, time frame.

- Q. When did you start working with or for East Coast Resorts?
- **A.** Working for East Coast Resorts basically was connected with my girlfriend Tina.
 - **Q.** Oh, how so?

A. She used to work for them, and she and I became a couple, and she used to do the telemarketing for East Coast Resorts, so I provided her with an opportunity to practice her marketing to help Medspars' business, generate business, and at the same time we could generate an income providing services for East Coast Resorts, and I also serviced their computers and developed software for them.

- Q. Can you tell me what year it was that you and Tina started working for -- with East Coast Resorts with Medspar? What year was that when it began at its inception?
 - A. I don't remember the year that I started.
- **Q.** Was it in the late nineteen-nineties, was it in the two-thousands?
 - A. It was before 2001.
- **Q.** Now, this East Coast Resorts where you're talking about the telemarketing aspect of it, you actually had to hire people to do the telemarketing?

- 11:36:05 1
- 11:36:08 2
- 11:36:11 **3**
- 11:36:12 4
- 11:36:19 5
- 11:36:19
- 11:36:22 **7**
- 11:36:23 8
- 11:36:31 9
- 11:36:37 10
- 11:36:39 11
- 11:36:43 12
- 11:36:52 13
- 11:37:02 14
- 11:37:05 15
- 11:37:08 16
- 11:37:11 17
- 11:37:14 18
- 11:37:17 19
- 11:37:21 20
- 11:37:36 21
- 11:37:42 22
- 11:37:50 **23**
- 11:37:54 **24**
- 11:37:55 25

- A. Yes. Well, Tina did.
- Q. Tina hired the employees?
- A. Most of the time, yeah.
- **Q.** And do you recall a woman by the name of Danielle Babcock?
 - A. Yes. I'm aware of Danielle.
 - Q. And how do you know Danielle?
- **A.** Her and her sister came to the shop and applied for a job because they -- kids spread the word that there's work other than McDonald's.
 - Q. Who hired Danielle? Did you or Tina hire Danielle?
- **A.** Well, I believe by that time Tina and I were broke up, and I had to take over the telemarketing in her place.
 - Q. So what you're saying is you hired Danielle Babcock?
 - A. I -- I believe I did.
- **Q.** Do you recall how old Danielle was when you hired her to do the telemarketing for East Coast Resorts?
 - **A.** All the girls were sixteen.
 - Q. When you say all the girls, who else worked there?
- A. They came and gone. There's a few that -- that stuck out like Danielle and Tonya did. Amanda something or other. Only because she had the most beautiful handwriting. I -- I would recognize them maybe if I saw them.
 - Q. When you're talking about the most beautiful

11:37:57

11:38:01 2

1

11:38:07 **3**

11:38:07 4

11:38:10 5

11:38:11 6

11:38:16

11:38:20 8

11:38:27 9

11:38:39 10

11:38:43 11

11:38:50 12

11:38:55 13

11:39:01 14

11:39:10 15

11:39:13 **16**

11:39:21 17

11:39:26 **18**

11:39:30 19

11:39:32 20

11:39:37 21

11:39:45 22

11:39:45 **23**

11:39:48 24

11:39:50 25

handwriting, were you referring to Danielle or the --

- A. No, just one of the girls that I kind of remember.
- Q. Okay.
- A. And that's why, because I could read her writing.
- Q. Okay, I see, I see.
- A. These kids today can't read, write their names.
- Q. Okay, so that's why you recall Danielle Babcock.
- A. No, I recall Danielle Babcock because when they came into the store with a friend that was -- I'm not sure.
 - Q. You're not sure why you remember her?
- A. I'm not sure which friend they were with 'cause it's kind of like they were referring them or something, or I think there was a Tom Martin involved there. I'm going to say I -- I don't remember enough to --
 - Q. How long was Danielle Babcock working for you?
 - A. I don't know. I don't remember how long.
 - Q. Did -- did you terminate her or did she quit?
 - A. I believe she quit.
 - Q. Did she tell you why she quit?
- A. Or no, I think she was -- if I'm not mistaken, I think she had a car accident and became paraplegic is what I was told.
- Q. Did she -- is it your testimony that she had a car accident while she was working for you?
 - A. No, not -- I don't know. I don't -- I just -- no,

1 11:40:01 11:40:02 3 11:40:05 4 11:40:08 11:40:12 5 11:40:16 7 11:40:21 8 11:40:21 9 11:40:28 11:40:30 10 11:40:35 11 11:40:40 12 11:40:52 13 11:40:58 14 11:41:09 15 11:41:23 **16** 11:41:29 17 11:41:34 18 11:41:37 19

that's about the time I stopped seeing her.

Q. Well, I'm not trying to put words in your mouth, but I'm trying to clear this up. Do you remember whether she quit, was fired or became disabled?

- A. I don't remember which one.
- **Q.** She either quit or was disabled, is that your testimony?

A. I don't remember the circumstances exactly why she left.

Q. Did you ever have a -- well, strike that. Medspar was also -- describe the interior of Medspar for me please.

A. There was an inner office area of two desks. Around those two desks I had work bench area for servicing computers. There was a room was divided by low office walls, another computer repair table, and then there was what I called the bullpen where there were a whole bunch of tables where I had the telemarketers sit with -- doing their smiling and dialing.

Q. Did you -- were your living quarters in that building? Were you sleeping there?

A. Yeah, in the back section opposite side of the building past the kitchen.

Q. Okay, so within Medspar, the building, the structure itself.

A. It was -- it was -- I tried to maintain a separate

11:41:58 **25**

11:41:39 **20**

11:41:42 21

11:41:48 22

11:41:54 **23**

11:41:58 24

Michael Bohrer - Direct

11:42:02 1

11:42:03 2

3

11:42:04

11:42:04 4

11:42:08 5

11:42:11

11:42:11 7

11:42:17 8

11:42:19 **9**

11:42:22 10

11:42:32 11

11:42:37 12

11:42:39 13

11:42:43 14

11:42:46 **15**

11:42:53 **16**

11:42:55 17

11:42:58 18

11:43:00 19

11:43:04 **20**

11:43:15 21

11:43:24 **22**

11:43:29 **23**

11:43:31 24

11:43:33 **25**

living spot.

Q. I see.

A. Yes.

Q. Okay, now when the girls were doing -- was it always girls, females that you hired to do the telemarketing?

A. Um hum.

Q. Now when they were doing this telemarketing for East Coast Resorts, did you ever have any interaction with them when they were sitting there on the phones?

A. I usually had a -- another woman managing and dealing with the girls.

Q. Who was the woman?

A. There was a Lydia Ford.

Q. Is she still alive?

A. I -- I believe so. She went on to college and I haven't heard back from her.

Q. So did you -- did you interact with the girls at the desk when you were there, when they were there doing the telemarketing, they were working for you.

A. Well, of course I interacted with them. I wrote the scripts and I would have to collect the tallies for the calls that they made and how many reservations they made.

That's how they got paid.

Q. Now --

A. They would break for -- go on their breaks and they

11:43:41 11:43:46 2 3 11:43:46 4 11:43:50 5 11:43:52 11:43:54 7 11:43:57 11:43:59 8 11:44:01 11:44:06 **10** 11:44:09 11 11:44:10 12 11:44:13 **13** 11:44:16 14 11:44:19 15 11:44:19 **16** 11:44:22 17 11:44:28 18 11:44:33 19 11:44:38 20 11:44:38 21 11:44:41 22 11:44:47 23 11:44:48 24

11:44:49 25

had all the Schwan Food that they wanted. I took care of them well.

- Q. When is the last time you had any contact with Danielle Babcock?
 - A. Before her accident.
- **Q.** It was before her accident? You haven't had any contact with her or conversation since?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you -- while she was telemarketing for you, did you ever have any type of confrontation with Danielle Babcock?
 - A. Say this again?
- **Q.** Did you ever have a confrontation with Danielle Babcock when she was working for you doing the telemarketing?
- A. No. She was always the quiet one of the -- of her and her sister and far back table. I don't -- I don't -- I had more problems with Tanya, her sister, than I did Danielle. Danielle was --
 - Q. Let's --
 - A. I don't remember having a problem with Danielle.
- Q. Let's talk about Tanya. Tanya Babcock is Danielle Babcock's sister?
 - A. Twin sisters, yes.
 - Q. And she also worked at East Coast Travel.

1 11:44:54 2 11:44:58 3 11:44:59 4 11:45:01 11:45:06 5 11:45:09 7 11:45:13 11:45:15 8 11:45:18 11:45:22 10 11:45:28 11 11:45:30 **12** 11:45:33 13 11:45:34 14 11:45:35 **15** 11:45:37 16 11:45:37 17 11:45:39 **18** 11:45:40 19 11:45:42 20 11:45:50 21 11:45:53 **22** 11:45:55 23 11:45:56 24 11:45:57 **25**

A. These people did not -- these girls did not work for East Coast Resort.

Q. They worked for you, right, they worked for you.

A. Okay. I had the contract to provide a service for East Coast Resorts. I hired the girls. I paid the girls.

Q. I see, okay. Now did Tonya Priest ever work for East Coast Resorts?

A. I heard through the grapevine she eventually went to work for them after working for me.

Q. What do you mean through the grapevine?

MR. MOODY: Object. Are we talking about Tonya Priest or Tanya Babcock?

MS. PEEBLES: I asked him if he knew Tonya Priest.

THE COURT: They're not the same person.

MS. PEEBLES: No, they're not the same.

MR. MOODY: I just wasn't sure if it was --

MS. PEEBLES: It's not the same.

A. When did you start saying Tonya Priest?

Q. I just asked you and you said she did.

A. No, Tonya Shaw.

Q. Okay.

A. Well --

Q. Tonya. I apologize.

A. Makes a big difference.

11:45:58 11:46:02 11:46:04 11:46:06 11:46:07 11:46:12 11:46:18 11:46:20 11:46:21 11:46:21 10 11:46:27 11 11:46:27 **12** 11:46:33 **13** 11:46:36 **14** 11:46:40 **15**

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

- Q. I understand what you're saying. Okay, Tonya Shaw. Do you now know her by another name?
 - Α. Know her by three names.
 - Well, what are they? Q. Right.
- A. Tonya Wheeler, Tonya Shaw, and I didn't know about Tonya Priest until all this came out in the papers.
- Q. Okay, but we're talking about the same person though just so we know.
 - Α. Yes.
- Q. And she was working at East Coast Resorts at some point. Do you recall when that was?
- Α. At East Coast Resorts. I have no knowledge of her actually working for East Coast Resorts.
 - Q. Well, where did she work in conjunction with you?
 - A. I'm going to have to say I don't remember.
- Q. Well, you mentioned Tonya Shaw. Did you know Sid Shaw, her husband?
 - A. Unfortunately.
 - Q. And why do you say unfortunately?
 - I don't know. I have nothing good to say about him. Α.
 - Why is that? Q.
 - A. He's a mean, ornery -- he's just -- don't like him.
 - When did you first meet Sid Shaw? Q.
 - A. Back when he was a teenager.
 - Q. Okay, do you recall what year that was?
- 11:47:14 24

11:46:47 **16**

11:46:50 17

11:46:52 18

11:46:54 19

11:46:56 **20**

11:47:04 21

11:47:05 22

11:47:11 23

11:47:17 25

1 11:47:18 11:47:30 2 3 11:47:32 4 11:47:33 11:47:35 5 11:47:37 7 11:47:38 8 11:47:40 9 11:47:44 11:47:45 10 11:47:47 11 11:47:50 12 11:47:54 13 11:48:00 14 11:48:04 **15** 11:48:07 **16** 11:48:11 17 11:48:13 18 11:48:15 19 11:48:16 20 11:48:18 21 11:48:21 22 11:48:25 23 11:48:27 24

11:48:30 25

- A. I believe it was before Heidi disappeared.
- Q. It was before Heidi disappeared?
- A. I believe so.
- Q. What was the nature of your relationship with Sid Shaw?
 - A. None.
 - Q. You had no contact with him?
 - A. (Shakes head.) Enough to --
 - Q. How did you --
 - A. -- say hi, that's about it.
- **Q.** Okay, so why didn't you like him if you didn't have any real relationship with him?
- A. I mean you're talking about a time when he was a teenager versus when he was married as an adult.
- Q. No, I'm really not. I'm asking you what was the nature of your relationship with Sid Shaw?

MR. MOODY: I'm going to object. Can we have a time frame because apparently the witness thinks there is a time frame difference.

THE COURT: Yeah, I guess to assist the witness it might be better if you clarified did he dislike him as teenager or as an adult because there seems to be a confusion.

- Q. When did you first meet Sid Shaw?
- A. When he was a teenager.

11:48:34 **1**11:48:35 **2**

11:48:35

11:48:46

11:48:49 **4**

11:48:50

11:48:52 6

11:48:53

11:48:56

11:49:00 9

11:49:08 10

11:49:14 11

11:49:20 12

11:49:21 13

11:49:24 14

11:49:27 15

11:49:28 16

11:49:33 17

11:49:37 18

11:49:37 19

11:49:43 20

11:49:54 21

11:50:02 22

11:50:08 23

11:50:12 24

11:50:17 25

Q. Do you remember what year that was?

A. And -- no, other than it was before Heidi disappeared because I knew Hermie.

- Q. Okay, so it had to be before.
- A. Which was his uncle.
- Q. Okay, so it had to be --
- A. He was one of the many kids in the background at family gatherings and stuff and I was out usually invited and welcomed at the family gatherings and Rubin or Sid was -- well, Tonya Wheeler was usually hanging around him and his brother and a few other kids there in the background.
- Q. And that was before Heidi Allen went -- came up missing.
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. So Tonya Wheeler, so the Court is clear, is actually -- is also now known as Tonya Priest?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And do you know when Tonya Priest married Sid Shaw?
- A. No. It was after Heidi disappeared, quite sometime later, and it's not like I kept track of these kids.

Eventually I learned that she was married to Sid and I believe my response was oh, my God.

Q. All right, could you just describe to me how your relationship evolved over the years from when Sid Shaw was

1 11:50:21 11:50:25 2 3 11:50:26 11:50:29 4 11:50:29 5 11:50:33 6 7 11:50:39 11:50:44 8 11:50:46 11:50:50 10 11:50:50 11 11:50:54 **12** 11:50:58 13 11:50:59 14 11:51:04 15 11:51:07 16 11:51:10 17 11:51:13 18 11:51:15 19 11:51:25 **20** 11:51:26 **21** 11:51:28 **22** 11:51:29 23 11:51:35 24 11:51:36 **25**

a teenager up to and including the present time?

MR. MOODY: I'm going to object. Is this a relationship with Sid or the relationship with Tonya?

MS. PEEBLES: Sid.

THE COURT: She said Sid. Limit it to Sid.

- A. I -- I had no relationship with -- with Sid or Tonya really. They -- they were just around because I was hanging out with Hermie.
- **Q.** When you say they were around, how often did you see them?
 - A. Not -- not frequently.
- Q. Well, a couple times a week, a couple times a month, twice a year?
- A. No set standard time frame, just whenever they happened to have been at their uncle's and I was there too.
- **Q.** Okay, so essentially you would see him at various family functions and gatherings because you were friends with uncle Hermie Jordal?
- **A.** I hung out with him more than that. We cut firewood and that's about it.

THE COURT: For my clarification, are you talking about Hermie or Sid?

THE WITNESS: Hermie. The uncle. I didn't have a relationship with the kids.

Q. So the only time you really saw Sid Shaw and Tonya

11:51:39 1 11:51:42 2 11:51:45 3 11:51:45 4 11:51:46 5 11:51:48 6 7 11:51:52 11:51:53 8 11:51:57 9 11:51:57 10 11:51:59 11 11:52:01 **12** 11:52:04 13 11:52:17 14 11:52:22 15 11:52:25 16 11:52:28 17 11:52:29 18 11:52:31 19 11:52:32 20 11:52:34 **21** 11:52:43 22 12:50:34 **23** 12:59:33 24

12:59:36 **25**

Priest was when you were with Hermie or doing something in conjunction with Hermie Jordal. I'm just trying to get this straight.

- A. Correct.
- **Q.** And you have no recollection of Tonya Priest or Wheeler working at East Coast Travel?
 - A. No.

MR. MOODY: I object. I thought it was East Coast Resorts.

MS. PEEBLES: Resorts. I keep saying travel. I think I want to travel actually.

THE COURT: Do you want to try East Coast Resorts? Maybe it will help you.

Q. I want to talk to you about an individual named Roger Breckenridge. Do you know Roger Breckenridge?

THE COURT: This might be a good time to break about five minutes. I assume this is going to be more than five minutes line of questioning.

MS. PEEBLES: Yes.

THE COURT: Why don't we break now till one o'clock. Court's in recess.

(Whereupon, there was a recess.)

THE COURT: Mr. Bohrer. Would you swear him in again please just so there's no question? Mr. Bohrer, you're going to be sworn in again, okay?

12:59:40 1 12:59:44 2 3 12:59:48 4 5 12:59:48 12:59:55 6 12:59:55 7 8 12:59:57 01:00:01 9 01:00:04 10 01:00:07 11 01:00:07 12 01:00:07 13 01:00:13 14 01:00:15 15 01:00:16 16 01:00:18 17 01:00:19 18 01:00:20 19 01:00:21 20 01:00:22 21 01:00:27 22 01:01:39 23 01:01:40 24 01:01:40 25

THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, left on the Bible.

M I C H A E L B O H R E R, Called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please state your name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Michael Bohrer.

THE CLERK: Have a seat please.

THE COURT: Mr. Bohrer, I'm going to again ask do you consent to having your testimony video and audio taped?

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Peebles, are we going back to the statement or are we going down the lines of where we left off?

MS. PEEBLES: I was -- I'll come back to the statement. At this point, Judge, I started asking him a question about --

THE COURT: Breckenridge.

MS. PEEBLES: Yeah.

THE COURT: Don't go. I need a pad of paper, I apologize.

(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceeding.)

THE COURT: Sorry about that.

01:01:42 1

01:01:43 2

01:01:48 3

01:01:51 4

01:01:53 **5**

01:01:56 6

01:02:01 7

01:02:19 8

01:02:26 9

01:02:31 10

01:02:38 11

01:02:46 12

01:02:55 13

01:03:01 14

01:03:02 15

01:03:03 16

01:03:07 17

01:03:11 18

01:03:16 19

01:03:20 **20**

01:03:33 **21**

01:03:39 **22**

01:03:45 23

01:03:45 24

01:03:46 25

BY MS. PEEBLES:

- **Q.** Mr. Bohrer, I want to ask you some questions about an individual named Roger Breckenridge. Do you know who Roger Breckenridge is?
 - A. I do now, yes.
 - Q. When is the first time you met Roger Breckenridge?
- A. Somebody brought an incident to my attention after this story came out in the papers. It was at Tom Martin's residence where his -- well, actually Tom Martin's mother's residence, a Pat Hollenbeck (ph) reminded me that that's when Tom Martin, or that's when Tom Martin introduced Breckenridge to me, and at that time I acknowledged oh, that's Breckenridge? I didn't remember until I was reminded.
 - Q. What year was that?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. Okay, was it before 1994?
 - A. No.
 - Q. When -- when was it?
 - A. I don't remember.
- **Q.** Did you recall being interviewed on March twenty-first of 2013 with Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And do you recall telling the investigators that

01:03:51 1

01:03:55 2

01:03:57

3

5

01:04:00 4

01:04:03

01:04:10 6

01:04:15 7

01:04:17 8

01:04:18 9

01:04:22 **10**

01:04:23 11

01:04:27 **12**

01:04:28 13

01:04:29 14

01:04:31 **15**

01:04:34 **16**

01:04:41 17

01:04:51 18

01:04:52 19

01:04:56 20

01:04:59 21

01:05:01 22

01:05:04 23

01:05:05 24

01:05:12 **25**

Roger Breckenridge had the eyes of a killer?

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge. What's the relevance of what his eyes look like?

THE COURT: I'll allow it.

A. Yeah, he's got scary eyes.

Q. I'm going to ask you some questions about Rich Murtaugh's junkyard.

A. Okay.

Q. You're familiar with Rich Murtaugh's junkyard?

A. Yes, I am.

Q. And you scrapped vehicles at Rich Murtaugh's junkyard?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. And you were scrapping vehicles during the time period when Heidi Allen went missing, is that correct?

A. Yeah, I believe I -- I started scrapping before she --

Q. And --

A. Yeah, I was, I had just started scrapping, yes.

Q. And you were scrapping at Rich Murtaugh's junkyard?

A. Primarily, yes.

Q. Now I'm going to ask you some questions about James Steen. Do you know James Steen?

A. No, I didn't, and he doesn't -- I -- I don't know him.

1 01:05:13 01:05:17 2 3 01:05:20 01:05:21 4 01:05:24 5 01:05:25 6 7 01:05:28 01:05:29 8 01:05:38 9 01:05:40 10 01:05:43 11 01:05:44 12 01:05:46 13 01:05:48 14 01:05:53 **15** 01:06:05 **16** 01:06:08 17 01:06:12 18 01:06:16 19 01:06:18 20 01:06:21 21 01:06:26 **22** 01:06:28 23 01:06:30 24

01:06:31 **25**

- **Q.** When you were asked by Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson back in 2013 --
 - A. Um hum.
 - Q. -- did you tell him that his name rang a bell?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- **Q.** Okay, and why did that ring a bell? Why did his name ring a bell?
- A. Because the name Thumper. I've heard the name Thumper. That's --
 - Q. You know that's his nickname.
 - A. I do now.
- Q. Well, when's the first time you heard the name Thumper?
- A. From Tom Martin talking about Breckenridge and -- and Thumper. He -- he tells stories about people and these names would typically pop up out of his mouth.
 - **Q.** What do you mean he tells stories about people?
- **A.** He's a pathological liar. He believe -- you can only believe about ten percent of what he says.
 - **Q.** Okay, and what makes you say that?
 - A. Um --

MR. MOODY: Judge, I'm going to object. Are we asking one witness to testify about the credibility of another witness?

THE COURT: I think if he has some knowledge

1 01:06:33 01:06:36 2 3 01:06:40 01:06:40 4 01:06:47 5 01:06:49 6 7 01:06:52 01:06:53 8 01:06:54 9 01:06:55 **10** 01:06:56 11 01:06:57 12 01:06:59 **13** 01:07:00 14 01:07:01 **15** 01:07:02 **16** 01:07:04 17 01:07:10 18 01:07:12 19 01:07:19 20 01:07:25 21 01:07:30 22 01:07:34 23 01:07:37 24

01:07:42 **25**

of why he -- what's the basis of his disbelief, Mr.

Martin's, it's relevant to a limited amount. Go ahead,

Mr. --

A. Tom Martin I befriended --

MR. MOODY: Judge, can we have some clarification? Maybe I'm confused. I thought he was talking about Steen. Is he talking about Martin talking about Steen?

THE COURT: He's talking about credibility of --

MS. PEEBLES: Steen.

THE COURT: No, oh, no, I think he's talking about Mr. Martin.

MS. PEEBLES: Oh, okay.

MR. MOODY: That's where I was confused.

Q. Clear that up for me please, Mr. Bohrer.

A. For years, you know, Martin goes rambling on about this person, that person, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah, and I don't have any visual of the person because most of these people I have no clue who they are, but through the years it becomes repetitious names.

Q. Okay, I just need to clear this up in my own head. Whose credibility were you questioning when I asked you the question before?

THE COURT: Let's be more specific. Who do

1 01:07:44 01:07:46 2 01:07:47 3 01:07:55 4 01:07:57 5 6 01:08:01 7 01:08:03 8 01:08:06 9 01:08:07 01:08:10 10 01:08:11 11 01:08:13 12 01:08:17 13 01:08:22 14 01:08:28 15 01:08:31 16 01:08:33 17 01:08:46 18 01:08:50 19 01:08:54 20 01:08:57 21 01:08:59 22 01:09:02 23

01:09:04 24

01:09:07 25

you only believe ten percent of the time?

THE WITNESS: Tom Martin.

- Q. Okay, now, I was asking you about Steen. Thumper.
- A. Yeah, I didn't know Thumper.
- **Q.** So what stories was Tom Martin telling you about Steen?

MR. MOODY: I'm going to object, Judge. We're really getting into hearsay now.

THE COURT: Sustained.

A. Ask the question again?

THE COURT: No, you don't have to answer.

- Q. I guess I need some clarification from you, Mr. Bohrer, with respect to your knowledge about James Steen, James Thumper Steen. Can you please tell me what contact you've had with James Steen through the years that you've lived in Oswego County?
- **A.** Very few, and the few times I -- I may have, he was probably hanging out with Tom Martin.
- **Q.** So when you're hanging out with Tom Martin, that's when you would see James Steen, is that a fair statement?
 - **A.** And Breckenridge, yes.
- **Q.** Okay, so Breckenridge and Steen were together with Tom Martin?
 - A. I don't think that ever occurred.
 - Q. Okay, tell me what occurred.

01:09:10 1 01:09:12 2 01:09:13 3 01:09:14 4 01:09:18 5 6 01:09:28 01:09:46 7 01:09:57 8 01:10:01 9 01:10:08 10 01:10:14 11 01:10:20 12 01:10:21 13 01:10:22 14 01:10:28 15 01:10:33 16 01:10:39 17 01:10:43 18 01:10:47 19 01:10:48 20 01:10:52 21 01:10:54 22 01:10:55 23 01:10:58 24 01:10:59 **25**

MR. MOODY: Can we have a time frame here?

THE COURT: Do you have some type of idea

when?

Q. Can you tell us when this would have been?

A. I met Tom Martin through Hermie Jordal the year of -- it was wintertime. I don't recall the exact year.

Q. Could you give me an approximation? Was it when --

A. After -- after ninety-four.

Q. You're certain it was after ninety-four?

A. Yeah, but it was just a brief encounter, and then I didn't meet him again for -- until probably the following spring.

Q. Okay.

A. Because I had to go pick up a trailer for Hermie Jordal, and the trailer was located at Tom Martin, and that would have been the second time I met Tom Martin or, oh, it was before ninety -- it was in ninety-four I met him.

Q. You met Roger Breckenridge in ninety-four or Steen,
I'm sorry, I'm trying to --

A. It had to have been in ninety -- the winter of ninety-four.

MR. MOODY: Judge, I'm going to object. Who are we talking about? We have three or four different names we're trying to talk about.

THE COURT: Who did you meet in the winter of

01:11:01 1

01:11:02 2

01:11:09 3

01:11:12 4

01:11:16 5

01:11:27 6

01:11:30 7

01:11:33

01:11:42

01:11:54 10

01:12:02 11

01:12:08 12

01:12:11 13

01:12:14 14

01:12:15 15

01:12:17 16

01:12:19 17

01:12:20 18

01:12:22 19

01:12:24 20

01:12:26 21

01:12:29 22

01:12:32 23

01:12:35 24

01:12:38 25

1994, sir?

THE WITNESS: I -- I met Tom Martin.

Q. Okay, I'm not talking about Tom Martin. When did you meet Roger Breckenridge? That's my question.

A. It was before the trailer, so it was sometime I guess in ninety-four.

Q. And how about Mr. Steen?

A. Never, never did. If I did it was a very uneventful time. I didn't care for most of Tom Martin's friends.

I -- like I said, I only interacted with Tom Martin on a few occasions because he would show up at Hermie's.

Q. Okay, you keep wanting to bring up Tom Martin so why don't we talk about Mr. Martin. When did you first meet Mr. Martin?

MR. MOODY: Judge, I'm going to object. Why are we now talking about Mr. Martin? How does this relate to anything with this motion?

THE COURT: What's the relevance?

MS. PEEBLES: Every time I talk about Mr. Breckenridge and try to ask a question, or Mr. Steen, Tom Martin comes up, so I need to clear up why that is.

THE COURT: Earlier that's what --

A. I befriended Tom Martin for seventeen years.

THE COURT: Mr. Bohrer, be quiet. He answered earlier that's where he would see Steen and

1 01:12:40 01:12:42 2 3 01:12:42 01:12:45 4 01:12:46 5 01:12:47 7 01:12:49 01:12:52 8 01:12:53 9 01:12:54 10 01:12:57 11 01:12:59 12 01:12:59 13 01:13:01 14 01:13:02 15 01:13:04 16 01:13:06 17 01:13:07 18 01:13:10 19 01:13:10 20 01:13:12 21 01:13:14 22 01:13:16 23 01:13:18 24

01:13:18 **25**

Breckenridge, at Tom Martin's. He already answered that.

- Q. Do you still see Tom Martin today?
- A. Heck, no.
- **Q.** Why not?

MR. MOODY: Judge, I object. Where is the relevance of why he does or doesn't meet Tom Martin?

THE COURT: Sustained.

MS. PEEBLES: Well, Your Honor, I disagree.

He basically said that he didn't like any of the people

Tom Martin hung around with and I'd like to know who

those individuals are.

THE COURT: Why's it relevant if he still sees
Tom Martin?

MS. PEEBLES: Because that's when he sees Roger Breckenridge and James Steen.

THE COURT: He already testified he hung out with Mr. Martin a couple of times so I'm going to sustain.

MS. PEEBLES: Well, a couple of times? Well, I don't think that that's fair characterization of the testimony and I want clarification on that.

THE COURT: Ask him how many times he's seen Tom Martin.

Q. How many times have you seen Tom Martin?

01:13:20

01:13:22 2

1

01:13:25 3

01:13:25 4

01:13:34 **5**

01:13:40

01:13:51 7

01:14:32 8

01:14:41 9

01:14:44 10

01:14:47 11

01:14:47 12

01:14:52 13

01:15:05 14

01:15:06 15

01:15:09 **16**

01:15:12 17

01:15:15 18

01:15:21 19

01:15:25 **20**

01:15:25 21

01:15:27 22

01:15:27 23

01:15:29 24

01:15:41 **25**

A. Between what time and what time?

Q. Between the first time you met him until the present day.

A. I met him once before in the wintertime. The spring I met him at his house or mother's house to pick up the trailer. I delivered the trailer, set it up with Hermie, and the next time I seen Martin, okay, probably -- I don't -- I don't remember. It was after -- it was after ninety-four.

Q. So the last time you spoke with Tom Martin was after ninety-four.

A. You got the winter of -- of ninety-three, I'm sorry, and then the spring of ninety-four. It's fuzzy. It's so long ago.

Q. So you haven't had any recent contact with Tom Martin, is that what you're saying?

A. Recent? No.

Q. Now, I asked you some questions about Murtaugh's junkyard, and you're familiar with Murtaugh's junkyard?

A. Um hum.

Q. Do you know Rich Murtaugh?

A. Yes.

Q. How do you know Rich Murtaugh?

A. Because he is the son of the owner of the Crosby Hills Junkyard.

- 01:15:42
- 01:15:46 2
- 01:15:52 3
- 01:15:58 4
- 01:15:58
- 01:16:02
- 01:16:06 7
- 01:16:07 8
- 01:16:30
- 01:16:33 **10**
- 01:16:35 11
- 01:16:37 12
- 01:16:38 13
- 01:16:41 14
- 01:16:41 15
- 01:16:42 16
- 01:16:45 17
- 01:16:49 18
- 01:16:49 **19**
- 01:17:12 20
- 01:17:12 21
- 01:17:14 22
- 01:17:18 23
- 01:17:23 24
- 01:17:25 25

- Q. Where is Crosby Hill Junkyard located?
- A. Close to Fulton. I'm not sure if it's North Volney or if there's a town in between there. I think it's North Volney.
- **Q.** Now, when you were -- well, when were you first introduced to Rich Murtaugh, the son of Crosby Hill, the owner of Crosby Hill?
- **A.** Introduced to him. I don't -- he was just the son of the guy that owns the junkyard. I mean --
 - Q. Did you ever hang out with him?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Ever talk to him?
 - A. Eventually --
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. -- we talked.
- **Q.** When -- do you remember or do you have a time frame of when the first time you spoke with Rich Murtaugh would be?
- **A.** There's a reason why I took interest in Richard Murtaugh.
 - Q. Okay, why was that?
 - A. Because I was looking for Heidi Allen.
- **Q.** Were you looking for Heidi Allen when? Well, let me ask you this. I asked when the first time you had a conversation with Rich Murtaugh was. Can you tell me when,

1 01:17:28 2 01:17:31 01:17:34 3 01:17:35 01:17:39 5 01:17:44 6 01:17:44 7 01:17:46 8 01:17:46 9 01:17:51 10 01:17:53 11 01:17:54 12 01:18:03 **13** 01:18:11 14 01:18:14 **15** 01:18:31 **16** 01:18:35 17 01:18:37 18 01:18:40 19 01:18:42 20 01:18:44 21 01:18:47 22 01:18:51 23 01:18:51 24

01:18:54 **25**

what date that was? Was that before or after Heidi Allen went missing?

- A. Before.
- **Q.** Okay, so you had a conversation with Rich Murtaugh before Heidi Allen was abducted, is that a fair statement?
 - A. Well, when?
- **Q.** Well, I don't know, whatever, the first time you talked to him.
- **A.** I was junking. I established I was junking cars before Heidi Allen disappeared.
 - Q. Right.
- **A.** So I may have had, you know, dialogue with him pertaining to junk cars.
 - Q. Before Heidi Allen went missing.
- A. Yeah, but I just don't understand. I guess I'm -- he was just a guy that worked at the junkyard. I mean --
- **Q.** Did you have any other type of relationship with Rich Murtaugh other than talking to him when you would go to scrap cars?
 - A. In ninety-four? No.

MR. MOODY: Judge, can I -- can we approach for just a second? It's not on anything legal. I just have a question.

THE COURT: Sure.

(Whereupon, there was an off the record

01:19:37 1

01:19:37 2

01:19:41

01:19:44

01:19:48 5

6 01:19:49

7 01:19:51

01:19:53 8

9 01:19:57

01:20:03 10

01:20:03 11

01:20:04 12

01:20:06 **13**

01:20:17 14

01:20:23 **15**

01:20:26 16

01:20:28 17

01:20:37 18

01:20:43 19

01:20:44 **20**

01:20:46 21

01:20:51 **22**

01:20:58 23

01:21:01 24

01:21:05 **25**

discussion at the bench.)

MS. PEEBLES: Can I just ask a question?

THE COURT: Sure. I think it was left with

Mr. Bohrer saying he was just a guy who worked at the junkyard.

- We had no relationship.
- Q. Okay, and you never had any relationship with Rich Murtaugh?
- I -- the question confused me because I just answered that --
 - Q. All right, I apologize, let me --
- Α. -- question. At the time that frame that we're talking about, I didn't at first until somewhere along the line I wanted to know if he had junked a blue van.
- Q. Why -- why did you want to know if he junked a blue van?
- A. Because three days after Heidi turned up missing, I knew somebody with a blue van that was no longer parked where it was parked.
 - Q. Okay, who was that somebody?
 - A. It was at the -- Matt Duell's Sawmill.
 - Q. Okay, you didn't know who owned that van?
- A. Yes. The girl at the video store informed me that that was Matt Duell's van.
 - Okay, and you -- had you approached Rich Murtaugh Q.

01:21:11

1

2

01:21:12

01:21:22 3

01:21:28 4

01:21:33 5

01:21:33 6

01:21:39 7

01:21:44 8

01:21:57

01:22:11 10

01:22:19 11

01:22:26 12

01:22:33 13

01:22:41 14

01:22:47 15

01:22:50 16

01:22:55 17

01:22:57 **18**

01:23:00 **19**

01:23:09 **20**

01:23:12 **21**

01:23:16 22

01:23:21 23

01:23:24 24

01:23:24 25

about that van?

A. Rich Murtaugh, yeah, I -- I got bodacious and says hey, did you junk Matt Duell's van, and he looked at me and smiled, says yes, I did.

Q. Okay.

A. And then he volunteered a statement that really surprised me, and he says no, Heidi Allen was not in the van, and I said -- I forget what I said, or maybe I just responded, and he responded with something pertaining to if she was in the van, it would be impossible to hide because it would be constantly dripping blood, and I said really. He says, oh, yeah, he says whenever it rains or whatever, so I kind of like wondered how he knew that, but I accepted his expertise being a junker, you know. I don't know what his experiences are, but I got the information I was looking for as a validation of where the van went.

Q. Where -- where did the van go?

A. And I was specifically looking for blue van because of the statement by the eyewitness, I believe the first two statements that he wrote, he was indicating a blue van.

Q. Okay, I'm going to ask you a little bit about that. Now in the box of information that you had that you turned over to Investigator Pietroski and Johnson.

A. Um hum.

Q. You had Christopher Bivens' statements in there?

01:23:28 1

01:23:29

2

6

7

01:23:39 3

01:23:43 4

01:23:44 5

01:23:45

01:23:54

01:23:58

01:23:59 9

01:24:00 10

01:24:02 11

01:24:04 12

01:24:05 13

01:24:07 14

01:24:10 15

01:24:10 **16**

01:24:11 17

01:24:13 18

01:24:17 19

01:24:20 **20**

01:24:24 **21**

01:24:29 22

01:24:32 23

01:24:36 **24**

01:24:41 25

A. Yes.

Q. Do you remember that? In fact, you talked to Investigator Pietroski and Johnson about having those statements, do you remember that?

A. Yes.

Q. And he was for all intents and purposes the only eyewitness that had come forward. Is that --

MR. MOODY: I'm going to object about how this witness would know that.

THE COURT: I'm confused about who we're talking about at this point.

MS. PEEBLES: Christopher Bivens.

THE COURT: Lay some foundation as to whether or not he has any knowledge that he's the only one that came forward.

Q. Well, let me hand you --

MS. PEEBLES: If I may approach, Your Honor.

Q. I'm going to hand you Defendant's Exhibit 52 and ask if you can take a look at that document and if you recognize that document as being in the box that they -- that you turned over.

THE COURT: Fifty-two, Ms. Peebles?

MS. PEEBLES: Yes, fifty-two.

- A. Did you have all seven statements in the box still?
- Q. Well, I can hand you what's also been marked as

1 01:24:44 01:24:46 2 3 01:24:49 4 01:24:50 01:24:52 5 01:24:55 6 7 01:24:57 01:25:00 8 01:25:04 01:25:06 **10**

01:25:11 **12** 01:25:14 **13** 01:25:16 **14**

01:25:10 11

01:25:22 **16** 01:25:23 **17**

01:25:17 15

01:25:25 **18**

01:25:26 19

01:25:27 **20**

01:25:28 21

01:25:31 22

01:25:32 23

01:25:34 24

01:25:36 25

Defendant's Exhibit 52A.

. I made a comment to the investigator that -
THE COURT: No, no, that's not the question
before you. The question is do you recognize what's
been handed to you.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- **Q.** And was that the document that was in your box that you turned over to Investigator Pietroski?
 - A. Yeah. I had just about all of them.
 - Q. Okay, I'll get to that. I want to -- you to -
 MS. PEEBLES: At this time I'd like to offer

 Exhibit 52, Your Honor, into evidence. It's not

 offered for the truth of the matter.

THE COURT: Well, I'm confused. Is fifty-two -- the witness spoke of seven pages and I'm seeing two pages.

MS. PEEBLES: 52A is the other statement that he was referring to.

THE COURT: Not this alleged statement from Mr. Bivens.

MS. PEEBLES: Right. Mr. Bivens gave multiple statements and he's referring to Exhibit 52.

THE COURT: So why do you want to offer fifty-two?

MS. PEEBLES: I want to offer fifty-two in

1 01:25:38 01:25:40 2 3 01:25:42 01:25:43 4 01:25:44 01:25:46 6 7 01:25:49 01:25:51 8 01:25:53 9 01:25:54 10 01:25:54 11 01:25:56 **12** 01:25:57 13 01:25:59 14 01:26:00 15 01:26:03 16 01:26:04 17 01:26:06 18 01:26:08 19 01:26:09 **20** 21 01:26:11 22 01:26:13 23 01:26:17 24

25

because I want to ask him some questions about having it in his box and he just suggested --

THE WITNESS: I need to know which version of his statement this is.

THE COURT: Hold on, hold on.

MR. MOODY: I just didn't want him speaking while a legal discussion was going on, that's all.

THE COURT: It's hearsay.

MS. PEEBLES: I'm not offering it for the truth of the matter.

THE COURT: He can't testify to what Bivens said.

MS. PEEBLES: I'm not going to ask him that.

MR. MOODY: Maybe I'm lost then. If she wants to know why it was in the box, she can ask him why it was in the box.

MS. PEEBLES: I have more questions. I'm not asking him about the contents.

THE COURT: As long as she doesn't go along the line of what did Mr. Bivens say --

MS. PEEBLES: Absolutely not.

THE COURT: -- it doesn't broach the issue of hearsay. I'm not sure what it's worth, but I'll let it in. Take it away from him.

(Defendant's Exhibit No. 52 was received in

01:26:18

1

4

01:26:18 2

01:26:20 3

01:26:23

01:26:25 5

01:26:27

01:26:28 7

01:26:29 8

01:26:29 9

01:26:32 10

01:26:38 11

01:26:41 **12**

01:26:42 13

01:26:44 14

01:26:47 15

01:26:51 **16**

01:26:51 17

01:26:52 **18**

01:26:55 19

01:26:57 **20**

01:26:59 21

01:27:04 22

01:27:07 23

01:27:08 24

01:27:12 25

evidence).

- Q. Was that in your box?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Take that. And --

THE COURT: So you're offering it for the fact that it was in his box?

MS. PEEBLES: Right.

THE COURT: And that's it.

Q. And also can you take a look at that document please? Is there a fax number at the top of that document?

MR. MOODY: Judge, I'm going to object. I don't understand what -- if she wants to talk about what's in the box, we have the box here, I don't -- I haven't seen the document that she's got. What does the fax number have to do with this? The fax is purely hearsay.

MS. PEEBLES: Your Honor, I'm trying to establish how he acquired this document.

THE COURT: Ask him that question.

- Q. Do you recall how you acquired this document?
- A. I acquired all of the documents from various people.
- **Q.** Is there a fax on the top of that document, that's what I'm asking, is there a fax?
 - A. Well, it says tel on it. Yes.
 - Q. And there's a number associated with that?

1 01:27:15 2 01:27:21 3 01:27:22 4 01:27:23 01:27:24 5 01:27:26 6 7 01:27:27 8 01:27:31 01:27:33 9 01:27:36 10 01:27:38 11 01:27:40 12 01:27:41 13 01:27:44 14 01:27:47 15 01:27:48 16 01:27:50 17 01:27:51 18 01:27:53 19 01:27:56 **20** 01:27:56 21

01:27:58 **22**

01:28:01 23

01:28:03 24

01:28:04 **25**

- A. What, the -- the 423P44?
- Q. Well --
- **A.** Or the phone number itself?

MR. MOODY: I'm going to object. What does the fax number have to do with it?

THE COURT: Yeah, what's the fax number -- and I think it's irrelevant, it's a question of relevancy. What's the fax number have to do with it? Are you going to try to find out who he ultimately got it from?

MS. PEEBLES: Exactly. There is a fax on it.

I want to know if it was faxed to him.

THE COURT: Can you just ask him that?

- **Q.** Was this -- well, there's a fax number at the top. Was this document faxed to you, Mr. Bohrer?
 - A. No, it was not.
- **Q.** Is there a fax reference at the top of that document?

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge. What is the fax reference, if it wasn't faxed to him, what does the fax reference have to do with it?

THE COURT: You haven't laid any foundation if he knows what the fax is for one thing. I'm going to sustain the objection.

MS. PEEBLES: Well, it's in evidence.

THE COURT: It's in evidence for the fact that

1 01:28:06 01:28:07 2 3 01:28:09 01:28:11 4 01:28:13 5 01:28:15 6 7 01:28:18 01:28:19 8 9 01:28:22 01:28:24 10 11 01:28:27 12 01:28:28 13 01:28:31 14 01:28:32 **15** 01:28:33 16 01:28:34 17 01:28:38 18 01:28:42 19 01:28:46 **20** 01:28:54 21 01:28:56 22 01:29:01 23 01:29:07 24 01:29:08 **25**

it came out of his box and that's it.

MS. PEEBLES: Well, I think, Your Honor, that I should be able to ask him where the fax came from.

THE COURT: Ask him that question.

Q. Where did the fax come from according to the document?

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge.

THE COURT: No, you can ask him where he got the document from. There's been no foundation he has any idea who that fax number is.

Q. Do you have any --

THE COURT: Hold it. The fax number is hearsay. You're going beyond why you introduced it. Sustained.

MS. PEEBLES: Again, I would disagree.

THE COURT: I understand.

Q. All right, Mr. Bohrer, do you have any recollection of where you acquired that statement?

A. It could be from any one of several people. I did not write on these copies which one came from what person.

Q. All right. Now, Mr. Bohrer, I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 52A and ask if you recognize these documents as being in your box.

THE COURT: This is another statement, Ms. Peebles?

01:29:09 2 01:29:12 01:29:13 3 01:29:18 4 01:29:21 6 01:29:25 7 01:29:27 8 01:29:35 01:29:39 9 01:29:41 10 01:29:45 11 01:29:47 12 01:29:49 13 01:29:50 14 01:29:51 15 01:29:53 16 01:29:56 17 01:29:58 18 01:30:00 19 01:30:00 20 01:30:02 21 01:30:06 22 01:30:09 23 01:30:12 24

01:30:12 **25**

MS. PEEBLES: It's a variation of Christopher Bivens' statement.

THE COURT: Okay.

- **A.** They're official statements, and they're pertaining to the subject at hand.
 - Q. Okay, and the subject at hand --
- A. And I established I had every single one at one time so.
- **Q.** Did you view that as a -- did you view these documents, fifty-two and 52A as important documents?

MR. MOODY: I'm going to object, Judge. What does it matter his view of these statements?

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain.

MS. PEEBLES: They're his documents.

THE COURT: So who cares, he's not a police investigator, he thinks they're important or not?

MS. PEEBLES: I disagree. He labeled himself an investigator and I'm getting to that.

THE COURT: Sustained.

A. I was only concerned about --

THE COURT: Stop, stop. Sustained. With regard to the question regarding the importance is not relevant to whether or not Mr. Bohrer believes they're important.

Q. Why did you have these documents, Mr. Bohrer?

1 01:30:14 2 01:30:23 3 01:30:29 4 01:30:33 01:30:37 01:30:39 6 7 01:30:42 01:30:44 8 01:30:46 01:30:48 10 01:30:50 11 01:30:53 **12** 01:30:55 13 01:30:56 14 01:30:58 15 01:31:01 **16** 01:31:04 17 01:31:08 18 01:31:41 19 01:31:43 20 01:31:44 21 01:31:45 22 01:31:48 23 01:31:49 24 01:31:50 **25**

A. Because there were so many changes in the eyewitness testimony. It went from blue to white van.

Q. And did you think that was a significant fact?

THE COURT: If you want to ask him why, why does an important -- nothing against Mr. Bohrer, don't take it wrong, Mr. Bohrer, whether or not he thinks

I'm going to object to what --

it's important? Does he work for one of the Sheriff's

MS. PEEBLES: Well --

Department, the FBI, the State Troopers?

MR. MOODY:

THE COURT: If you want to -- if he's a private investigator, get to that right now, then you might be able to go back to these questions.

Q. Mr. Bohrer, did you consider yourself to be an investigator? Did you consider yourself to be Investigator A in this investigation?

A. A investigator.

Q. Mr. Bohrer, I'm going to hand you --

THE COURT: Can you give me fifty-two and take back 52A then?

MS. PEEBLES: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

MS. PEEBLES: I took that 52A.

THE COURT: Oh, you did.

MS. PEEBLES: Yeah.

1 01:31:51 01:31:53 2 3 01:31:54 01:32:37 4 01:32:40 5 01:32:44 6 7 01:32:45 01:32:47 8 9 01:32:49 01:33:09 10 01:33:20 11 01:33:24 **12** 01:33:28 13 01:33:36 14 01:33:38 **15** 01:33:38 16 01:33:40 17 01:33:42 18 01:33:44 19 01:33:47 20 01:33:53 21 01:33:55 **22** 01:33:57 **23** 01:34:05 **24** 01:34:08 **25**

THE COURT: Can I have fifty-two then?

MS. PEEBLES: Yes.

THE COURT: Or just on the -- thank you.

- **Q.** Mr. Bohrer, I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 56 and ask if you can identify that document for me.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What is that document?
- **A.** This was a document that I wrote to express my outrage as to missing Heidi Allen and how it was being handled.
- **Q.** Can you flip to the last page of that document? When did you create that document?

MR. MOODY: Judge, is this document in evidence now?

MS. PEEBLES: I haven't offered it yet.

THE COURT: She hasn't offered it. It's a legitimate question.

- A. Well, I don't know why the date isn't on the front page where the title is because I would have. I don't know why there isn't one on there.
- Q. Are you saying that that didn't come out of your box that you gave over to Investigator Pietroski?
 - A. Well, no, I'm -- I'm recognizing my writing.
 - Q. Okay, okay.

1 01:34:10 2 01:34:11 01:34:15 3 01:34:16 01:34:17 5 01:34:21 7 01:35:00 01:35:01 8 01:35:02 9 01:35:03 10 01:35:04 11 01:35:07 12 01:35:09 13 01:35:09 14 01:35:11 15 01:35:13 16 01:35:17 17 01:35:17 18 01:35:18 19 01:35:20 20 01:35:23 21 01:35:26 22 01:35:28 23 01:35:29 24

01:35:30 **25**

MS. PEEBLES: Your Honor, at this time I'd like to offer exhibit --

THE COURT: For what --

MR. MOODY: Can I see it?

THE COURT: Yeah, sure. Can we just confirm there's no date on it.

MR. MOODY: I have no objection.

THE COURT: Excuse me?

MR. MOODY: I have no objection.

THE COURT: Fifty-six.

MR. MOODY: Is there a limited purpose on this? Is this just to prove he had the box or --

MS. PEEBLES: No.

THE COURT: This is for introduction.

MS. PEEBLES: If it's in evidence, I'm going to ask him to read parts of it, it's in evidence. He wrote it. He wrote it.

THE COURT: That's part of the problem as to why he is going to -- you're going to ask him to read it in what capacity, as a citizen?

MS. PEEBLES: Well, let's ask him.

THE WITNESS: I -- at the time that I wrote --

THE COURT: There's no question. There's no question, sir.

Q. I'm going to hand you back what's been marked as

1:35:32 **1** Exhibit 56.

MR. MOODY: Judge, if it's for the purpose that he had it in his box, I have no objection. If we're going to get into whatever is in the statement, then I'm not sure how this isn't hearsay.

MS. PEEBLES: He's here to testify about what he wrote. He just admitted that he crafted that document.

THE COURT: Still an out of court statement, Ms. Peebles.

MS. PEEBLES: He's here, Your Honor, it's admissible.

THE COURT: He can testify to what he said, not read it.

MS. PEEBLES: He just agreed to let it in.

MR. MOODY: Because I thought it was for the purpose it was in the box. I'm sorry that I misunderstood, but we wouldn't --

THE COURT: Let's back up. Let's back up. Stop. You're moving it in.

MS. PEEBLES: I'm moving it in.

THE COURT: We're beyond the issue of whether or not it came out of Mr. Bohrer's --

MS. PEEBLES: I want to question him about what he wrote in there. I think I'm entitled to it.

01:35:32 01:35:33 2 3 01:35:35 4 01:35:37 01:35:39 5 01:35:42 7 01:35:44 8 01:35:47 01:35:47 01:35:50 10 01:35:50 11 01:35:52 **12** 01:35:52 13 01:35:54 14 01:35:54 15 01:35:56 16 01:35:58 17 01:35:59 18 01:36:01 19 01:36:03 20 01:36:05 21 01:36:05 22 01:36:07 23 01:36:09 24

01:36:10 25

1 01:36:12 2 01:36:14 3 01:36:15 01:36:16 4 01:36:20 5 01:36:21 6 7 8 01:36:23 01:36:23 01:36:29 10 01:36:33 11 01:36:34 **12** 01:36:36 13 01:36:36 14 01:36:38 15 01:36:40 **16** 01:36:43 17 01:36:48 18 01:36:54 19 01:36:55 **20** 01:36:56 **21** 01:36:59 **22** 01:37:01 23 01:37:02 24 01:37:08 **25**

THE COURT: And your objection is it's hearsay.

MR. MOODY: Essentially, yes.

THE COURT: I'll let it in. I'm not sure what weight I'm going to give it. It's written by somebody who is not an investigator.

(Defendant's Exhibit No. 56 was received in evidence).

- **Q.** All right, flip to the last page of that document. Did you refer to yourself on that page as Investigator A?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Okay, and you wrote that, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And who is Investigator B?
 - A. Jim Beningfield (ph).
 - **Q.** Who is Jim Beningfield?
- **A.** He was the investigator that the Allen family had hired to investigate into the disappearance of Heidi.
 - Q. Did you --
 - A. From Texas.
- **Q.** Did you tell people that you were an investigator on behalf of the Allen family?
 - A. No, I never did.
- **Q.** Who did you tell you were investigating the Heidi Allen case?

1 01:37:10 01:37:25 2 3 01:37:30 4 01:37:34 01:37:37 01:37:38 7 01:37:43 01:37:47 8 01:37:50 01:37:52 10 01:37:54 11 01:37:56 **12** 01:37:57 13 01:37:58 14 01:38:00 15 01:38:01 **16** 01:38:05 17 01:38:07 18 01:38:18 19 01:38:27 20 01:38:31 21 01:38:34 **22** 01:38:37 23 01:38:39 24 01:38:42 **25**

A. I lived my life publically. I had no problem saying I'm looking into this. You got a sign in town saying where is Heidi, and I have to answer that with I don't know, but I'll find out, six times a day.

Q. Six times a day?

A. Pick a number. How many times do I go past the sign? I'm traveling with junk cars and metal.

Q. Do you still think about it six times a day?

A. Heck, no.

Q. When's the last time you thought about it six times a day?

MR. MOODY: Judge, I'm going to object. What does this have to do --

THE COURT: I'm going to allow it. Again, it's a weight issue.

A. When -- when they changed the sign.

Q. Do you remember when that was?

A. It was after I had an anxiety episode and the doctor asked me why I was so much into looking into what happened to Heidi.

Q. When was the anxiety episode?

A. And --

THE COURT: Stop, Mr. Bohrer. Do you need time to compose yourself, sir? Do you need five minutes?

01:38:42 1

01:38:44 2

01:38:46 3

01:38:56 4

01:38:59 5

01:39:03 6

01:39:08 7

01:39:11 8

01:39:13

01:39:17 10

01:39:18 11

01:39:19 12

01:39:20 13

01:39:23 14

01:39:24 15

01:39:26 **16**

01:39:30 17

01:39:30 18

01:39:31 19

01:39:35 20

01:39:46 21

01:39:50 22

01:39:59 23

01:40:00 24

01:40:02 25

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: Take some time.

- A. And I told him it's because of that damn sign.
- Q. That sign really bothered you didn't it?
- A. I always had to answer it. I don't know. But I'll find out. It's just I'm a researcher from IBM. They ask me a question that I don't know but I'll find out. It's just the way I -- my brain works.
- Q. You're getting pretty emotional. Why are you so upset?

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge.

THE COURT: Excuse me?

MR. MOODY: The gentleman's emotional. Do we

have to rehash why?

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain it. Do you

need time, sir?

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: Are you sure?

THE WITNESS: Yep.

- **Q.** So when you decided to become Investigator A, you ever consult with a psychic?
 - A. Yeah. A self-proclaimed phychic approached me.
 - Q. And who was that?
 - A. Trudy Lortie.
 - **Q.** And who is she related to?

01:40:08

1

- 01:40:13 2
- 01:40:18 3
- 01:40:21 4
- 01:40:29 5
- 01:40:31
- 01:40:33 7
- 01:40:36 8
- 01:40:38
- 01:40:39 10
- 01:40:43 11
- 01:40:45 12
- 01:40:53 13
- 01:40:54 14
- 01:41:02 15
- 01:41:09 16
- 01:41:10 17
- 01:41:11 18
- 01:41:12 19
- 01:41:14 **20**
- 01:41:25 21
- 01:41:26 **22**
- 01:41:32 **23**
- 01:41:34 24
- 01:41:41 25

- A. I guess the Lortie family?
- Q. Very good, Mr. Bohrer. She related to the Halls at all do you know? Is she married to any of the Halls?
- A. I don't remember. I thought Lortie is her married name.
 - Q. Okay, do you know what her maiden name is?
 - A. I have to assume now it was Hall?
- **Q.** Well, I don't want you to assume anything. You either know or your don't.
- A. I -- I didn't until now or I didn't remember until now. I'm sure I heard of it.
- **Q.** And you -- you consulted with her for what particular reason?
- A. She was interested in the Heidi Allen case. She had visions. She had an idea, you know. She had a story and I'm --
 - Q. Mr. Bohrer, I'm going to --
 - A. -- listening to stories.
- **Q.** I'm going to approach and hand you what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 53. Do you recognize that document?
 - A. It's my handwriting.
 - Q. It is your handwriting isn't it?
- **A.** Yeah. These are just notes to try to sort things out.

01:41:42 2 01:41:43 3 01:41:47 01:41:48 4 01:41:49 01:41:53 6 7 01:41:54 01:41:56 8 01:41:58 01:42:01 10 01:42:02 11 01:42:04 12 01:42:05 13 01:42:07 14 01:42:10 **15** 01:42:12 16 01:42:13 17 01:42:14 18 01:42:16 19 01:42:19 20 01:42:20 21 01:42:24 **22** 01:42:24 23 01:42:27 24 01:42:29 25

MS. PEEBLES: All right, at this time, Your Honor, I'd like to offer into evidence exhibit -- what's the number on there?

THE COURT: Fifty-three?

MS. PEEBLES: Fifty-three.

MR. MOODY: For what purpose? I guess I object to the relevance.

MS. PEEBLES: He just explained they were handwritten notes that he kept about the Heidi Allen case. I think it's relevant.

THE COURT: How?

MS. PEEBLES: How?

THE COURT: He's not an investigator. He's a gentleman who has a concern.

MS. PEEBLES: Judge, I think it's relevant. I'm offering it and --

THE COURT: How?

MS. PEEBLES: Because he has notes in there from a psychic about details surrounding Heidi Allen and her disappearance.

THE COURT: From his own testimony, that's a self-proclaimed psychic.

MS. PEEBLES: He wrote those notes. I want to ask him why. How is that not relevant? It goes to the heart of the matter.

1 01:42:30 2 01:42:30 3 01:42:31 4 01:42:31 01:42:33 5 01:42:35 6 7 01:42:38 8 01:42:39 9 01:42:41 01:42:41 10 01:42:45 11 01:42:45 12 01:42:45 13 01:42:56 14 01:43:02 15 01:43:04 **16** 01:43:07 17 01:43:10 18 01:43:11 19 01:43:12 **20** 01:43:12 21 01:43:13 22 01:43:15 23 01:43:18 24

01:43:18 25

THE COURT: It does?

MS. PEEBLES: Yes.

THE COURT: How?

MS. PEEBLES: How? We're here because we're accusing them of being involved in Heidi Allen --

THE COURT: You are, nobody else is.

MS. PEEBLES: Well, Judge, he's here, I called him as a witness, I'm asking him questions, he's admitting it.

THE COURT: Admitting what? That he wrote the notes?

MS. PEEBLES: Yes.

THE COURT: I'm sustaining. It's not relevant.

MS. PEEBLES: Your Honor, we're contending that the notes explain exactly what happened with Heidi Allen, and we think it's relevant and we're moving it into evidence.

THE COURT: You're asking to move it into evidence.

MS. PEEBLES: Yes.

MR. MOODY: Objection. Now it's hearsay. Now they're offering it exactly for the truth of the matter asserted.

THE COURT: Sustained.

01:43:24 01:43:25 2 3 01:43:28 01:43:30 4 01:43:30 01:43:33 7 01:43:35 01:43:36 8 01:43:38 9 01:43:39 **10** 01:43:42 11 01:44:59 **12** 01:45:00 13 01:45:03 14 01:45:07 **15** 01:45:08 16 01:45:10 17 01:45:10 18 01:45:11 19 01:45:14 20 01:45:14 21 01:45:18 22 01:45:18 23 01:45:19 24 01:45:23 **25**

MR. MOODY: From the psychic.

Q. Those are your notes though, Mr. Bohrer, right?
MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge. It's not in evidence.

THE COURT: He can identify the notes. Answer the question, sir.

A. What is the question please?

THE COURT: Are those your notes?

THE WITNESS: Yes, they are.

- Q. All those pages are your notes?
- **A.** There's a couple of lines here I'm not recognizing as my handwriting.

THE COURT: What page?

THE WITNESS: Top of page -- do I count both sides of the page?

THE COURT: Is there handwriting on both sides?

THE WITNESS: No, there isn't.

THE COURT: Count the pages that has writing

THE WITNESS: Only the last page has both sides.

THE COURT: Okay.

on it.

THE WITNESS: So it would go one, two, the top page, parenthesis, I would need to look at the original

1 01:45:29 01:45:38 2 3 01:45:42 01:45:42 4 01:45:45 5 01:45:52 6 01:45:56 7 01:45:57 8 01:45:58 9 01:46:03 10 01:46:06 11 01:46:11 12 01:46:12 13 01:46:13 14 01:46:15 15 01:46:16 16 01:46:28 17 01:46:40 18 01:46:49 19 01:46:52 20 01:46:54 21 01:46:55 **22** 01:46:58 23

01:47:03 24

01:47:09 **25**

documents. And second page I would have to look at the original documents.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: The rest of it looks like generally my handwriting because sometimes these things are done separate days or different pen.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: I have to look at the original document to concisely answer that for you.

- **Q.** So what did you take away after you met with the psychic, what was your -- what did you take away from that conversation with the psychic?
 - A. What do you mean take away?
- **Q.** Well, what was in your head after you talked with the psychic?
- A. Well, she was saying stuff that caught my attention, information that I didn't think she would know being somebody not directly involved in looking into Heidi's disappearance in the detail that I was.
 - Q. Okay, so what -- what were some of the --
 - **A.** She caught my attention.
- Q. Okay, how did she catch your attention? What -- what did you take away? Tell me what you you learned.
- **A.** Well, at the time that I met her, I personally came to the conclusion that these boys had nothing to do --

01:47:16 2 01:47:17 3 01:47:21 01:47:27 4 01:47:31 5 01:47:43 7 01:47:44 01:47:46 8 01:47:46 01:47:52 10 01:47:52 11 01:47:54 12 01:47:56 13 01:47:57 14 01:48:03 15 01:48:05 16 01:48:06 17 01:48:07 18 01:48:08 19 01:48:09 20 01:48:11 21 01:48:13 22 01:48:14 23

01:48:17 24

01:48:20 25

THE COURT: That's not responsive to the question. Ask the question again please, Ms. Peebles.

- **Q.** What -- what did you take away from the consultation with the psychic?
 - A. She had a vision of what she believed happened.
 - Q. What was the vision?

MR. MOODY: I'm going to object, Judge.

Hearsay.

THE COURT: Sustained. You can't answer the question.

- Q. What was your -- what was your understanding after you met with her?
 - **A.** Say that again?
 - Q. What was your understanding after you met with her?

 MR. MOODY: I'm going to object.

Understanding about what?

THE WITNESS: There was possible credence.

THE COURT: Hold on. What was your objection,

Mr. Moody?

MR. MOODY: Understanding of what?

THE COURT: Could you clarify that, Ms.

Peebles, please?

Q. After you consulted with Trudy Lortie and you said that she had information that she couldn't have known, could you say what your understanding of that information

1 01:48:23 2 01:48:27 3 01:48:31 4 01:48:38 01:48:40 5 01:48:42 7 01:48:44 8 01:48:51 01:48:52 9 01:48:56 10 01:48:58 11 01:49:10 12 01:49:18 13 01:49:22 14 01:49:23 15 01:49:32 **16** 01:49:39 17 01:49:47 18 01:49:51 19 01:49:56 **20** 01:49:57 21 01:50:12 **22** 01:50:16 23

01:50:21 24

01:50:22 25

was, just your understanding, not what she said, but what you took away from that conversation.

- Q. What was in your mind after you had that conversation with the psychic?
- **A.** That she might have some credence into what might have happened.
- Q. And did you -- did you jot those notes down, what she said?
- A. Apparently. I -- I haven't read those pages.
 She -- so many documents got stollen.
- Q. What -- what do you mean by that? What documents got stollen?
- A. I had two sets, a master copy and the dupes. What the investigators have are the duplicates that would make up a second full set, but it was incomplete of everything that I had at that point before the documents were stollen.
- **Q.** Can you give me a time frame of about when the documents were stollen?
 - A. No, I can't.
 - Q. Was it recently?
 - A. Today's time? Recently? Or --
 - Q. Well --
 - A. -- recently from when Heidi disappeared?

1 01:50:25 01:50:28 2 01:50:31 3 01:50:32 4 01:50:33 5 01:50:37 6 7 01:50:45 01:50:46 01:50:48 01:50:53 10 01:50:54 11 01:50:58 12 01:51:04 13 01:51:05 14 01:51:08 15 01:51:09 **16** 01:51:11 17 01:51:22 18 01:51:25 19 01:51:27 **20** 01:51:28 21 01:51:32 22 01:51:37 23

01:51:38 24

01:51:45 **25**

Q. From, well, recently from today I'm suggesting.
MR. MOODY: Can we have a time frame, weeks,

months, years?

MS. PEEBLES: That's what I'm asking.

THE COURT: She's trying to get down to that.

A. I mean I have been carrying these documents for twenty years.

- Q. I know that.
- f A. So I -- I guess I forgotten what question I'm supposed to be answering.
- **Q.** When -- when some of your documents were stollen, you were calling some dupes and some originals. I was questioning you as to time frame when did those documents get stollen?
 - A. The complete set.
 - **Q.** The complete set.
 - A. It was before Gary's trial.
 - Q. Somebody stole your documents?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you ever find out who?
 - A. No. They stole the briefcase containing everything.
- **Q.** From -- where was the briefcase when you realized it had been stollen?
- **A.** I was living at Spinners, and I usually would have dinner or lunch, and I always had the briefcase with me,

1 01:51:52 2 01:51:59 3 01:52:07 4 01:52:14 01:52:16 5 01:52:18 6 7 01:52:23 01:52:26 8 01:52:32 01:52:34 10 01:52:35 11 01:52:36 12 01:52:42 13 01:52:50 14 01:52:52 15 01:52:55 16 01:53:00 17 01:53:09 18 01:53:19 **19** 01:53:22 **20** 01:53:26 21 01:53:29 **22** 01:53:31 23 01:53:35 24 01:53:38 **25**

and one night I was sitting on the stool, there were a couple guys to the left of me, and I thought I had an epiphany of whoa, I think I really starting to see something form here.

- Q. Yeah, what was that?
- A. Gary didn't do it.
- **Q.** When did you have that epiphany?
- A. Well, that's the documents that are in the set.
- Q. The set that was stollen?
- A. Yeah.
- **Q.** 0kay.
- **A.** The stuff that got stollen had timelines, detailed timelines of people that were present at the convenience store that Sunday.
 - **Q.** And you created those timelines?
- A. Based on the statements, because the statements contained times when people left their home, when they got to A&W, not A&W, D&W, and I believe that there was a pattern that was amazing.
 - Q. What was the pattern that you thought was amazing?
 - A. They all had twenty minutes unaccounted for.
 - Q. Who did?
 - A. The individuals on the list.
- **Q.** Do you remember who the individuals were that were on the list?

1 01:53:40 01:53:42 2 3 01:53:51 4 01:53:59 5 01:54:03 01:54:11 7 01:54:21 8 01:54:23 9 01:54:25 01:54:33 10 01:54:38 11 01:54:50 12 01:55:01 13 01:55:03 14 01:55:07 15 01:55:12 **16** 01:55:15 17 01:55:18 18 01:55:20 19 01:55:58 20 01:56:00 21 01:56:00 22 01:56:01 23 01:56:02 24 01:56:04 25 A. They were based on the statements that people came forward with that were there that Sunday morning when they discovered that there was no cashier at the register, so I decided well, let's see how long it takes to go from here to there. The only one I couldn't get an accurate timeline was Deputy Curtis because technically he wasn't officially clocked in yet.

Q. Well, how did you know that?

A. You have to look at Pat Granger's statement. She's the one that introduced information about Deputy Curtis's events. Before any officer had arrived, she announced that there's a girl missing here and -- am I supposed to tell you what was on her statement?

Q. I'm not asking you what was on her statement, no. So you create this timeline and you make these notes. I asked you before about whether or not you knew where the schedule was for the employees at the D&W. Do you remember when I asked you that?

A. Yes.

now.

THE COURT: Is this a new exhibit to be marked?

MS. PEEBLES: This is going to be new that I'm marking.

THE COURT: You're on one-twenty-nine right

1 01:56:04 01:56:06 2 3 01:56:08 4 5 01:56:10 01:56:10 7 01:56:13 8 01:56:16 01:56:18 9 01:56:32 10 01:56:36 11 01:56:46 12 01:56:51 13 01:56:53 14 01:57:00 **15** 01:57:07 **16** 01:57:12 17 01:57:13 18 01:57:13 19 01:57:15 20 01:57:28 21 01:59:10 22 02:07:23 23 02:07:24 24 02:07:25 **25**

MS. PEEBLES: So this will be one-twenty-nine.

THE COURT: That would be one-thirty. Excuse

me, one-thirty.

(Defendant's Exhibit No. 130 was marked for identification).

- **Q.** Mr. Bohrer, I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 130 and ask if you can identify that document.
 - A. Oh. This is the first one I wrote.
 - Q. Is there a signature on there?
- **A.** Printed by a printer signature. Oh. Oh. Joyce Babbitt. Yeah. She liked me.
 - Q. Who -- who is that?
- **A.** She was my neighbor across the -- where I lived at the ranch home. I'm sorry, but she passed away.
 - Q. Do you need to take a minute, Mr. Bohrer?
 THE COURT: Why --
 - A. Yeah.

THE COURT: Why don't we take a ten-minute recess. Court's in recess.

(Whereupon, there was a recess.)

THE COURT: Mr. Bohrer, consider the fact that you're still under oath, sir, okay?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: We're on, if I'm right, Defense

02:07:27

02:07:29 2

1

02:07:30 3

02:07:32 4

02:07:35 5

02:07:38

02:07:43 7

02:07:46 8

02:07:49

02:07:50 10

02:07:54 11

02:07:57 12

02:07:59 13

02:08:01 14

02:08:05 **15**

02:08:05 16

02:08:08 17

02:08:10 18

02:08:13 19

02:08:18 **20**

02:08:23 **21**

02:08:28 22

02:08:32 23

02:08:36 24

02:08:39 25

Exhibit 130, correct?

MS. PEEBLES: Yes, that's correct.

Q. And Mr. Bohrer, I was just asking you some questions about Defense Exhibit 130.

THE COURT: Hold on. We're not live. I apologize.

- **Q.** Mr. Bohrer, I was just asking you some questions about Defense Exhibit 130 that I handed you the document?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And is that a signed document?
 - A. There is a signature, yes.
 - Q. Whose signature was that?
 - A. Joyce Babbitt.
 - Q. And is there a date on that document?
 - A. Yes, there is.
 - Q. And did she type that document for you?
 - A. No, she didn't.
 - Q. How did you get your hands on that document?
 - A. I printed it from my -- my printer.
 - Q. Okay, where was that document created?
- **A.** From my computer to my printer across the street from where this woman lived.
- Q. Okay, and how did you print -- where was that document when you printed it? Was it on your computer or somebody else's computer that was e-mailed to you? How did

02:08:42 **1**02:08:44 **2**

02:08:54 3

02:08:59 4

02:09:00 5

02:09:09 6

02:09:12 7

02:09:15

02:09:17

02:09:22 10

02:09:25 11

02:09:28 12

02:09:33 13

02:09:38 14

02:09:40 15

02:09:49 16

02:09:52 17

02:09:54 18

02:09:57 19

02:09:59 **20**

02:10:00 **21**

02:10:03 **22**

02:10:04 23

02:10:10 24

02:10:14 **25**

you get -- how did you acquire that to print?

- A. It would have -- it would have been my printer.
- **Q.** Was that saved onto your computer, I guess that's my question.
 - A. Yeah, it would have been.
- **Q.** And that was in your box of materials relating to the Heidi Allen case?
 - A. I don't understand the question.
- **Q.** Was that document in the box that you turned over to Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson on March twenty-first of 2013?
 - A. Yes. Oh, no. This couldn't have been.
- **Q.** Couldn't have been? So you're denying that that was in the box?
- **A.** If -- if Joyce's name on it, then this would have been her copy.
- **Q.** Well, how did Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson get ahold of that document?

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge, foundation.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain unless you can lay some foundation how he has knowledge they got a hold of it.

Q. Well, Mr. Bohrer, you gave your box of Heidi Allen material to Investigator Johnson and Pietroski on March twenty-first of 2013?

02:10:20

1

3

02:10:22 2

02:10:27

02:10:32 4

02:10:36 **5**

02:10:37

02:10:40 7

02:10:43 8

02:10:49 9

02:10:52 10

02:11:07 11

02:11:09 12

02:11:12 13

02:11:16 14

02:11:20 **15**

02:11:22 **16**

02:11:27 17

02:11:32 **18**

02:11:35 19

02:11:40 **20**

02:11:50 **21**

02:11:53 22

02:12:00 **23**

02:12:02 24

02:12:05 25

- A. Yeah.
- Q. And that document was in that box?
- A. I didn't do an inventory before I gave it to them.

 I just grabbed everything and gave it to 'em. I didn't sort through it.
- **Q.** But you're acknowledging that you printed that document off from your computer?
 - A. Is this the original print? Or a copy.
 - Q. You tell me.
- **A.** My eyes are bad. I can't tell. It looks like a photocopy.
- **Q.** Does that look like a photocopy of a document that you had in your box that you gave to Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson?
 - A. I don't understand the question.
- Q. Does that look like a photocopy of a document that was in the box of items that you gave to Investigator
 Pietroski and Investigator Johnson on March twenty-first of
 2013?
- A. I -- I can't answer that question. I -- I don't know if this particular document that I'm holding in my hand was actually in the box. I'm assuming it was.
 - Q. And you're assuming it was because?

MR. MOODY: Judge, I'm going to object. We have the box here if he wants to answer that question.

1 02:12:07 2 02:12:09 3 02:12:11 02:12:14 02:12:16 5 02:12:17 6 7 02:12:18 02:12:21 8 02:12:23 02:12:25 10 02:12:26 11 02:12:30 **12** 02:12:33 13 02:12:44 14 02:12:47 15 02:12:49 **16** 02:12:50 17 02:12:52 18 02:12:55 19 02:12:55 20 02:12:58 21 02:13:00 22 02:13:02 23 02:13:04 24 02:13:06 25

MS. PEEBLES: Fine, I'll take the box.

MR. MOODY: But then my question is what is the -- I mean are we just trying to determine --

THE COURT: I don't know, even know what it is at this point.

MR. MOODY: The other question is what's the relevance of it?

Q. Mr. Bohrer, do you recognize that document?

MR. MOODY: I have an objection. What is the relevance of this document?

THE COURT: Let's see if he can identify it first.

- A. I recognize this as my -- my literature.
- Q. Okay.
- A. However, it looks like it's a carbon copy.
- Q. Okay.
- A. Or a photocopy.
- **Q.** It's a photocopy.

MS. PEEBLES: Your Honor, at this time I'd like to offer Defense Exhibit 130.

MR. MOODY: I'm going to object. I haven't seen the document and I'm going to -- I still don't know the relevance of it is.

MS. PEEBLES: I will show you the document.

THE COURT: Show it to Mr. Moody, the document

02:13:10

02:13:22 3

2

02:13:26 4

02:13:29 5

02:13:32

02:13:33 7

02:13:34 8

02:13:35

02:13:37 **10**

02:13:39 11

02:13:40 **12**

02:13:42 13

02:13:45 14

02:13:45 **15**

02:13:46 16

02:13:48 17

02:13:50 18

02:13:53 19

02:14:00 20

02:14:05 21

02:14:11 **22**

02:14:12 23

02:14:16 24

02:14:17 25

please.

MR. MOODY: Judge, I object to the relevance. I don't see what the relevance of this is, and hearsay. He's established -- he's not sure I guess, he hasn't established it was in his box. I don't see the relevance.

MS. PEEBLES: It's his.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. PEEBLES: It's his literature he said.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain based on hearsay.

Q. You wrote this document though, right?

THE COURT: It does not fit into any exception of hearsay.

Q. This is your document?

MR. MOODY: Objection. It's still hearsay.

MS. PEEBLES: I'm not asking him what's in the document.

THE COURT: Sit down. Answer the question.

- A. I don't know if she's meaning is this the document or is this a copy of the document that's been --
 - Q. Is this a copy of a document --
- A. -- passed around or is this the copy that's in the --

THE COURT: Mr. Bohrer, let the attorney ask

02:14:19 1

02:14:23 2

02:14:29 3

02:14:33 4

02:14:41 5

02:14:50 6

02:15:07 7

02:15:12 8

02:15:15 9

02:15:19 10

02:15:22 11

02:15:23 12

02:15:26 13

02:15:27 **14**

02:15:28 15

02:15:29 **16**

02:15:34 17

02:15:43 18

02:15:47 19

02:15:48 20

02:15:50 21

02:15:52 22

02:15:54 23

02:15:58 24

02:16:00 25

the question to clarify.

Q. Is this a copy of the document that you had in your box that you turned over to Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson on March twenty-first of 2013?

- A. It looks like a copy of something that I wrote.
- **Q.** When you wrote this document, did you put in this document that you wondered that she, Heidi Allen, referring to Heidi Allen, was not on the schedule, so you wondered how anyone knew she was scheduled to work that day?

THE COURT: Hold on. Don't object because I don't think I understand the question before you stand up and object. Can you rephrase the question? I didn't understand the question.

MS. PEEBLES: I'll rephrase it.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Q. As part of your literature, did you adopt the statement, you yourself, that you wondered how anyone knew she was working that day because she wasn't on the schedule?

MR. MOODY: I'm going to object, Judge. I don't see the relevance of this, and I don't see how she -- is she refreshing her recollection with the question because it's also leading.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection.

1 02:16:03 02:16:05 2 3 02:16:07 02:16:10 4 02:16:12 02:16:15 02:16:16 7 8 02:16:19 02:16:20 9 02:16:21 10 02:16:23 11 02:16:25 **12** 02:16:26 13 02:16:28 14 02:16:29 15 02:16:32 16 02:16:33 17 02:16:36 18 02:16:44 19 02:16:48 20 02:17:03 21 02:17:11 22 02:17:16 23 02:17:22 24

02:17:27 25

MS. PEEBLES: For the record, I'm asking him if he's adopting that statement that's in his literature.

THE COURT: We don't know if it's in the literature. I don't know if it's in the literature.

MS. PEEBLES: I'm asking him the question if he adopts that statement.

THE COURT: What statement? You're presuming there's a statement in the literature. I don't know there is a statement in the literature.

MS. PEEBLES: Well, if I could move this document into evidence, we would all know.

THE COURT: Well, you could if it doesn't violate the rules of hearsay.

MS. PEEBLES: Well, I disagree with the Court.

THE COURT: I understand that.

Q. Does this document reflect your investigative efforts into the disappearance of Heidi Allen?

A. It -- it would have to because that's all I was doing was trying to find out what happened to Heidi. I had a lot of people coming forward and giving me bits and pieces of stuff and --

Q. And you said that the psychic that you were conferencing with knew things that she shouldn't know. You

02:17:32 02:17:34 2

1

3 02:17:35

4 02:17:43

02:17:52 5

02:18:12

7 02:18:16

8 02:18:25

02:18:36 9

02:18:39 10

02:18:40 11

02:18:47 12

02:18:52 13

02:18:55 14

02:19:00 15

02:19:02 16

02:19:04 17

02:19:11 18

02:19:22 19

02:19:27 20

02:19:34 21

02:19:35 22

02:19:37 23

02:19:38 24

02:19:43 **25**

said that earlier when I was asking you questions about her, do you remember that?

It appeared to me that she -- she had a lot of Α. details that if she was -- I'm assuming she's telling me this came from her head, you know, it just -- I don't know. She was just a woman that -- that said hi, my name is Trudy, and she introduced herself as somebody who had psychic ability, that's it, and oh, I guess the thing that intrigued me, is that what you're asking?

Q. Yes.

I heard the same story line from someone else that A. she was repeating to me as the psychic.

Q. But you took away from the conversation with her that she knew things that she shouldn't have known, fair?

> Objection, Judge, leading. MR. MOODY:

THE COURT: I'll allow it.

Well, she didn't know who has talked to me about Α. what in the -- in the previous of meeting her. That's what intrigued me. Like I've heard the same story, when you're investigating something, and you're looking for commonalities.

Did anyone hire you as an investigator? Q.

Α. No.

Now, in addition to Joyce Babbitt on exhibit --Q. Defense Exhibit 130, your signature is on this document 02:19:45 1

02:19:52

02:20:07

2

3

02:20:14 4

02:21:19 5

02:21:21

02:21:22 7

02:21:29

02:21:32 9

02:21:50 10

02:21:55 11

02:22:07 **12**

02:22:13 13

02:22:16 14

02:22:22 15

02:22:26 16

02:22:30 17

02:22:31 18

02:22:32 **19**

02:22:33 **20**

02:22:35 **21**

02:22:39 **22**

02:22:42 23

02:22:48 **24**

02:22:53 **25**

too, correct? Do you need to look at it, Mr. Bohrer?

- A. Yeah. Yep. That's my signature.
- Q. Is your signature on every page of that document?
- A. Yep. Yeah.
- Q. Is the date on every page of that document?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And you wrote this in 1996?
- A. That's what it says.
- Q. Now, Mr. Bohrer, in 1996, did you visit Gary
 Thibodeau in the Clinton Correctional Facility in
 Dannemora?
 - A. I think I wrote that document way earlier than that.
- **Q.** Okay, I'm not asking you about the document, I'm asking if you ever went to visit Gary Thibodeau in 1996 at Clinton Correctional Facility in Dannemora.
 - A. I don't recall the year.
 - Q. Did anybody go with you?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Who?
 - **A.** Trudy Lortie.
- **Q.** And why did you take Trudy Lortie to visit Gary Thibodeau in the Clinton Correctional Facility?
- **A.** She begged me to take 'em there because she wanted to get a psychic feel if he did it or not.
 - Q. Did you feel bad for Gary Thibodeau when you went to

02:22:56

02:22:59 2

1

4

02:23:00 3

02:23:05

02:23:09 7

02:23:13

02:23:16 9

02:23:18 10

02:23:19 11

02:23:21 12

02:23:23 13

02:23:25 14

02:23:31 15

02:23:34 16

02:23:34 17

02:23:34 18

02:23:38 19

02:23:38 20

02:23:43 21

02:23:44 22

02:24:07 23

02:24:10 24

02:24:10 **25**

visit him at the Clinton Correctional Facility?

MR. MOODY: I'm going to object, Judge.

THE COURT: Sustained.

A. Well --

THE COURT: Don't answer the question.

THE WITNESS: Don't?

THE COURT: Don't please.

Q. Let me ask you this. Did Gary Thibodeau know that you were going to the Clinton Correctional Facility to visit him?

MR. MOODY: Objection to what -- how she can tell what Mr. Thibodeau did or did not know.

THE COURT: She can lay a foundation.

- **Q.** Mr. Bohrer, did you notify Mr. Gary Thibodeau that you were going to come see him at the Clinton Correctional Facility?
 - A. No.
 - **Q.** You just showed up there?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you only show up there one time?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I'm going to ask you some questions now about an individual by the name of Dan Barney. Do you know who Dan Barney is?
 - A. Yes, I do.

- 02:24:11 1
- 02:24:15 2
- 02:24:28 3
- 02:24:29 4
- 02:24:30 5
- 02:24:32 6
- 02:25:05 7
- 02:25:09 8
- 02:25:12 9
- 02:25:15 10
- 02:25:22 11
- 02:25:23 12
- 02:25:26 13
- 02:25:44 14
- 02:25:46 **15**
- 02:25:54 **16**
- 02:25:56 17
- 02:26:02 18
- 02:26:07 19
- 02:26:08 20
- 02:26:10 **21**
- 02:26:14 22
- 02:26:23 23
- 02:26:26 24
- 02:26:27 25

- Q. And how do you know Dan Barney?
- A. He punched out Joyce Babbitt's son-in-law.
- Q. Is that how you know him?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay, when did that happen?
- A. It's -- it's hard to put events at on or before. I I don't remember when that occurred.
- **Q.** Do you have any recollection as to whether it was in the nineteen-nineties or past the year 2000?
- A. No, would have been the nineteen-nineties because I was living on Mason Road.
 - Q. What -- what year were you living on Mason Road?
- A. Ninety-one to -- I don't remember when I moved out of it.
- **Q.** So did -- is that the only time you ever had any encounters with Mr. Barney?
 - A. I -- that wasn't an encounter. I learned of him.
- Q. I see. Were you present when anything occurred or you just heard about it?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Have you ever met Dan Barney?
 - A. I had the -- on one occasion.
 - Q. Okay, so you actually met him on one occasion.
 - A. Um hum.
 - Q. Okay, can you tell me when that was to the best of

02:26:29

02:26:30 2

1

02:26:39 3

02:26:47 4

02:26:55 **5**

02:26:59 6

02:27:03 7

02:27:03 8

02:27:07 9

02:27:12 10

02:27:26 11

02:27:27 12

02:27:46 13

02:27:47 14

02:27:53 **15**

02:27:55 **16**

02:27:59 17

02:28:00 18

02:28:03 19

02:28:06 **20**

02:28:12 21

02:28:29 22

02:28:29 23

02:28:30 24

02:28:32 25

your recollection?

- A. Probably the next day after he had punched Don out he -- I made a comment, and somebody told him my comment, and he wanted to bash my face in. I was at Spinners.
 - Q. And you -- you encountered him at Spinners?
- A. That was the -- yeah, the first time I actually seen this guy.
 - Q. Was that the first and last time you ever saw him?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. In your notes do you make reference to Dan Barney, do you recall?
- A. I'm answering that because I been reminded. I don't know how to answer that question.
 - Q. Honestly? Just answer it honestly.
 - A. Can you say the question again?
 - Q. Did you refer to Dan Barney in your notes?
 - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And those notes were in connection with your investigation with Heidi Allen?
 - A. They would have to be I believe.
- Q. Do you refer to this bloodstone ring? You ever hear of that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And when you reference that, is that the same page that you had Dan Barney's name on?

1 02:28:36 2 02:28:37 3 02:28:40 02:28:40 4 5 02:28:41 6 02:28:43 02:28:45 02:28:48 8 02:28:53 9 02:28:59 10 02:29:02 11 02:29:06 12 02:29:09 13 02:29:10 14 02:29:16 15 02:29:19 16 02:29:22 17 02:29:31 18 02:29:44 19 02:29:55 20 02:29:58 **21** 02:29:58 22

02:30:00 23

02:30:02 24

02:30:04 25

MR. MOODY: I'm going to object, Judge. Now she's reading from a document that's not in evidence and asking him --

THE COURT: Sustained.

A. I don't have photo --

THE COURT: No, don't answer the question.

- **Q.** Well, let me ask you this. When you were questioned by Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson in March of 2013, on March twenty-first, did you tell them to beware of the man with a bloodstone ring?
 - A. I told them that was a quote from Mark Hall.
 - Q. But you mentioned the bloodstone ring?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- **Q.** Now, Mark Hall, you mentioned Mark Hall. Is Mark Hall in any way related to Trudy Lortie that you know of whether by blood or marriage?
 - A. I don't know that she was.
- Q. Did you -- do you recall as part of your investigation writing down "who dropped the card?"

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge. Leading and relevance.

THE COURT: Hold on for a second. I didn't hear your objection. What's your objection?

MR. MOODY: It's leading. Source of knowledge and -- and relevance.

1 02:30:05 02:30:09 2 3 02:30:15 4 02:30:15 5 02:30:18 6 02:30:30 02:30:32 02:30:38 8 02:30:44 9 02:30:47 10 02:30:49 11 02:30:52 12 02:30:55 13 02:30:58 14 02:30:59 15 02:31:01 16 02:31:05 17 02:31:07 18 02:31:10 19 02:31:16 **20** 02:31:33 21 02:31:36 22 02:31:38 23 02:31:42 24 02:31:44 **25**

THE COURT: It's not leading, but foundation, I'd grant you that one. Lay some foundation if you can, Ms. Peebles.

- **Q.** When you were doing your investigation between 1994 and 1996, and you were jotting things down, taking notes, yes or no?
 - A. Yeah, you seen.
- Q. And part of the notes, do you recall writing down "who dropped the card," do you recall that?

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge, relevance.

THE COURT: Well, she hasn't laid a foundation he actually knows. He can say what he thinks but he has no foundation how he knows that. No foundation properly laid yet.

- Q. Well, you were doing an investigation in this case, you're saying you did, right, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right, tell me the years that your investigation spanned, from when to when?
- A. I guess for twenty years. Whenever something popped up.
 - Q. You wrote it down?
 - A. No.
- Q. But if it was important to you in terms of your investigation, you would write it down?

1 02:31:48 02:31:58 2 3 02:32:07 4 02:32:09 5 02:32:11 02:32:13 7 02:32:33 8 02:32:34 02:32:35 9 02:32:44 10 02:32:44 11 12 02:32:46 13 02:33:00 14 02:33:05 **15** 02:33:11 16 02:33:14 17 02:33:21 18 02:33:23 19 02:33:31 20 02:33:34 21 02:33:37 22 02:33:38 23 02:33:39 24

02:33:44 25

- A. I would have to assume so.
- Q. Well, I don't want you to assume. Did you?
- A. Repeat the question please.
- Q. As part --

MS. PEEBLES: Could you read that back please? (Whereupon, the court reporter read back the last question).

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat it please?

(Whereupon, the court reporter read back the last question).

THE WITNESS: Can you say it slower please?

(Whereupon, the court reporter read back the last question).

- A. Mostly so I could remember and refer back to.
- Q. And how often did you refer back to it?
- A. When I felt I needed my memory refreshed.
- Q. How often did you refresh your memory?
- A. I don't know.
- Q. Throughout the course of years between 1994 and 2013, how often did you look in the box of items that you had in relation to Heidi Allen?

MR. MOODY: Judge, I'm going to object. I don't see the relevance.

THE COURT: Sustained. Don't answer the question, sir.

1 02:33:46 02:33:54 2 3 02:33:58 4 02:34:15 5 02:34:18 6 02:34:19 7 02:34:21 02:34:24 8 9 02:34:26 02:34:28 10 02:34:31 11 02:34:31 12 02:34:34 13 02:34:36 14 02:34:54 15 02:34:56 **16** 02:34:58 17 02:35:00 18 02:35:02 19 02:35:02 20 02:35:04 21 02:35:09 22 02:35:11 **23** 02:35:13 24 02:35:15 25

Q. On April third of 1994 you were -- we established you were living at the Spinners hotel, right?

A. I'm -- I'm getting --

Q. Do you need to take a break, Mr. Bohrer?

THE COURT: I don't think that's his testimony. I thought he had testified that soon after he spent sometime at Spinners, he then rented the fourbedroom ranch.

MS. PEEBLES: No. He went to a wedding at Spinners and then he went to the ranch and then he went back to Spinners.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, but we're talking a span of years. I'm sorry but --

THE COURT: There's no question before you, sir, so please be quiet.

MS. PEEBLES: Well, there is actually, Judge.

THE COURT: Well, he answered he doesn't remember.

Q. Oh, now you don't remember living at the Spinners hotel on April third of 1994?

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge.

MS. PEEBLES: No, I'm asking him if he doesn't -- now he doesn't remember. How is that argumentative?

1 02:35:16 2 02:35:19 3 02:35:23 02:35:29 4 5 02:35:31 6 02:35:35 7 02:35:39 02:35:43 02:35:45 9 02:35:50 10 02:35:52 11 02:35:57 12 02:36:19 13 02:36:21 14 02:36:23 15 02:36:27 16 02:36:30 17 02:36:31 18 02:36:32 19 02:36:40 20 02:36:43 21 02:36:53 22 02:37:00 23 02:37:01 24

02:51:26 25

THE COURT: I'm going to allow the question. You can answer the question.

A. I've got so many numbers in my head right now I'm confused where I'm at in time.

Q. Mr. Bohrer, you know that the interview with Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson on March twenty-first of 2013 was recorded, you know that, right?

A. Yes.

Q. If you listened to that recording, would that help refresh your recollection about where you were living on April third of 1994?

A. I'm sorry, I'm --

THE COURT: Sir, do you need a couple minutes?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. My brain's turning to rubber, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, let's recess until ten of three. Any objection?

MS. PEEBLES: No, that's fine. That's kind of an in between -- Judge, I'm assuming that I'm not going to get to Jennifer Wescott today so I'm going to let her go if that's okay.

MR. OAKES: Your Honor, can we approach?

(Whereupon, there was an off the record discussion at the bench).

(Whereupon, there was a recess.)

1 02:51:54 2 02:51:56 3 02:52:01 02:52:06 4 02:52:09 6 02:52:12 7 02:52:15 8 02:52:19 02:52:22 9 02:52:23 10 02:52:23 11 02:52:25 12 02:52:27 13 02:52:30 14 02:52:34 15 02:52:36 **16** 02:52:39 17 02:52:41 18 02:52:44 19 02:52:46 20 02:52:49 21 02:52:51 22 02:52:52 23 02:52:53 **24**

02:52:54 **25**

THE COURT: Mr. Bohrer was on the witness stand when we adjourned. When we recessed, excuse me. It would appear that at this time it would be best for Mr. Bohrer to wait until -- resume his testimony tomorrow morning at nine o'clock. I think everybody involved would agree this is an extremely emotional, difficult situation. Any objection from you, Ms. -- actually from the Public Defender Office.

MS. PEEBLES: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Oakes?

MR. OAKES: None from the People, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We're at three o'clock. We could call another witness, but I think from a procedural and tactical point of view, it serves both the Public Defender and the People to put a witness on at this time is a disadvantage for your direct, it's a huge disadvantage for your cross, so there is no objection, I'm going to adjourn for the day. I don't like wasting an hour, but I think in light of just the nature of this case, this is probably the best thing to do at this time. Any objection from the defense?

MS. PEEBLES: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: From the People?

MR. OAKES: No, thank you.

THE COURT: We stand in recess until nine

o'clock tomorrow morning. Ms. Peebles, you said you may call a witness out of order.

MS. PEEBLES: May be calling one of our cadaver dog experts.

(Conclusion of Proceeding).

CERTIFICATE

I, JENNIFER ADYDAN, an Official Court
Reporter in and for the State of New York, Fifth
Judicial District, do hereby certify that the foregoing
is a true, complete and accurate transcript of my
stenographic notes taken in the above-entitled matter
and the whole thereof to the best of my ability.

Jennifer Adydan Official Court Reporter

DATED: January 25, 2015

CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. PEEBLES:

Q. Mr. Bohrer, when we left off yesterday, I was asking if you remember telling Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson whether you lived at Spinners, that you lived at Spinners hotel in 1994. Do you remember me asking you that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay, and is that true?
- A. Yes.

Q. Now you had a post office box when you were living in that -- at Spinners hotel?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And that was at the New Haven Post Office?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And you would go there to pick your mail up?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, after Heidi Allen was missing April third of 1994, you didn't pick your mail up for two weeks?

MR. MOODY: Judge, can -- objection, leading.

We're getting back into her leading her own witness.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain.

Q. Do you remember when the -- you picked your mail up after -- how long it was after Heidi Allen was missing till the next time you picked your mail up at the New Haven Post

11:00:47

1

2

11:00:47 3

11:00:51 4

11:00:53 **5**

11:01:00 6

11:01:03 7

11:01:04 8

11:01:04

11:01:05 10

11:01:06 11

11:01:09 12

11:01:11 13

11:01:12 14

11:01:14 **15**

11:01:16 16

11:01:19 17

11:01:20 18

11:01:24 19

11:01:31 20

11:01:33 **21**

11:01:36 **22**

11:01:36 **23**

11:01:39 **24**

11:01:43 **25**

11:01:47 1

11:01:48 2

11:01:51 3

11:01:59 4

11:02:02 5

11:02:05 6

11:02:09 7

11:02:18

11:02:20 9

11:02:24 10

11:02:30 11

11:02:31 12

11:02:36 **13**

11:02:38 14

11:02:40 **15**

11:02:45 **16**

11:02:48 17

11:02:49 **18**

11:02:52 19

11:03:07 **20**

11:03:12 21

11:03:18 **22**

11:03:27 **23**

11:03:28 24

11:03:32 **25**

Office box?

A. No.

Q. When you were questioned by investigators, did they ask you about where you were during that two-week period between the time Heidi Allen was missing and the time you picked up your mail at the post office box?

A. No, I -- I don't remember too much of that time.

Q. All right, I'm going to talk to you a little bit more about your interview with Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson on March twenty-first of 2013, okay?

A. Okay.

Q. At one point you were telling them that you were junking at Murtaugh's scrap yard.

A. Yes.

Q. Now, did you say you stopped going to Murtaugh's after it became too hot?

A. Yes.

Q. What did you mean by that?

A. Murtaugh, Jr., while I was trying to take the chains off the load, dropped the pay loader on top of the car while I was there and basically said you're not welcome here or something to that effect, and that was the last I junked a vehicle there.

Q. Did you tell Investigator Pietroski that you had to get the hell out of there?

- 11:03:34 **1**
- 11:03:36 **2**
- 11:03:41

3

5

6

7

8

- 11:03:42
- 11:03:45
- 11:03:45
- 11:03:48
- 11:03:49
- 11:03:56
- 11:03:58 10
- 11:04:02 11
- 11:04:04 12
- 11:04:06 13
- 11:04:09 14
- 11:04:11 15
- 11:04:12 **16**
- 11:04:16 17
- 11:04:29 18
- 11:04:32 19
- 11:04:35 20
- 11:04:40 21
- 11:04:48 22
- 11:04:49 23
- 11:04:54 24
- 11:04:56 **25**

- A. I don't remember.
- **Q.** Did you say that there was coke people at Murtaugh's?
 - MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge. She's leading again.

THE COURT: Sustained. You don't need to answer the question, sir.

Q. Let me ask you this, Mr. Bohrer. What type of people were hanging around at Murtaugh's junkyard back when you were scrapping vehicles in 1994?

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge. What type of people? It's kind of speculative.

THE COURT: Yeah. I don't know if -- that does seem a little speculative, Ms. Peebles. Can you ask maybe more specific?

- Q. Who was hanging around Murtaugh's junkyard in 1994?
- **A.** People junking cars, just hard working, you know, dirty car haulers, you know. I didn't pay much attention to other people. I went in there to drop my load, paid attention to senior because he owned the place. I want to be a, you know, good guy with him, and junior, I didn't like him.
- **Q.** Now, did you talk about being afraid of people around the Murtaugh's junkyard?

MR. MOODY: Objection. Can we have a time

11:04:58 1

11:04:58 **2**

11:05:01 3

11:05:04 4

11:05:06 5

11:05:09 6

11:05:11 **7**

11:05:15 8

11:05:16 9

11:05:34 **10**

11:05:38 11

11:05:43 12

11:05:47 13

11:05:50 14

11:05:53 15

11:06:00 16

11:06:09 17

11:06:15 18

11:06:18 19

11:06:24 20

11:06:34 21

11:06:40 22

11:06:47 23

11:06:55 24

11:07:01 **25**

frame and --

THE COURT: Time frame and close to leading but I'll allow it. We need a time frame.

- **Q.** I'm talking about an interview you had with Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson on March twenty-first of 2013.
 - A. I became afraid of being there, yes.
 - **Q.** And why was that?
 - A. Because of Rick Murtaugh, Jr. primarily.
 - Q. And -- and why? What made you afraid?
- **A.** Well, threatening to drop a pay loader on your head kind of gave me a signal I should get out.
- **Q.** Why would he have -- why would he have threatened you in that fashion?
- A. There was an incident where he hired me to take aluminum to Roth Steel, and I was suspicious of his authority to do that, was on a Saturday, and he says don't worry about it, he's my father, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah, and I says well, if I get in trouble with this load, I'm ratting you out. Straight up. I says I'm -- I respect your father, and if you are stealing from him, I don't want to be a part of it. I think his father was off Las Vegas or something at the time, he's -- and so I hooked up to the load of aluminum that he had already arranged, and on my way out of the yard, apparently his cousin he

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11:07:09 11:07:17 11:07:20 11:07:23 11:07:32 11:07:40 11:07:49 11:07:58 11:08:01 11:08:12 10 11:08:18 11 11:08:23 12 11:08:29 13 11:08:33 14 11:08:39 **15** 11:08:40 **16** 11:08:44 17 11:08:48 18

11:08:51 20

11:08:50 19

11:08:55 21

11:08:59 22

11:09:00 23

11:09:05 24

11:09:09 **25**

said it was showed up, so I looked Murtaugh, I says hey, you know, you going to get in trouble? He says don't worry about it, don't worry about it. I said all right. So I went, took the load to Roth Steel, came back, gave him his money, and that was that for that day. That was on a Saturday. The following Monday I come in and have a load, and Rich Murtaugh, Sr. approached me, big guy, and he says I want you to lay it out to me straight, and don't you lie to me, and did my son have you drop off aluminum to Roth I looked at him, I says yes, sir. He says okay. Steel? That's all I want to know. You can continue working here, you know, or using his services. So right then I knew well, I'm going to have problems with junior, and the following day I brought a load in and that led to the pay loader dropping on me.

All right, now, during your interview with Q. Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson, you mentioned attempts on your life.

Α. Yes.

And you didn't articulate who was attempting to hurt Q. you, but can you tell us who you were -- you believed would hurt you?

A. That would be speculating.

Q. Okay, well, what -- it wouldn't be speculating for you, I'm asking you who did you think was going to hurt

1 11:09:12

2

11:09:13

11:09:21 3

11:09:27

11:09:37 5

11:09:46

11:09:49 7

11:09:50

11:09:55 9

11:09:57 10

11:09:58 11

11:09:59 12

11:10:01 13

11:10:03 14

11:10:04 15

11:10:06 16

11:10:10 17

11:10:11 18

11:10:12 19

11:10:28 20

11:10:31 21

11:10:32 22

11:10:34 23

11:10:35 24

11:10:36 **25**

vou?

Well, that was a good question. There are Α. approximately four attempts in the twenty years, a couple of poisonings, but exactly pinpoint like who is giving the order? I wouldn't want to speculate.

Q. I wouldn't want you to speculate. Can you -- were those --

Α. I can only describe the events.

Objection, Judge. How's this MR. MOODY: relevant to anything going on?

THE COURT: Yeah, how's it relevant to the Heidi Allen issue, Ms. Peebles?

MS. PEEBLES: Well, I'm getting to that.

THE COURT: Quickly I hope?

MS. PEEBLES: Yes, next question.

Q. Mr. Bohrer, did those attempts on your life occur after April third of 1994?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, why don't you tell us about those events.

> MR. MOODY: Objection. Those events?

THE COURT: I'm assuming she's talking about the alleged attempts on his life.

MS. PEEBLES: Yes.

MR. MOODY: How are they then related?

THE COURT: Again, how are you going to tie

1 11:10:38 2 11:10:41 11:10:44 3 4 11:10:44 11:10:46 5 11:10:49 6 7 11:10:51 11:10:54 8 9 11:10:58 11:11:01 10 11:11:02 11 11:11:03 12 11:11:06 **13** 11:11:06 14 11:11:08 15 11:11:12 16 11:11:15 17 11:11:17 **18** 11:11:18 19 11:11:23 **20** 11:11:24 **21** 11:22:25 22 11:22:26 **23** 11:22:27 24 11:22:28 **25**

it, the fact that it occurred after April third, 1994, how does that make it relevant to the Heidi Allen issue?

MS. PEEBLES: Well, Your Honor, in connection with the questioning by Investigator Petroski and Investigator Johnson, Mr. Bohrer was concerned about threats and was even concerned that he was speaking to investigators about the case, so I think it's relevant.

THE COURT: These are perceived threats on his life, right?

MS. PEEBLES: No. He just said there were four attempts, and I was asking him to explain what those attempts were.

THE COURT: Seems awfully speculative, a little outside. I'll allow it on a limited basis. Get to the point of connection on this issue quickly.

THE WITNESS: Can I consult with my lawyer for five minutes, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Sure. We'll be back at quarter after. Five minutes.

(Whereupon, there was a recess.)

THE COURT: Mr. Bohrer, consider that you're still under oath, okay?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Peebles, go ahead.

1 11:22:30 11:22:32 2 3 4 11:22:33 11:22:56 6 7 11:22:59 11:23:01 8 9 11:23:05 11:23:07 10 11:23:09 11 11:23:12 12 11:23:15 13 11:23:18 14 11:23:23 15 11:23:25 16 11:23:25 17 11:23:29 **18** 11:23:32 19 11:23:33 20 11:23:35 21 11:23:37 22 11:23:39 23 11:23:44 24 11:23:46 **25**

MS. PEEBLES: Can we have the last question read back please?

(Whereupon, the court reporter read back the last question).

THE COURT: I'm going to stop you. Let me ask to clarify that question because he spoke of an --

MS. PEEBLES: Actually I don't think that was my last question. I was asking him about who he was afraid of.

THE COURT: No, I think your last question was those events because Mr. Moody stood up and said what events are we talking about. Is that the last question, right?

MS. PEEBLES: I just had it in my head. There's no objection to the question?

THE COURT: I'm asking that you -- there was an objection, what events, and I'm asking to please -- there's alleged -- allegedly four events.

MS. PEEBLES: Right.

THE COURT: When you say of those events, can you please ask them individually?

MS. PEEBLES: Yes, but I thought that he asked to go consult with his lawyer after I asked a question. There was no objection. That's what I was asking.

THE COURT: Ask the question again.

1 11:23:50 2 11:23:52 3 11:23:53 11:23:57 4 11:24:02 5 11:24:02 6 7 11:24:03 11:24:04 11:24:04 11:24:06 10 11:24:09 11 11:24:13 12 11:24:14 13 11:24:17 14 11:24:21 **15** 11:24:22 **16** 11:24:25 17 11:24:26 18 11:24:26 19 11:24:29 **20** 11:24:32 **21** 11:24:34 **22** 11:24:37 23 11:24:41 24 11:24:43 25

- Q. All right, you were talking about these four events?
- A. Um hum.
- **Q.** And can you please tell the Court what happened in connection with those various events? Start with the first event.

A. I --

MR. MOODY: I still don't see the relevance here.

THE COURT: I'm questioning the relevance. If you tie it really quickly I'll allow this line. If you don't tie it quickly, it's done.

THE WITNESS: I don't want to get into it.

- **Q.** Okay, when you were talking with investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson?
 - A. Yes.
- **Q.** And they were asking you questions about Heidi Allen and what you knew?
 - A. Yes.
- **Q.** And they were asking you what may have happened to her, do you remember those questions?
 - A. In general, yes.
- **Q.** And do you remember telling them that Heidi Allen could have wound up at Crosby Hill?

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge, leading and --

THE COURT: Sustained to leading.

1 11:24:46 11:24:49 2 3 11:24:52 4 11:24:54 11:24:56 5 11:24:57 7 11:25:01 8 11:25:02 11:25:04 9 11:25:08 10 11:25:09 11 11:25:11 **12** 11:25:12 13 11:25:15 14 11:25:17 **15** 11:25:18 **16** 11:25:19 17 11:25:21 18 11:25:22 19 11:25:24 **20** 11:25:26 **21** 11:25:32 22 11:25:35 23 11:25:40 24

11:25:40 **25**

Q. Well, can you tell the Court where you told Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson that Heidi Allen could have wound up?

MR. MOODY: Objection. Now it's speculative.

MS. PEEBLES: It's not speculative.

THE COURT: Hold on. Don't yell. Why -- your objection is speculative.

MR. MOODY: Speculative, leading, but speculative because it's his conclusion on some random topic.

THE COURT: Ms. Peebles, address what -- what's the basis?

MS. PEEBLES: I hardly think it's a random topic, he was asked questions during the course of the investigation.

THE COURT: What's the base for his knowledge?

MS. PEEBLES: Well, that's what I'm trying to find out.

THE COURT: Why don't you lay foundation first to see if it's going to be speculative or not.

Q. Mr. Bohrer, did you raise the junkyard Crosby Hill when you were questioned by Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson as a place where Heidi Allen could have wound up?

MR. MOODY: Objection. Now it's leading and

11:25:42 2 11:25:43 3 11:25:44 4 11:25:48 11:25:50 5 6 11:25:50 7 11:25:53 11:25:56 8 11:25:56 11:25:58 10 11:26:00 11 11:26:02 12 11:26:04 13 11:26:06 14 11:26:12 15 11:26:16 **16** 11:26:22 17 11:26:28 18 11:26:32 19 11:26:40 **20** 11:26:43 21 11:26:49 22 11:26:54 23

11:26:59 24

11:27:08 25

speculative.

THE COURT: Now it's leading. Not any personal knowledge or any basis for his belief. If you want to ask questions about his basis for his belief, go there.

Q. All right, Mr. Bohrer, why would you have told the investigators that Heidi Allen could have wound up at Crosby Hill?

MR. MOODY: Objection, still speculative.

MR. PEEBLES: We don't know. I'm asking him why he said that.

THE COURT: Can you answer the question, Mr. Bohrer?

THE WITNESS: I believe so. The why is, you know, you're talking about a twenty-year investigation, and at any time your facts may be proven wrong from one time to another, so of course I had to thoroughly look into the idea because we've got a van that we are looking for, so like I said yesterday, I threw it right out there, you know, I was looking to find out what happened to Matt Duell's blue van because I saw it Monday and then I didn't see it on Wednesday after Heidi disappeared. That was before Bivens, or yeah, Bivens changed the statement to a white van.

Q. Do you have any personal knowledge about that van

11:27:13

11:27:16 **2**

11:27:18 3

11:27:19 4

11:27:24 5

11:27:27 6

11:27:30 **7**

11:27:36 8

11:27:42 9

11:27:51 10

11:27:55 **11**

11:27:58 12

11:28:07 13

11:28:10 14

11:28:11 **15**

11:28:12 16

11:28:15 17

11:28:17 18

11:28:20 19

11:28:34 **20**

11:28:39 21

11:28:39 **22**

11:28:40 23

11:28:53 24

11:28:56 **25**

being at Crosby Hill?

A. The blue van?

Q. Yes.

A. I didn't know if it was junked there or not. That's why I asked Rich Murtaugh.

Q. What did you ask Rich Murtaugh again?

A. I says did you junk Matt Duell's blue van and he said yes, and then he kind of smiled and said no, Heidi was not in it. This is -- could a body be crushed in the -- junked, and he says naw, that would be too messy.

Q. I want to talk to you again a little bit more about your investigation that you were talking about yesterday. Did you write the name Julia Roberts on any of the notes that were in your box?

MR. MOODY: Objection, leading.

THE COURT: Sustained.

THE WITNESS: Am I allowed to --

THE COURT: No, you can't respond, sir, sorry.

Q. Now, you mentioned when you were consulting with the psychic yesterday, do you remember those questions I was asking you about?

A. Yes.

Q. And after you got done consulting with the psychic, you agreed with her that it involved three men and one woman?

1 11:28:58 2 11:29:00 3 11:29:00 11:29:02 4 11:29:03 6 11:29:05 7 11:29:08 8 11:29:10 11:29:12 9 11:29:14 10 11:29:17 11 11:29:19 **12** 11:29:19 13 11:29:20 14 11:29:20 15 11:29:22 16 11:29:25 17 11:29:26 18 11:29:30 19 11:29:32 20 11:29:35 21 11:29:37 **22** 11:29:38 23 11:29:40 24 11:29:42 **25**

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge. I don't remember testimony --

THE COURT: He didn't testify to that, definitely not. He didn't testify to that.

MS. PEEBLES: Well, I'm asking him after he consulted with -- I'm asking what he thought.

THE COURT: Ask in non-leading form then.

Q. Mr. Bohrer, after you consulted with your psychic, do you recall what conclusions were drawn after you consulted with your psychic, Trudy Lortie?

THE COURT: Conclusions by whom?

MR. MOODY: Objection, Your Honor. That's speculation.

MS. PEEBLES: By them.

THE COURT: By them or by him?

MS. PEEBLES: By Mr. Bohrer.

MR. MOODY: It's speculation because what basis and knowledge does he either or the psychic have.

THE COURT: I agree. Purely speculative. Sustained.

MR. OAKES: Excuse me, Your Honor. Can I approach please?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. OAKES: With counsel.

(Whereupon, there was an off the record

11:29:43 **1**

11:30:32 **2**

11:30:37 **3**

11:30:41 4

11:30:49 **5**

11:30:51 6

11:31:00 7

11:31:07 8

11:31:13 9

11:31:21 10

11:31:28 11

11:31:30 12

11:31:33 13

11:31:34 14

11:31:36 **15**

11:31:37 **16**

11:31:39 17

11:31:40 18

11:31:41 **19**

11:31:50 **20**

11:31:53 21

11:31:56 22

11:31:58 23

11:32:10 24

11:32:12 25

discussion at the bench).

Q. Mr. Bohrer, did you have any knowledge regarding Heidi Allen as a confidential informant?

A. Not at all until the day it was found.

Q. What was found?

A. It was announced that Christine, Matt's wife, had found -- it was brought to my attention that an ID card was found on the parking lot, this was like 9:30, ten o'clock in the morning. I think it was Wednesday the following after Easter Sunday and --

THE COURT: I'm going to strike that answer as being based completely on hearsay.

MR. MOODY: Judge, I object.

THE COURT: You can't continue in that line,

sir.

Q. Mr. Bohrer, did you ever see the card that you're talking about?

A. No.

Q. But you knew about it?

A. It was brought to my attention and I heard it on the TV at twelve.

Q. At twelve?

A. (Nods). Yes. More to be announced at five, but at five o'clock no mention of it.

Q. Mr. Bohrer, when was that?

1 11:32:15 2 11:32:20 3 11:32:21 4 11:32:23 11:32:25 6 11:32:29 7 11:32:33 8 11:32:36 9 11:32:39 11:32:41 10 11:32:41 11 11:32:46 12 11:32:52 13 11:32:55 14 11:32:55 **15** 11:32:56 **16** 11:33:02 17 11:33:05 18 11:33:07 19 11:33:09 20 11:33:12 21 11:33:14 22 11:33:17 23 11:33:18 24 11:33:25 **25** A. Not long after Heidi disappeared.

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge. Could we have a basis of time or knowledge on this?

THE COURT: Honestly, anything regarding the CI status is completely based on hearsay. The Court's disregarding it. No firsthand knowledge.

- Q. Mr. Bohrer, I'm going to ask you some questions now about the Liberty Bell Tavern. Do you know where the Liberty Bell Tavern is?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And -- and where is the Liberty Bell Tavern located?
 - A. On State Route 11, Colosse.
 - Q. Was it close to where Medspars was located?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. About how far away?
 - A. Less than a mile.
 - Q. Did you ever go to the Liberty Bell Tavern?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Do you remember when you -- what year it was when you'd go to the Liberty Bell Tavern?
 - A. No, I do not.
 - Q. Is the Liberty Bell Tavern closed?
 - A. No, it was not.
- Q. No, closed now. I guess -- strike that. Is the Liberty Bell Tavern still open establishment?

- 11:33:28 1
- 11:33:29 **2**
- 11:33:31 3
- 11:33:33 4
- 11:33:39 5
- 11:33:43 6
- 11:33:45 7
- 11:33:50 8
- 11:33:54 9
- 11:34:04 10
- 11:34:05 11
- 11:34:09 12
- 11:34:10 13
- 11:34:11 14
- 11:34:14 15
- 11:34:15 16
- 11:34:18 17
- 11:34:18 18
- 11:34:21 **19**
- 11:34:25 **20**
- 11:34:34 21
- 11:34:38 22
- 11:34:42 23
- 11:34:47 **24**
- 11:34:55 **25**

- A. No, it's not.
- Q. Do you know what year it closed?
- A. No, I don't.
- **Q.** And did you ever have an encounter with an individual by the name of Tyler Hayes?
 - A. I believe so.
 - Q. Do you remember when that was?
- A. I don't remember what year it was, it was quite a while ago. He was a young kid. Guy. I don't remember what year.
- **Q.** Okay, and what was the nature of the confrontation with Tyler Hayes?

MR. MOODY: I'm going to object.

Characterization as confrontation, Judge.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain it to the generalization. You haven't established there was a confrontation.

- **Q.** Did you have an encounter with Tyler Hayes at the Liberty Bell Tavern?
 - A. Which one was Tyler Hayes? There were two guys.
 - Q. Okay, can you tell me who the other gentleman was?
- A. There was a thinner one and I don't remember the other guy's name. One was obnoxious, I believe it was Tyler Hayes, and the other one was pretty levelheaded I think.

11:34:55 1

11:34:57

2

6

11:35:05 **3**

11:35:13 **4**

11:35:20

11:35:22

11:35:26 **7**

11:35:34 8

11:35:37 9

11:35:43 **10**

11:35:52 11

11:35:59 12

11:36:02 13

11:36:15 14

11:36:23 15

11:36:27 **16**

11:36:30 17

11:36:33 **18**

11:36:34 19

11:36:35 **20**

11:36:37 21

11:36:42 22

11:36:44 **23**

11:36:48 **24**

11:36:48 **25**

Q. And what happened?

A. I believe it was Tyler Hayes that claimed that he was related to the Allen family, and I blareded (sic) out the words -- am I supposed to go any further here?

Q. Yes. Tell us what happened.

A. I'm not sure exactly how the Heidi Allen conversation came up, if he initiated or I did, but he identified himself as a relative of the Allen family, and I says you know that those boys didn't have anything to do with it, and he got a little more conversational about it and a little bit louder, and I mentioned that in the process of the conversation, I mean we're talking several minutes here, I said that I had a theory of where I think Heidi could be.

Q. Did you at any time during this encounter with Tyler Hayes cry?

A. No.

Q. You didn't cry at all?

A. No.

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge. It's leading and asked and answered.

THE COURT: Sustained on both.

Q. At some point were you asked to leave the Liberty Bell Tavern?

A. Yes.

1 11:36:49 11:36:51 2 3 11:36:54 4 11:36:55 5 11:36:56 6 11:37:03 7 11:37:05 8 11:37:06 9 11:37:13 11:37:17 10 11:37:18 11 11:37:20 **12** 11:37:20 **13** 11:37:21 14 11:37:24 **15** 11:37:30 16 11:37:31 **17** 11:37:47 **18** 11:37:50 19 11:37:52 20 11:37:56 **21**

11:37:58 22

11:38:00 **23**

11:38:01 24

11:38:06 **25**

- Q. And who asked you to leave?
- A. The owner.
- Q. And did you?
- A. Yes.
- **Q.** Mr. Bohrer, did anyone from Oswego County Sheriff's Department ever contact you after that incident?
 - A. No.
- Q. I'm going to ask you some questions going back to Danielle Babcock when she used to work for you for East Coast Resorts.
 - A. Yes.
 - **Q.** You --

THE COURT: Got it right there, Ms. Peebles.

MS. PEEBLES: I made it my mission today.

- Q. Did you ever talk to Danielle Babcock about Heidi Allen?
 - A. It's possible. I don't recall.
 - Q. Did you ever threaten Danielle Babcock?
 - A. Never.
- Q. Did you ever tell Danielle Babcock that you would do to her what you did to Heidi Allen?

MR. MOODY: I'm going to object. It's leading, Judge.

THE COURT: Sustained. He already said he never threatened her.

11:38:09 2 11:38:17 3 11:38:20 4 11:38:25 11:38:27 5 11:38:30 6 7 11:38:37 11:38:39 8 11:38:42 9 11:38:44 10 11:38:47 11 11:38:47 12 11:38:54 **13** 11:38:57 14 11:39:01 **15** 11:39:07 **16** 11:39:08 17 11:39:09 18 11:39:12 **19** 11:39:14 **20** 11:39:18 21 11:39:34 **22** 11:39:35 23 11:39:36 **24** 11:39:40 **25**

Q. Mr. Bohrer, since March twenty-first of 2013, have you met with anyone either from the District Attorney's Office or the Oswego County Sheriff's office?

A. No.

Q. Did you have a meeting with Mr. Oakes and your attorney at any time?

MR. OAKES: Excuse me, Your Honor. Does he know who I am? I don't know if he does or not.

THE COURT: Do you know who Mr. Oakes is?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I -- I know him and Mark.

THE COURT: Okay.

A. I did request a sit down with both the district attorney and the assistant district attorney.

Q. And do you remember when that was?

A. Thursday before last I think or last Thursday?

Q. But recently?

A. Yes.

Q. How long was your meeting?

A. Three hours or so.

Q. Where was the meeting?

A. It was at the -- what is it, the DA's office or --

Q. Okay, right down --

A. Conference room.

Q. Okay. Now, did you go -- you went with your attorney to meet with them?

- 11:39:42 1
- 11:39:44 **2**
- **11:39:47 3**
- **11:39:52 4**
- 11:39:53 **5**
- 11:39:57 6
- 11:40:05 7
- 11:40:09 8
- 11:40:24 9
- 11:40:30 10
- 11:40:37 11
- 11:40:44 12
- 11:40:47 13
- 11:40:50 14
- 11:40:50 15
- 11:40:53 16
- 11:40:54 17
- 11:40:55 18
- 11:41:04 19
- 11:41:05 **20**
- 11:41:05 **21**
- 11:41:10 22
- 11:41:16 23
- 11:41:18 24
- 11:41:19 25

- A. Yes, I had my attorney with me.
- **Q.** And did they talk with you about your testimony in this case?
 - A. My testimony?
 - Q. Well, what did you talk about, how about that?
 - A. The contents of the box.
 - Q. What did they ask you about the box?
- **A.** Whether that it was my box and whether I recognized certain documents like you did. Pretty much what you -- you did, you know, identify this, identify that. I'm not going to sit here and recite three hours of discussion.
 - Q. That was a long meeting three hours. Anything -- MR. MOODY: I'm going to object, Judge.

Relevance.

THE COURT: What's the relevance?

MS. PEEBLES: I'll withdraw the question.

THE COURT: Thank you.

- **Q.** Is that the only meeting that you had with the District Attorney's Office?
 - A. Yes.
- **Q.** And had you been told at any time that you were not a suspect?

MR. MOODY: Judge, I'm going to object to the relevance.

THE COURT: Sustained.

11:41:20	1
11:41:21	2
11:41:23	3
11:41:23	4
11:41:25	5
11:41:26	6
11:41:31	7
11:41:31	8
11:41:34	9
11:41:37	10
11:41:38	11
11:41:39	12
11:41:42	13
11:41:45	14
11:41:59	15
11:42:04	16
11:42:06	17
11:42:08	18
11:42:08	19
11:42:09	20
11:42:10	21
11:42:12	22
11:42:18	23
11:42:24	24
11:42:27	25

A. I don't recall.

THE COURT: No, sustained. You don't have to answer the question.

Q. Do you think you're a suspect?

MR. MOODY: Objection, relevance.

THE COURT: Sustained. Don't need to answer the question, sir.

- Q. I'm going to ask you a question about an individual named Carl Robinson. Do you know Carl Robinson?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. How did you know Carl Robinson?
 - A. Young kid that needed help.
 - Q. How did you meet him?
 - A. Through one of the girls that worked there.

Relative of his. Oh, his sister.

THE COURT: For my own clarification, you said the girls that worked there. You mean the girls making the calls?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: My smile and dialers.

- Q. How -- do you remember what year that was?
- A. I think it was probably 2001 or 2000.
- **Q.** And you were operating your business Medspar?
- A. Yes.

11:42:27 11:42:30 11:42:31 11:42:33 11:42:34 11:42:36 11:42:45 11:42:48 11:42:52 11:42:57 10 11:42:59 11 11:43:03 **12** 11:43:05 13 11:43:13 14 11:43:14 15 11:43:21 16 11:43:24 17 11:43:26 **18**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

- Q. Did Carl ever work with you at Medspar?
- Α. Yes, he did.
- Q. Did you hire him?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. What was his position when you hired him?
- Why -- surely didn't recommend the phone job with Α. his stutter, so I asked him if he would be interested in learning how to repair computers.
 - Q. And that's what you do? Do you repair computers?
 - A. All electronics.
 - Q. You're a pretty smart guy, fair statement?
 - I like to think so. Α.
 - Q. And you worked at IBM you said for ten years?
 - A. Twelve.
- Q. Twelve? Now with regard to Carl Robinson, how long did he work for you?
 - A. I believe close to three years.
- Q. And was he working forty hours a week when he worked for you?
 - Α. How many hours a week?
- Q. Well, was he working full time for you or was it part time?
 - A. It was full time.
- Q. Now, Mr. Bohrer were you ever selling marijuana back in 1994?
- 11:43:41 23

11:43:32 19

11:43:35 20

11:43:38 **21**

11:43:40 22

- 11:43:43 24
- 11:43:52 **25**

1 11:43:53 11:43:56 2 11:43:57 3 11:43:59 4 11:44:00 5 6 11:44:02 11:44:03 7 8 11:44:11 11:44:12 9 11:44:14 10 11:44:19 11 11:44:22 12 11:44:26 13 11:44:29 14 11:44:32 15 11:44:34 16 11:44:35 17 11:48:30 18 11:48:30 19 11:48:34 **20** 11:48:39 21 11:48:39 22 11:48:44 23 11:48:46 24 11:48:50 25

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge. Impeaching her own witness.

MS. PEEBLES: How's that impeaching?

MR. MOODY: It's leading.

THE COURT: It's leading. I'll sustain. It's leading.

Q. Have you ever sold any type of drug?

MR. MOODY: Objection, leading.

THE COURT: I'll allow it.

THE WITNESS: I plead the Fifth Amendment.

THE COURT: Five minutes. Go speak to your attorney. You don't plead the Fifth. Go speak to Mr. Bohrer. Speak to your attorney please.

MR. OAKES: Your Honor, can we approach?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Whereupon, there ws an off the record discussion at the bench).

(Whereupon, there was a recess).

- Q. Mr. Bohrer, you can answer the question.
- **A.** Yes. I sold marijuana to a few friends here and there.
 - Q. When did you start doing that?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. Were you doing that back in 1994?
 - A. Absolutely not.

	11:48:52	1
	11:48:57	2
	11:49:00	3
	11:49:03	4
	11:49:06	5
	11:49:09	6
	11:49:11	7
	11:49:13	8
	11:49:16	9
)	11:49:18	10
	11:49:21	11
	11:49:22	12
	11:49:23	13
	11:49:25	14
	11:49:27	15
	11:49:30	16
	11:49:32	17
	11:49:34	18
	11:49:35	19
	11:49:37	20
	11:49:38	21
	11:49:39	22
	11:49:40	23
	11:49:43	24
ĸ.	11.40.45	25

- **Q.** Do you know an individual by the name of Andrew Miller?
 - A. Andrew Miller? No.
- **Q.** Did you collect a couple articles in your box that had to do with Andrew Miller?

MR. MOODY: Objection what's in the box, Judge. How's it relevant?

THE COURT: How do you tie this to relevant -- how is it relevant? How is it relevant?

MS. PEEBLES: Well, do you want me to make a full argument on the record?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. PEEBLES: He just got done explaining that he was selling drugs and he was collecting articles specifically of people who were arrested and it --

THE COURT: No, he never answered affirmative to that question. You said --

MS. PEEBLES: I was asking --

THE COURT: You said did you collect articles about Andrew Miller. That was your question.

MS. PEEBLES: Yes.

THE COURT: How's whether or not he collected an article with Andrew Miller relevant?

MS. PEEBLES: Well, it's relevant if he's trying to keep track of Andrew Miller being arrested

1 11:49:47 2 11:49:50 11:49:52 3 11:49:54 4 11:49:57 5 6 11:50:00 11:50:02 7 8 11:50:03 9 11:50:06 11:50:08 10 11:50:10 11 11:50:13 12 11:50:17 13 11:50:19 14 11:50:22 15 11:50:25 **16**

11:50:25 **16** 11:50:29 **17** 11:50:33 **18**

11:50:37 19

11:50:40 **20**

11:50:41 **21**

11:50:42 22

11:50:45 23

11:50:50 **24**

11:51:04 **25**

for a drug offense if he's selling marijuana to him.

THE COURT: I don't think it's relevant at all so I'm going to sustain the objection.

Q. Other than information concerning Heidi Allen, did you have any other information in the box that didn't have anything to do with Heidi Allen?

MR. MOODY: I'd object, Judge. What's the relevance of what's in the box, whether or not it --

THE COURT: Sustained.

MS. PEEBLES: Well, can I be heard on that? THE COURT: Sure. I apologize.

MS. PEEBLES: Your Honor, obviously it's our position that these items in the box were collected for a particular purpose and that would be to keep track of the investigation of the case involving Heidi Allen because he had a concern that their investigation would point to him and therefore the other documents in the box that he was collecting are directly relevant to whom he was keeping track of, so I think, Your Honor, that it is relevant.

THE COURT: I don't think it's relevant at all. Sustained.

Q. Do you recall what years you were selling marijuana, Mr. Bohrer?

A. What years? I mean I -- I suppose from ninety-seven

1 to 2001 maybe.

> Q. Who were you buying it from?

MR. MOODY: I'm going to object, Judge, relevance.

THE COURT: Sustained. What is the relevance who he was buying from?

MS. PEEBLES: Well, Your Honor, it's our position that Heidi Allen was abducted because she was an informant and therefore his --

THE COURT: He just said he bought in ninetyseven. That's when he started selling, ninety-seven.

MS. PEEBLES: I'm going to explore that a little bit more, Judge.

THE COURT: Why don't you explore that first before you have -- try to tie anything in about the seller as being relevant?

MS. PEEBLES: It could reveal the time period depending on who he's purchasing it from.

THE COURT: It's awfully speculative, Ms. Peebles. I'm going to sustain the objection.

Did you tell anybody about your knowledge concerning Q. the card that you were talking about regarding Heidi Allen?

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge. Leading, time frame.

THE COURT: I'm not sure. Did you say car?

11:51:20 2 11:51:24 11:51:27 11:51:28 11:51:28 5 6 11:51:31 7 11:51:33 11:51:34 8 9 11:51:37 11:51:39 **10** 11:51:43 11 11:51:46 12 11:51:47 **13** 11:51:47 14 11:51:49 **15**

11:51:52 16

11:51:53 17 11:51:55 18

11:51:57 19

11:51:59 20 11:52:02 21

11:52:15 **22**

11:52:20 **23**

11:52:22 24

11:52:22 25

1 11:52:27 11:52:27 2 3 11:52:32 11:52:32 4 11:52:34 5 6 11:52:38 7 11:52:38 8 11:52:41 11:52:44 9 11:52:48 10 11:52:49 11 11:52:51 12 11:52:52 13 11:52:55 14 11:53:00 15 11:53:03 16 11:53:06 17 11:53:11 18 11:53:17 19 11:53:21 20 11:53:23 21 11:53:25 **22**

11:53:27 23

11:53:32 24

11:53:38 **25**

MS. PEEBLES: Card, yes.

THE COURT: Oh, card. Time frame? Ask the question.

- **Q.** When you were -- when you testified when I asked you the question about the -- you -- you mentioned the card.
 - A. Yes.
- **Q.** Learning about the card. Did you tell anybody else about what you learned with regard to that card?

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge. He learned how? I don't think we've established what he learned.

THE COURT: It's all based on hearsay.

MS. PEEBLES: Well, it's what was in his --

THE COURT: I'll allow the question. He can tell people what he knows.

- A. Ask the question again please.
- Q. Did you tell anybody else about what you -- what you learned about the card that you mentioned before?
- **A.** Well, once it was on the news at twelve o'clock, a lot of people were talking about it, me included.
 - Q. When was it on the news, sir?
 - A. Only once.
 - Q. And when was that?
- A. The day that Christine turned it in, that morning, and at twelve o'clock they said they were going to have more at five, and at five o'clock they never talked about

11:53:42 **1** it.

11:53:42 **2**

11:53:46 **3**

11:53:48 **4**

11:53:50

11:53:50 6

11:53:52 **7**

11:53:54 8

11:53:56 9

11:53:59 10

11:54:00 11

11:54:03 12

11:54:06 **13**

11:54:06 14

11:54:09 15

11:54:11 16

11:54:14 17

11:54:15 **18**

11:54:18 19

11:54:18 **20**

11:54:19 21

11:54:21 22

11:54:23 **23**

11:54:25 24

11:54:27 25

1

THE COURT: 1994.

And that was in 1991?

Q. No, 1991.

Q.

- **A.** Ninety-four.
- Q. Ninety-one is when the card was found.

MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge. How does he know? We've established his basis of knowledge and what he was saying. He said it was, if I'm not mistaken --

THE COURT: If I'm mistaken, I apologize. I thought Ms. Peebles misspoke. Ms. Peebles, ask the question again.

Q. Mr. Bohrer, when you learned about the information from the card, Christine told you about that, is that -- that's what you're saying, Christine Duell told you about the card?

MR. MOODY: Objection. I don't believe that's what he said.

MS. PEEBLES: That is what he said.

THE COURT: I did not allow that answer because it was based on hearsay. Mr. Bohrer is indicating he learned about it on the news at noon.

THE WITNESS: I didn't say I heard it from Christine at all.

11:54:28 **1**11:54:29 **2**

11:54:29 **3**

11:54:32 4

11:54:35 **5**

11:54:37 **6**

11:54:37 **7**

11:54:41 8

11:54:44 9

11:54:46 10

11:54:50 **11**

11:54:55 12

11:55:00 13

11:55:06 **14**

11:55:07 15

11:55:08 16

11:55:13 17

11:55:18 **18**

11:55:20 19

11:55:23 **20**

11:55:26 21

11:55:29 22

11:55:33 23

11:55:34 24

11:55:37 **25**

THE COURT: Mr. Bohrer, we dealt with that,

sir.

- **Q.** Okay, well, you said it was on the news. I'm trying to pinpoint when it was on the news. It was the day they found the card you said, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay, that's just -- I wanted to clear that up. So the day they found the card, you heard it on the news?
 - A. At twelve o'clock news.
 - Q. Okay, and you don't remember when that was?
- **A.** I believe it was Wednesday after Heidi's disappearance. It was like three days after.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. I mean I --
 - Q. Thank you.
- **A.** -- I even thinking that who walks around with a narc ID card? Ridiculous.
- **Q.** And I guess getting back to my question, did you tell anybody, did you specifically tell anybody about what you learned the day her card was dropped in the parking lot?
 - A. Yeah. It was new news.
 - Q. Who did you tell?
 - A. I have no idea.
 - Q. Did you talk about it with people at Murtaugh's

11:55:40 **1** junkyard?

MR. MOODY: Objection. Time frame?

MS. PEEBLES: The day the card was found and he learned about it.

A. No, strictly in the New Haven community initially.

Q. Okay, thank you, Mr. Bohrer. I appreciate it.

MS. PEEBLES: No further questions.

THE COURT: Okay, what we're going to do is it's five to twelve, we're going to break until one.

Mr. Bohrer, I'll give you a break for lunch. No sense starting cross-examination for five minutes. Any objection, Ms. Peebles?

MS. PEEBLES: No, Judge.

THE COURT: Ms. Bianco?

MS. BIANCO: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Prosecution?

MR. OAKES: No, Your Honor, thank you.

THE COURT: We're in recess.

(Whereupon, there was a recess.)

THE COURT: Mr. Bohrer ready?

MR. WEGERSKI: Yes, he is.

THE COURT: Mr. Bohrer, you're still under oath, sir, okay?

THE WITNESS: Pardon me, Your Honor?

THE COURT: You're still under oath, sir.

11:55:43 2 11:55:45 3 11:55:47 11:55:48 5 6 11:55:55 11:55:58 7 8 11:55:58 11:56:00 9 11:56:03 10 11:56:06 11 11:56:08 12 11:56:10 13 11:56:11 14 11:56:13 15 11:56:14 16 11:56:15 17 11:56:16 18 11:56:21 19 01:01:51 20 01:01:55 21 01:02:22 22 01:02:24 23

01:02:25 24

01:02:26 **25**

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, yeah. 01:02:27 01:02:27 2 THE COURT: Have a seat. I'm going to ask you 01:02:29 3 again, do you consent to having your testimony audio or videotaped? 01:02:33 4 5 01:02:34 THE WITNESS: No. 6 01:02:36 MR. MOODY: I don't appear to be on, Judge. 7 01:02:39 THE COURT: Hum? 01:02:40 8 MR. MOODY: I don't appear to be on. 9 01:04:17 (Whereupon, there was a pause in the 10 proceeding.) 01:04:24 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MOODY: 01:04:26 12 01:04:28 13 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Bohrer. 01:04:30 14 Α. Good afternoon. 01:04:31 15 Q. I'm going to ask you some questions, and if you 01:04:35 16 don't understand what I say to you or you need me to 01:04:37 17 rephrase something, let me know, okay? Α. Yes. 01:04:39 18 01:04:39 19 Q. All right? You mentioned that you had done some 01:04:46 **20** kind of investigation into this case, disappearance of 01:04:51 21 Heidi Allen, is that accurate? 01:04:52 22 A. Yes. 01:04:53 23 Q. Can you tell us like how you did that, what'd you

01:04:56 24

01:04:57 **25**

do?

Α.

Jennifer Adydan Official Court Reporter

Basically be a fly on the wall.

- 01:05:02 1
- 01:05:04 2
- 01:05:07 3
- 01:05:09 4
- 01:05:10 5
- 01:05:13 6
- 01:05:15 7
- 01:05:16 8
- 01:05:19 9
- 01:05:21 10
- 01:05:26 11
- 01:05:28 12
- 01:05:31 13
- 01:05:34 14
- 01:05:36 15
- 01:05:42 16
- 01:05:45 17
- 01:05:48 18
- 01:05:49 **19**
- 01:05:51 20
- 01:05:55 21
- 01:05:57 22
- 01:05:59 **23**
- 01:05:59 24
- 01:06:02 **25**

- Q. Whereabouts?
- A. Started at Spinners.
- Q. In the bar or -- at Spinners?
- A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay, and when you say you were a fly on the wall, did you just kind of sit there and listen to people talk about it?
- **A.** Initially that's -- that's all it was, just gathering intel.
 - Q. Okay, and was that all you did?
 - A. What do you mean?
- **Q.** Well, did -- after you sat in Spinners for awhile and listened to people talk, did you do more than that because you said "initially."
 - A. I started intervening in different cliques.
 - Q. When you say intervening, what do you mean?
 - A. Hang out with 'em.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. Smoke weed.
- **Q.** Okay, was one of those cliques the group of people and friends that the Thibodeaus might have been connected to?
 - A. No.
- Q. Was there any point in time where you became close in location, I mean living close to any of the Thibodeaus

01:06:05 1

01:06:06 2

01:06:07 3

01:06:08 4

01:06:24

01:06:30 6

01:06:35 7

01:06:36 8

01:06:43

01:06:50 10

01:06:55 11

01:06:56 12

01:06:58 13

01:07:01 14

01:07:03 15

01:07:04 16

01:07:06 17

01:07:08 18

01:07:11 19

01:07:13 20

01:07:16 21

01:07:17 22

01:07:17 23

01:07:19 24

01:07:30 **25**

or any of their friends?

- **A.** Yes.
- Q. How did that come about?
- **A.** I was trying to determine if Gary and Richard were innocent or not so I thought I'd feel 'em out.
- **Q.** So where did you move to? What -- what kind of property or where?
- A. I -- a gentleman next door to where Gary and his wife and sometimes a few of their friends would hang out, I purchased the trailer next door and paid the rent for the lot that it was on.
 - **Q.** Who owned the lot?
 - A. Joe Murray's brother.
 - Q. Do you know his name?
 - A. No. I don't.
- Q. And who'd you buy -- you said you bought the trailer. Who'd you buy the trailer from?
 - A. From George Wallace.
- **Q.** And do you know if George Wallace had any kind of relationship with either Richard Thibodeau or Gary

Thibodeau?

- A. I believe so.
- **Q.** What was that relationship if you know?
- A. I don't know what that relationship was exactly.
- I -- I pretty much connected with George to acquire the

01:07:35 01:07:40 2

1

3 01:07:45

4 01:07:48

01:07:50

01:07:51 6

7 01:07:57

8 01:07:58

01:07:58

01:08:02 10

01:08:04 11

01:08:07 12

01:08:13 13

01:08:16 14

01:08:17 **15**

01:08:21 16

01:08:21 17

01:08:24 18

01:08:25 19

01:08:35 20

01:08:40 21

01:08:42 22

01:08:50 23

01:08:52 24

01:08:54 **25**

trailer 'cause he -- his sister lived next door to another friend of mine and was just through association.

Okay, do you know if -- if George Wallace testified Q. at Gary Thibodeau's trial?

Α. No, I don't.

Q. Now you said they lived next door to a gentleman by the name of Joe Murray?

A. Yes.

Is that his -- is Joe his full name or his middle or Q. his first name or his middle name if you know?

Α. I believe it was his first name.

Q. And he -- you said that -- that Gary and his wife -what's his wife's -- what was his wife's name if you know?

A. Sharon.

Q. Sharon? They would sometimes go over there?

Α. Yes.

Q. And when did you move into this trailer that you bought from Mr. Wallace?

A. It was -- it was shortly before Gary's trial.

Q. Okay.

Α. It was summertime I believe.

Q. And you said you were trying to intervene. when you say you were trying to intervene with them, what were you trying to do? What did you do I guess is actually -- not trying to do, but what did you do?

- 01:08:57
- 01:09:02 2
- 01:09:05 3
- 01:09:07 4
- 01:09:07 5
- 01:09:08 6
- 01:09:09 7
- 01:09:18 8
- 01:09:23
- 01:09:32 10
- 01:09:35 11
- 01:09:39 12
- 01:09:42 13
- 01:09:42 14
- 01:09:43 15
- 01:09:58 16
- 01:10:02 17
- 01:10:05 18
- 01:10:06 19
- 01:10:11 20
- 01:10:12 21
- 01:10:12 22
- 01:10:14 23
- 01:10:19 **24**
- 01:10:23 **25**

- A. Determine if they were in fact guilty.
- Q. Well, how -- what did you do to try and determine that? Did you talk to them, did you -- just what did you do?
 - A. Feel 'em out.
 - Q. Feel 'em out?
 - A. Listen to, you know, their emotions.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. Just listen to the, you know, conversations and --
- **Q.** Did you ever actually confront anyone about whether or not they were involved in Heidi Allen's kidnapping?
 - A. You mean like directly ask did you do it?
 - Q. Yeah.
 - A. No.
- Q. Now you said that this investigation was kind of prompted by the sign, and I think it used to be on County Route 1? Or County Route 6. I could be wrong on --
 - **A.** 104.
- Q. It was on 104, the sign on 104, and that sign said, "Where is Heidi?"
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And that made you feel how?
 - A. Impelled to look for her. Answer the question.
- Q. Now, you mentioned that you did something for IBM. What did you do for IBM?

01:10:24 01:10:32 01:10:38 01:10:42 01:10:43 01:10:46 01:10:51 01:10:52 01:10:54 01:10:57 10 01:11:05 11 01:11:07 12 01:11:09 13 01:11:12 14 01:11:17 15 01:11:17 16 01:11:20 17 01:11:20 18 01:11:26 19

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

A. The last thing I was doing was prototyping applications with artificial implications.

Q. Okay, why did you end up -- how did you end up not working for IBM? What happened?

- A. Executive burnout.
- Q. Was that like a medical diagnosis or --
- A. Yes.
- Q. And --
- Α. Due to extreme stress is what it was.
- Q. Okay, and after you got this diagnosis, did you get any kind of treatment or --
 - Α. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And how long did that treatment last?
 - A. Talking about outpatient?
 - Q. Yeah.
 - Α. After I left IBM?
 - Q. Okay.
- Α. Hospital was over, and I didn't stay with the outpatient program in Oswego for very long.
- Q. Now you said you were in an outpatient program, and were you in some kind of inpatient program then too?
- Α. Well, I was hospitalized while working for IBM for --
 - Q. And that was related to the stress?
 - A. Yes.

01:11:44 25

01:11:29 **20**

01:11:33 21

01:11:37 **22**

01:11:41 23

01:11:42 24

01:11:45 01:11:58 01:12:03 01:12:05 01:12:06 01:12:11 01:12:12 01:12:13 01:12:15 01:12:16 **10** 01:12:19 11 01:12:23 **12** 01:12:26 **13** 01:12:30 14 01:12:30 **15**

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

Q. Now, moving back to the time period when you -after Heidi got kidnapped, you were living at Spinners just up the road on 104B, right?

A. Yes.

Q. You had mentioned that you came here and -- for a wedding and stayed at Spinners?

A. Correct.

Q. And then you decided I guess to stay?

Α. Yes.

Q. What prompted you to decide to stay?

Α. Well, being that I was retired, what do you do with the rest of your life?

Q. So you rented a house, you called it a four-bedroom ranch?

A. Correct.

Q. And then at some point obviously between when you rented the house and when Heidi disappears, you decide to go back to Spinners to live there. What prompted that?

Α. I had my personal safe stollen out of the house.

Q. Was it a burglary or something or --

A. Yes.

Q. Okay, was that the only time your house was burglarized?

A. At that residence, yes.

Q. Okay, when you say at that residence, were there

01:12:48 20

01:12:31 **16**

01:12:34 17

01:12:38 18

01:12:42 19

- 01:12:51 21
- 01:12:52 22
- 01:12:55 23
- 01:12:57 **24**
- 01:12:59 **25**

01:13:01 1

01:13:04

2

01:13:11 3

01:13:12 4

01:13:15 **5**

01:13:15 6

01:13:17 **7**

01:13:27 8

01:13:31 9

01:13:35 **10**

01:13:38 11

01:13:40 12

01:13:41 13

01:13:42 14

01:13:46 15

01:13:46 **16**

01:13:47 17

01:13:52 18

01:13:56 19

01:13:58 **20**

01:14:02 21

01:14:04 **22**

01:14:08 23

01:14:11 24

01:14:15 **25**

other residences where something like that happened?

A. I -- I stopped counting in 1997. It was up to twenty-seven.

- Q. So twenty-seven times your house was burglarized?
- A. Yes.
- Q. What kind of stuff were they taking?
- A. Food, checkbooks. I forgave one guy, took all of my food out of the cabinets, refrigerator, and left me one meal, so I thought that was nice of him.
- **Q.** If you are going to take all -- you don't want to take all of somebody's food. Did anybody ever got caught or arrested?
 - A. The rest of them did.
- **Q.** Did anybody ever get caught or arrested for any of those?
 - A. No.
- Q. Now you mentioned that a couple of weeks after Heidi was kidnapped or a short while after Heidi was kidnapped, you were stopped along the side of the road. Was that a traffic stop or was it in like a road block?
 - A. Repeat the question?
- **Q.** Sure, sure. You remember testifying yesterday about being -- being -- talking to somebody along the side of the road, do you remember that, right after Heidi was kidnapped?

- 01:14:15
- 01:14:17 2
- 01:14:17 3
- 01:14:18 4
- 01:14:20 5
- 01:14:22 6
- 01:14:23 7
- 01:14:26 8
- 01:14:32 9
- 01:14:39 10
- 01:14:46 11
- 01:14:51 12
- 01:14:57 13
- 01:14:57 14
- 01:14:58 **15**
- 01:15:00 16
- 01:15:01 17
- 01:15:02 18
- 01:15:03 19
- 01:15:06 20
- 01:15:07 21
- 01:15:08 22
- 01:15:10 23
- 01:15:10 24
- 01:15:15 **25**

- A. Talking about when the investigators --
- Q. Yeah.
- A. Oh, okay.
- **Q.** Was that at a traffic stop like did you get pulled over or was it a road block?
 - A. Neither.
 - Q. Neither. How'd it come about?
- A. I -- I believe the -- I don't remember if it was

 Investigator Wheeler or a deputy, just flipped the lights

 on a little bit, wanted to -- that's it, and I pulled over.
- **Q.** And you mentioned that back at the time when -- when Heidi was kidnapped, that you were driving a Galaxy I think you said?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What kind of -- I'm not familiar with that. What is -- what kind of car is that?
 - A. It's a Ford.
 - Q. Ford. Is it a van or --
 - A. No, it's a Galaxy 500.
 - Q. Like a sedan?
 - A. Four door.
 - Q. Four-door sedan?
 - A. Yep.
 - Q. And do you remember the color of that?
 - A. Metallic green.

01:15:18 01:15:46 01:15:51 01:15:57 01:15:59 10

2 01:15:31 3 01:15:37 01:15:40 4

1

6

01:15:42

7 01:15:49

8 9

01:16:03 11

01:16:04 12

01:16:04 13

01:16:07 14

01:16:08 **15**

01:16:09 **16**

01:16:12 17

01:16:17 18

01:16:19 19

01:16:19 20

01:16:24 21

01:16:27 **22**

01:16:28 23

01:16:32 24

01:16:33 **25**

Q. Now, and then you were asked some questions about -about a year later or so, speaking again with the Sheriff's Department. Do you remember speaking with them then, about a year later?

Α. About the theft of my safe?

Q. No, about -- about Heidi.

A. Yes.

Okay, would that have been on March twenty-first of Q. ninety-five?

Α. It was spring.

Q. Was spring?

Yep. Α.

Q. Do you remember if it was Investigator Yerdon and Investigator Wheeler, Bob Wheeler?

Α. Yes, it was.

Q. Okay, and you told them at that point in time didn't you that you had been praying and in your prayers talking to Heidi, do you remember telling them that?

Α. Yes.

Q. And one of the things that -- that Heidi told you was to go talk to her mom and dad, do you remember that?

A. Yes, she did.

Q. Okay, and did you try and go and talk to Ken and Sue Allen?

A. Yes, I did.

- 01:17:42 24

01:17:43 **25**

		1
)	01:16:33	1
	01:16:36	2
	01:16:41	3
	01:16:43	4
	01:16:46	5
	01:16:47	6
	01:16:48	7
	01:16:59	8
	01:17:03	9
	01:17:06	10
	01:17:07	11
	01:17:07	12
	01:17:12	13
1	01:17:18	14
	01:17:22	15
	01:17:24	16
	01:17:25	17
	01:17:30	18
	01:17:32	19
	01:17:36	20
	01:17:40	21
	01:17:42	22
	01:17:42	23

Q.	And	what	happened	when	you	did	that?
				********	,	a i a	ciid c.

- Α. They called the sheriffs and I didn't have a chance to give them their message.
- Okay, did you end up having to go over to Bunner Q. Street to mental health?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And now during the course of your investigation, you said it's -- it kind of lasted until Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson came to talk to you and kind of took your box, right?
 - Correct.
- At any point in time did you speak with Richard Thibodeau after his trial in say 1996?
 - A. After his trial.
- Q. In August of 1996, do you remember speaking with him?
 - A. After Richard's trial or Gary's trial?
- Q. After Richard Thibodeau's trial which was in September of 1995, did you at some point in August of 1996 talk to Richard?

MS. PEEBLES: Judge, I'll stipulate that he did.

I don't know.

THE COURT: Hold on.

MR. PEEBLES: If he wants to introduce the

1 01:17:45 01:17:47 2 01:17:49 3 01:17:51 4 5 01:17:52 01:17:54 6 7 01:17:54 01:17:56 8 01:17:57 9 01:17:58 10 01:18:01 11 01:18:01 12 01:18:05 **13** 01:18:09 14 01:18:13 15 01:18:17 16 01:18:18 17 01:18:28 18 01:18:29 19 01:18:31 20 01:18:36 **21** 01:18:37 22 01:18:39 23 01:18:41 24 01:18:44 25

document that indicates that he spoke with Richard Thibodeau, I have no objection.

MR. MOODY: I'm going to be refreshing his recollection if he doesn't remember, Judge.

THE COURT: She will stipulate that he did talk.

MR. MOODY: I thought you were stipulating to the document.

MS. PEEBLES: I'll stipulate to the document and his testimony that he actually spoke with him in August.

A. More than likely I had spoken with him in regards to, you know, congratulations or whatever.

Q. Okay, and it was about a month later that you and Trudy Lortie went up to see Gary, so in September of ninety-six?

A. I'm -- that was after. I'm not sure exactly where things fall.

Q. Okay, all right.

A. I don't have a timeline in my head that's very detailed.

MS. PEEBLES: Judge, can we approach just for a minute?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Whereupon, there was an off the record

01:20:14 1 01:20:14 2 01:20:24 3 01:20:26 4 5 01:20:28 6 01:20:29 7 01:20:32 01:20:33 8 01:20:35 9 01:20:36 10 01:21:00 11 01:21:00 **12**

01:21:01 13 01:21:05 14

01:21:12 16 01:21:13 17

01:21:07 15

01:21:19 **18**

01:21:25 **19**

01:21:28 20

01:21:30 21

01:21:32 **22**

01:21:32 23

01:21:34 24

01:21:46 **25**

discussion at the bench.)

THE COURT: Back live. Ms. Peebles, you're now satisfied that you did have a copy of the notes that Mr. Moody was reviewing?

MS. PEEBLES: I thought he was referring to a statement that I hadn't seen. It was just the notes so I'm good with that.

Okay, thank you. THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Moody, continue.

> MR. MOODY: I forgot where I was.

(Whereupon, the court reporter read back the last question).

- I recall Trudy and I going to see Gary, yes. Α.
- But you don't remember exactly when it was? Q.
- A. Not the exact date. I'm sure I'm in the book somewhere.
- Q. Okay, now besides speaking with the Sheriff's Department in early -- the early part of 1995, say in February or March of 1995, you were asked some questions -you also went to speak with the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the FBI?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right, was it your understanding that they were involved in this investigation also?
 - Α. I didn't go to the FBI after Gary's trial.

01:21:59 2

1

01:21:55

01:22:00 3

01:22:01 4

5 01:22:04

6 01:22:07

7 01:22:11

01:22:19

9 01:22:21

01:22:22 10

01:22:22 11

01:22:25 12

01:22:27 13

01:22:33 14

01:22:35 15

01:22:36 16

01:22:38 17

01:22:41 18

01:22:43 19

01:22:46 **20**

01:22:49 **21**

01:22:53 **22**

01:22:53 **23**

01:22:54 24

01:23:05 **25**

I'm talking before Gary's trial, I'm sorry. Q. confuse you on dates, just let me know.

A. Okay.

Q. Before or around the same time that you spoke with Investigator Wheeler and Investigator Yerdon and told them about praying to Heidi, did you also go speak to the FBI?

Α. I attempted to when I was living on Green Road.

Q. Okay, well, do you remember the report that you looked at yesterday?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay, was that -- was that a report about what you told the FBI?

A. I recall that report, yes.

Q. So my question was did you speak with the FBI then?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. And was it your understanding that they were also involved in the investigation into what happened to Heidi Allen?

A. I wasn't aware that they were officially.

Q. What made you go talk to them then?

A. Because I thought Oswego County Sheriffs need some help.

Q. Okay.

Α. And I as a citizen I -- I thought this is crazy, so I thought somebody needed to --

01:23:09 1 01:23:15 2 01:23:21 3 01:23:29 4 01:23:29 5 01:23:38 6 01:23:44 7 01:23:48 01:23:56 9 01:24:01 10 01:24:06 11 01:24:12 **12** 01:24:15 13 01:24:17 14 01:24:17 15 01:24:19 16 01:24:28 17 01:24:32 18 01:24:41 19 01:24:47 20 01:24:55 21 01:25:01 22

01:25:12 23

01:25:19 24

01:25:27 **25**

- Q. Now you mentioned that you -- that you had -- you had also -- well, besides speaking with the FBI, excuse me, did you also phone in some tips to the -- to the Sheriff's Department?
 - A. I don't remember phoning in tips.
- Q. Do you remember in -- in April thirteenth of ninety-four phoning in something about seeing a guy in military fatigues and a gray pickup truck near 104 and 104B?
 - A. It slips my mind.
- **Q.** Okay. Do you remember phoning -- do you remember calling the Sheriff's Department about a red truck that you thought was suspicious that you had seen a couple of times?
 - A. Yes, yes.
 - Q. What did you tell them about that?
- A. That was in response to a request by the community to come forward with anything suspicious that you may have or think you saw on and/or around or before Heidi's disappearance, and I had an encounter with two gentlemen sitting in a red truck and it was -- they were parked in front of the stop sign kind of in Spinners' parking lot, and I was on my way on six, I had to stop at the stop sign and -- of 104B, and I thought I saw Richard Thibodeau in the red truck, and I kept looking over, kept looking over, finally turned and looked at me and I -- whoa, it's not

1 01:25:32 2 01:25:37 01:25:41 3 01:25:44 4 01:25:50 5 6 01:25:58 01:26:00 7 01:26:04 9 01:26:09 01:26:17 10 01:26:17 11 01:26:19 **12** 01:26:23 13 01:26:28 14 01:26:31 **15** 01:26:34 16 01:26:34 17 01:26:39 18 01:26:42 19 01:26:42 20 01:26:44 **21** 01:27:01 **22** 01:27:27 **23**

01:27:30 **24**

01:27:31 **25**

Richard Thibodeau, because Richard had asked me to look for a truck, his -- his van wasn't working well and it was giving him a lot of trouble, and he asked me if I could find a used truck that wasn't too damaged, and I liked Richard. I didn't care for you, Gary.

THE DEFENDANT: I don't even know you.

A. But I was trying to look for a truck for him, and when he asked me, I mentioned Matt Duell I heard was wanting to sell his truck, and so that's what led to my paying attention.

- Q. To trucks?
- A. To the truck because I thought it was Richard and he had purchased a truck so he didn't need to have me find a truck any more and I was going to congratulate him.
 - Q. So it turned out not to be Richard in the truck?
 - A. Correct.
- **Q.** And you reported that to the Sheriff's Department that you saw this truck there around the time of Heidi's disappearance?
 - **A.** The red truck and the two men in the truck.
- **Q.** Now you were asked some questions -- well, actually I'm going to withdraw that portion. Now, you were asked some questions about your relationship with the Murtaughs and their scrap yard.
 - A. Um hum.

01:27:32 1 2 01:27:36 01:27:42 3 01:27:51 4 01:27:57 5 6 01:27:58 01:27:58 7 8 01:28:05 01:28:08 9 01:28:09 10 01:28:12 11 01:28:18 **12** 01:28:19 **13** 01:28:21 14 01:28:23 15 01:28:25 16 01:28:43 17 01:28:46 18 01:28:51 19 01:28:51 **20** 01:28:54 21 01:28:56 22 01:29:04 23

01:29:11 24

01:29:11 25

- Q. Is that -- all right. Now, when was the last time you scrapped anything at Murtaugh's junkyard?
 - A. I don't remember what year. It was spring.
- **Q.** But you don't remember what year but it was in the springtime?
 - A. Yes.
- **Q.** And at some point in time you asked one of the Murtaughs, and was it young Rich or his father?
 - A. Junior.
 - Q. It was junior, about scrapping Matt Duell's van?
- **A.** I -- yeah, I flat out asked him did you scrap Matt Duell's blue van.
- Q. When you said Matt, did you say Matt Duell's van or did you say a blue van?
 - A. Matt Duell's van.
- **Q.** Matt Duell's van? Now, you mentioned that you talked to -- you sat -- you started your investigation I guess by basically being a fly on the wall in Spinners.
 - A. Correct.
- **Q.** Did you go to any other bars or any other locations and be a fly on the wall?
- **A.** Yeah. I focussed primarily three bars, Spinners, Texarcana in little Texas, and once in a while at Beck's Hotel.
 - Q. At Beck's Hotel?

- 01:29:12 1
- 01:29:12 2

3

6

- 01:29:16
- 01:29:18 4
- 01:29:20 5
- 01:29:34
- 01:29:37 7
- 01:29:39 8
- 01:29:41 9
- 01:29:44 10
- 01:29:45 11
- 01:29:47 12
- 01:29:51 13
- 01:29:54 14
- 01:29:58 15
- 01:30:02 16
- 01:30:06 17
- 01:30:10 18
- 01:30:10 19
- 01:30:12 20
- 01:30:15 **21**
- 01:30:16 22
- 01:30:18 23
- 01:30:23 24
- 01:30:27 25

- A. Yeah.
- **Q.** And that used to be located in Mexico at the corner of 104 and three north?
 - A. Yes.
- **Q.** And when you went to Texarcana, did you do the same thing you did at Spinners, just kind of be a fly on the wall and listen to people talk?
 - A. Well, I also enjoyed myself.
- **Q.** Well, fair enough, but I'm talking about with regard to your investigation.
 - A. Yes, just always my ear open.
- **Q.** Okay. Now, with regard to Beck's Hotel, did you do the same thing there, sit in the bar and listen to people talk with regards to your investigation?
 - A. Like I said, I just had my ear open, you know.
- **Q.** So you heard a lot of people talk about what their theories were about what happened to Heidi, is that accurate?
 - A. Yeah, pretty -- pretty much so.
- **Q.** A lot of people telling you about the rumors or things that they had heard?
 - A. Yep.
- **Q.** Now, the New Haven/Mexico community at the time that Heidi disappeared, I would assume that that was probably on a lot of people's minds and a lot of people talking about

01:30:29 1

01:30:31 2

01:30:33

3

6

01:30:37 4

01:30:38 5

01:30:42

01:30:44 7

01:30:46 8

01:30:55

01:31:04 **10**

01:31:09 11

01:31:15 **12**

01:31:28 13

01:31:31 14

01:31:35 **15**

01:31:41 16

01:31:42 17

01:31:43 18

01:31:44 19

01:31:58 20

01:32:01 21

01:32:04 22

01:32:20 **23**

01:32:23 24

01:32:23 **25**

it, is that accurate?

- A. Practically the only thing.
- **Q.** So fair to say you heard a lot of people telling a lot of different things, is that accurate?
- **A.** Yeah, but I paid more attention to the people that actually came forward to me.
 - Q. When you say "came forward to me," what do you mean?
- A. The first month of her disappearance, well, actually I should say like the first week or so 'cause I made it known that I'll tear this place apart, I'll -- I'll -- I'll try to find her, and I hauled away a lot of -- a lot of cars, a lot of scrap doing so, and New Haven went quiet when her cousin passed away.
 - Q. Was that her cousin Shaughnessy Searles (ph)?
- **A.** Heidi's best friend and cousin, she died on a motorcycle accident.
 - Q. Do you know her name?
 - A. No, I don't.
- **Q.** Okay. Now, when you -- besides sitting in a bar or sitting on a stool in those bars listening to people talk, did you do anything else to investigate this?
 - A. I don't know what much more there was to do but --
 - Q. Well, you got some documents.
 - A. Hum?
 - Q. You got some documents, some statements, that kind

01:32:26

1

6

01:32:26 2

01:32:32 3

01:32:36

01:32:36 **5**

01:32:40

01:33:19 7

01:33:24 8

01:33:26 9

01:33:27 10

01:33:30 11

01:33:32 **12**

01:33:33 13

01:33:36 14

01:33:36 15

01:33:37 **16**

01:33:40 17

01:33:43 18

01:33:46 **19**

01:33:49 **20**

01:33:50 **21**

01:33:56 22

01:33:58 23

01:34:05 24

01:34:05 **25**

of thing.

- A. Yeah, collecting documents, of course, yeah, becauseI -- I needed to look at these statements closely.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. And there's the answer there somewhere.
- **Q.** Now, so if I understand you correctly, Mr. Bohrer, before Gary's trial you had heard that Heidi Allen was a confidential informant, is that right?
 - A. Correct.
- **Q.** And you had heard somewhere along the line that some kind of card or documentation had been --
 - A. Before she was? No.
- Q. Not before, not before she disappeared, before Gary's trial.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you had also heard -- well, I'm going to back up just to make sure that the record is clean. Before Gary went to trial, you had heard that Heidi Allen was a confidential informant, is that accurate?
 - A. Yeah, that's what I heard.
- **Q.** Okay, and before Heidi's trial, or excuse me, Gary's trial, you had also heard that some type of documentation had been dropped in the parking lot at D&W, is that accurate?
 - A. I only know about the card.

1 01:34:07 01:34:09 2 3 01:34:13 01:34:15 4 01:34:15 5 6 01:34:16 01:34:24 7 01:34:26 8 9 01:34:30 01:34:37 10 01:34:41 11 01:34:41 12 01:34:42 13 01:34:44 14 01:34:47 15 01:34:48 16 01:34:49 17 01:34:50 18 01:34:52 19 01:34:54 **20** 01:34:58 21 01:35:07 22 01:35:11 23 01:35:14 24 01:35:15 **25**

Q. Well, that's -- I don't want -- you called it a couple different things, a narc card and a card. This card had been dropped, and you knew about that before Gary's trial?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, with regards to the investigation you have done, have you reached some kind of conclusion about whether or not Gary and Richard Thibodeau are involved?
 - A. I -- I was -- before I moved next door to him?
- **Q.** No, no, you -- you said this investigation has taken you twenty years.
 - A. Um hum.
- **Q.** And I assume in the twenty years you've developed some kind of opinion about whether or not Richard and Gary are involved.
 - A. Oh, yeah.
 - **Q.** Is that accurate?
 - A. Back and forth, back and forth.
- **Q.** Okay, what's your -- you say back and forth. What's your current opinion about whether they were involved?
- **A.** My investigation, my documents I gave to you, I'm leaving it to the mercy of the Court to get to the truth.
 - Q. Fair enough.

Judge.

MR. MOODY: I have no further questions,

1 01:35:18 2 01:35:21 3 01:35:24 01:35:39 4 01:35:39 5 01:35:49 6 01:35:50 7 8 01:35:55 01:35:55 10 01:35:58 11 01:35:58 12 01:36:01 13 01:36:02 14 01:36:05 15 01:36:06 16 01:36:08 17 01:36:12 18 01:36:21 19 01:36:25 20 01:36:26 **21** 01:36:29 **22** 01:36:31 23 01:36:34 24 01:36:36 **25**

THE COURT: Just a second please, Ms. Peebles.

MS. PEEBLES: Okay, sure.

(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceeding.)

MR. OAKES: Your Honor, before Mr. Moody -- can I talk to him one second?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceeding).

MR. MOODY: Could I ask one further question?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. OAKES: A couple.

MR. MOODY: If I may.

THE COURT: Never know a lawyer to ask just one question, Mr. Moody.

MR. MOODY: That's the most of the third year of law school is how to ask one question several times.

Q. Mr. Bohrer, were you personally involved in any way in the abduction of or kidnapping of Heidi Allen?

A. No.

Q. Do you have any idea whether -- any -- who was, personally know who was?

A. I have my theories.

Q. Okay, and you said you'd leave that to the Court, but you have no personal knowledge of whether James Steen

01:36:39 1

01:36:41 2

01:36:42 3

01:36:45 4

01:36:46 **5**

01:36:46 6

01:36:49 7

01:36:50 8

01:36:50

01:36:52 10

01:36:53 11

01:36:54 **12**

01:37:03 13

01:37:09 14

01:37:10 **15**

01:37:17 16

01:37:18 17

01:37:19 18

01:37:47 19

01:37:50 20

01:37:51 21

01:37:51 22

01:37:54 23

01:37:55 24

01:37:56 **25**

was involved?

A. No.

Q. Do you have any personal knowledge whether Roger Breckenridge was involved?

A. No.

Q. Do you have any personal knowledge whether Gary Thibodeau was involved?

A. No.

Q. Do you have any personal knowledge whether Richard Thibodeau was involved?

A. No.

Q. Thank you.

MR. OAKES: Your Honor, I think that was seven.

THE COURT: Yeah. Ms. Peebles, go ahead.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. PEEBLES:

Q. Mr. Bohrer, when I was questioning you yesterday, I gave you a document from a statement that you gave to the FBI. Do you remember that?

A. Yes.

Q. And you had a chance to look at that over the lunch hour and you read through that?

A. Yes.

Q. And isn't it true that you told the FBI that the

01:37:59 **1** 01:38:03 **2**

01:38:07 3

01:38:13 4

01:38:16 5

01:38:18 6

01:38:19 7

01:38:22

01:38:22

01:38:26 **10**

01:38:29 **11**

01:38:31 12

01:38:34 13

01:38:36 14

01:38:39 **15**

01:38:41 16

01:38:45 17

01:38:54 18

01:38:58 19

01:39:00 20

01:39:02 21

01:39:06 **22**

01:39:09 23

01:39:10 24

01:39:11 **25**

Thibodeaus were involved and it was over drugs, isn't that what you told the FBI in 1995?

- A. I believe it was put down as theory.
- **Q.** So you theorized that the Thibodeaus were involved in 1995 and that's what you told the FBI?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And you told them it was a drug motive?
 - A. Yes.
- **Q.** Now, I want to get back to that index card that we were talking about earlier and then Mr. Moody had asked you some questions about that. If I remember correctly, you said that you were made aware of that the day the card was found in the parking lot, is that correct?
 - A. Yes, somebody --
 - Q. Someone found it?
- A. Well, somebody told me that did you hear the latest news. I says what happened now. And they says Christine found a card in the parking lot, and immediately I thought that was very strange.
- **Q.** And you learned like right around the time that the card was found in the parking lot. I don't want to put words in your mouth, but that's what you're testifying here to today, correct?
 - A. Say that again.
 - Q. You found out about it the day or shortly -- the day

01:39:18 1

01:39:19 2

01:39:20

3

01:39:26 4

01:39:30 **5**

01:39:30 6

01:39:30 7

01:39:33

01:39:35

01:39:40 10

01:39:40 11

01:39:41 **12**

01:39:44 13

01:39:51 14

01:39:53 **15**

01:39:58 16

01:39:58 17

01:39:59 18

01:40:02 **19**

01:40:04 **20**

01:40:05 **21**

01:40:11 22

01:40:12 23

01:40:13 24

01:40:16 25

it was found.

- A. Correct.
- **Q.** Now, I want to ask you some questions about Beck's motel or hotel. You were talking about that on cross-examination?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. How often did you go to the Beck's Hotel?
 - A. Not very often.
- **Q.** Well, could you -- can you give me an estimate as to how often?
 - A. Once or twice a month maybe.
 - Q. And that's back in 1994?
 - A. Not at all in ninety-four I don't believe.
 - Q. Oh, you didn't go there at all in 1994?
- **A.** Nope. Ninety-four I was primarily Spinners and Texarcana.
- **Q.** Okay, so when you were going to the Beck's motel, you said you were going there to conduct your investigation, that's what you testified to on your cross-examination.
- **A.** Well, any -- any time, I was twenty-four seven listening.
 - Q. Okay, but I'm talking --
- **A.** If I happen to go to Beck's, that's -- then it was there. There's a bar across the street where I think I

01:40:25 1

01:40:30 2

01:40:31 3

01:40:32 4

01:40:37 **5**

01:40:38 6

01:40:42 7

01:40:43

01:40:43

01:40:45 10

01:40:47 11

01:40:49 12

01:40:51 13

01:40:53 14

01:41:00 15

01:41:04 **16**

01:41:10 17

01:41:18 18

01:41:19 19

01:41:23 20

01:41:24 21

01:41:26 22

01:41:27 23

01:41:29 24

01:41:31 **25**

first met Richard maybe.

- Q. Now when you were at --
- A. Before all this.
- Q. -- Beck's, did you ever meet Brett Law at Beck's motel?
- **A.** No, I -- I didn't know Brett until after Heidi's disappearance.
 - Q. How did you --
 - A. And I've never met him personally.
- Q. How did you -- how did you -- what -- did you ever meet him, ever meet Brett Law?
 - A. I don't believe so.
 - Q. How did you know who he was?
- A. From the newspaper coverage, TV coverage, the story behind what happened to Heidi that day and the fact that he was there in the morning when she opened, before she was abducted, and he left. I guess he said he had coffee and donuts with her.
- **Q.** Do you know what time Brett Law left the D&W that morning?

MR. MOODY: I'm going to object, Judge. How was the personal knowledge here?

MS. PEEBLES: Well, I'm trying to find out.

THE COURT: Can you ask him if he has any personal knowledge of when he left.

- 1 01:41:34 2 01:41:37 3 01:41:38 01:41:41 4 01:41:43 5 01:41:44 6 01:41:49 7 8 01:41:49 01:41:52 9 01:42:02 10 01:42:09 11 01:42:15 **12** 01:42:23 13 01:42:28 14 01:42:30 15 01:42:30 16 01:42:34 17 01:42:38 18 01:42:40 19 01:42:41 20 01:42:44 21 01:42:44 22 01:42:46 23 01:42:48 24 01:42:56 **25**
- **Q.** Do you have personal knowledge as to when Brett Law left the D&W that morning?
- **A.** Personal knowledge? Does that mean I was standing outside the store and see him leave?
 - Q. Not necessarily.
- **A.** I believe it's a matter of record, that's my knowledge.
 - Q. Well, do you know? Do you know a time?
- **A.** I'm fuzzy on the times. Any times and dates, it's well documented in statements, so if it's on a statement, that's the -- that's the data that I stuck with.
- **Q.** You indicated that you were collecting these reports and studying them, that -- the reports pertaining to eyewitness accounts of what took place that morning?
 - A. Correct.
- **Q.** Now you indicated to Investigator Pietroski and Investigator Johnson that Bivens' first statement was the closest to the truth.
 - A. Correct.
- **Q.** And you knew that that was closest to the truth, correct?
 - **A.** For several reasons.
 - **Q.** Can you explain what those reasons are?
- **A.** Primarily the incident with the red truck and who I saw in the red truck directed my ideas as to who is really

01:43:09

1

01:43:11 2

01:43:14 3

01:43:19 4

01:43:20

01:43:22 6

01:43:22 7

01:43:25

01:43:28

01:43:30 **10**

01:43:32 11

01:43:32 **12**

01:43:36 13

01:43:37 14

01:43:39 **15**

01:43:41 **16**

01:43:42 17

01:43:46 18

01:43:47 19

01:43:50 **20**

01:43:53 21

01:43:55 22

01:43:57 23

01:43:59 24

01:44:00 25

behind it.

Q. Does Mr. Bivens say anything about a red truck in his statement, his first statement?

A. Bivens?

Q. Bivens, yep.

A. No.

Q. What does Mr. Bivens say in his first statement about what he observed that morning?

MR. MOODY: Judge, I'm going to object. I think we're getting a little bit in hearsay and far afield.

THE COURT: It's definitely hearsay. It's getting a little far afield.

THE WITNESS: No, it's in his statement.

THE COURT: No, you can't testify to what Mr. Bivens said. It's hearsay.

Q. You mentioned Shaughnessy (ph). Who is -- who is Shaughnessy?

A. Well, the name rings a bell.

Q. Well, didn't you testify just on cross-examination about a cousin of -- or --

A. Oh, okay, that's her name?

MR. MOODY: Judge, I'm going to object. I think he said on cross he didn't know her name.

THE COURT: He didn't know her name.

01:44:02

3

6

01:44:02 2

01:44:09

01:44:12 4

01:44:15 **5**

01:44:19

01:44:26 7

01:44:34 8

01:44:41 9

01:44:42 10

01:44:48 11

01:44:49 12

01:44:51 13

01:44:53 **14**

01:44:54 15

01:44:57 **16**

01:45:00 17

01:45:01 18

01:45:01 19

01:45:04 20

01:45:08 21

01:45:08 22

01:45:12 23

01:45:13 24

01:45:35 25

Sustained.

Q. Well, pardon me. Why don't you tell me again what you knew about the cousin of Heidi Allen who was killed in a motorcycle accident.

A. Prior to the motorcycle accident, two women approached me. I believe one of them was the cousin and the other one was a girlfriend of the cousin. They both said that they were close to Heidi and they fed me some information.

Q. And do you know whether or not they were using any type of drugs?

MR. MOODY: I'm going to object, Judge.

Relevance, beyond the scope of cross and --

THE COURT: Beyond the scope. There's no foundation if he has any knowledge of them using drugs.

- Q. What type of relationship did you have with Heidi Allen's cousin?
 - A. None.
 - Q. And where did you meet her for the first time?
- **A.** What we're talking about is a person that came forward.
- **Q.** Okay, but can you please tell me when this person came forward?
 - A. It was sometime after -- definitely after Wednesday.
 - Q. Wednesday of Heidi Allen?

1 01:45:36 01:45:41 2 01:45:46 3 01:45:47 4 01:45:50 5 01:45:53 6 7 01:45:54 01:45:58 8 01:45:59 9 01:46:01 10 01:46:07 11 01:46:10 **12** 01:46:11 13 01:46:12 14 01:46:14 15 01:46:16 16 01:46:19 17 01:46:21 18 01:46:26 19 01:46:27 20 01:46:28 21 01:46:31 22 01:46:33 23 01:46:33 24 01:46:34 **25**

A. Yeah, the Wednesday after Heidi disappeared, and before she died on the motorcycle accident which I think was the following -- that Friday.

Q. Okay, so in between the time Heidi Allen disappeared and her motorcycle accident, she came knocking on your door and said I have some information or --

A. No, they approached -- they approached me public, in the public setting.

Q. Can you -- where was the public -- where did that happen?

MR. MOODY: Judge, is there any relevance to this at all?

MS. PEEBLES: I'm following up. He brought it up on cross-examination and it's not beyond the scope.

THE COURT: Beyond the scope, he did bring it up, but got to bring something to the point of relevance soon please.

Q. Did she confront you or ask you about Heidi Allen's disappearance?

A. No.

Q. Tell me what she -- why -- why would she pick you out to go to you?

MR. MOODY: Objection.

A. She heard --

THE COURT: Stop.

01:46:35

01:46:36

3

9

01:46:40 4

01:46:36

01:46:42 5

01:46:49

01:46:59 7

01:47:04 8

01:47:07

01:47:10 10

01:47:23 11

01:47:30 12

01:47:36 13

01:47:47 14

01:47:53 15

01:47:55 **16**

01:47:59 17

01:48:02 18

01:48:08 19

01:48:10 20

01:48:12 21

01:48:14 22

01:48:16 **23**

01:48:17 24

01:48:20 **25**

MR. MOODY: Speculation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. Well, tell the Court how it was that you came into contact with Heidi Allen's cousin.
- A. It seems to me it was a parking lot setting. I don't remember if it was Beck's or Spinners. No, it was in New Haven I think. I don't know. I don't remember where, but I believe it was a parking lot setting.
 - **Q.** How long was this meeting?
- A. It was brief, but she was saying that -- well, she -- she asked me, she says you're looking into Heidi's disappearance aren't you? And I was surprised so soon somebody already knew, and I said yes, and then I think the girlfriend was a little impatient and she started rambling on about Matt Duell.
- Q. So the Wednesday after the Sunday when Heidi Allen came up missing --
- **A.** Sometime after that, some day after that, before she died in a motorcycle accident.
- **Q.** And she approaches you and then two weeks later she's dead on a motorcycle, is that right?

MR. MOODY: Judge, that assumes a lot of facts not in evidence.

THE COURT: I'll sustain that.

Q. So she approaches you in the parking lot shortly

01:	48	: 24	1
01:	48	:26	2
01:	48	:27	3
01:	48	:29	4
01:	48	:30	5
01:	48	:32	6
01:	48	: 37	7
01:	48	:40	8
01:	48	: 41	9
01:	48	: 43	10
01:	48	: 43	11
01:	48	: 45	12
01:	48	: 49	13
01:	48	:50	14
01:	49	: 22	15
01:	49	:22	16
01:	49	:23	17
01:	49	:25	18
01:	49	:26	19
01:	49	:27	20
01:	49	:29	21
	01: 01: 01: 01: 01: 01: 01: 01: 01: 01:	01:48 01:48 01:48 01:48 01:48 01:48 01:48 01:48 01:48 01:49 01:49 01:49 01:49	01:48:24 01:48:26 01:48:27 01:48:29 01:48:30 01:48:32 01:48:40 01:48:41 01:48:43 01:48:43 01:48:43 01:48:45 01:48:22 01:49:22 01:49:22 01:49:23 01:49:25 01:49:26 01:49:27

01:49:31 22

01:49:31 23

01:49:33 24

01:49:33 25

Michael Bohrer - Redirect after Heidi Allen disappears? A. Um hum. Q. She didn't know you, is that your testimony? A. No. Somebody directed her to me. Do you know who that somebody was? Q. No, she didn't -- I didn't really ask. Α. Q. Two weeks after your meeting with her she's dead on a motorcycle? MR. MOODY: Objection, Judge. Can we approach for a second? THE COURT: Yes. We don't have a date certainly when this young lady passed away for one thing. (Whereupon, there was an off the record discussion at the bench.) THE COURT: Do you want to place the conference on the record or not? MS. PEEBLES: No, I'm fine, Judge. I don't have any further questions. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. MOODY: Nothing further from the People,

Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Bohrer, you can step down, sir. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

1 01:49:34 2 01:49:36 3 01:49:38 01:49:50 4 01:49:52 01:50:03 6 7 8 01:50:05 01:52:11 9 01:52:14 10 11 01:52:19 12 01:52:19 13 01:52:23 14 01:52:23 15 01:52:27 16 01:52:31 17 01:52:32 18 01:52:34 19 01:52:37 20 01:52:40 21 01:52:40 22 01:52:41 23 01:52:43 24 01:52:45 **25**

THE COURT: Ms. Peebles, your next witness?

MS. PEEBLES: Yes. We would call Danielle

Babcock. Judge, she's handicapped in a wheelchair so I

guess I should have let the Court know. She's in a

wheelchair.

THE COURT: Will counsel please approach?

(Whereupon, there was an off the record discussion at the bench).

THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand, place your left hand on the Bible.

D A N I E L L E B A B C O C K, Called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please state and spell your name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Danielle Babcock.

D-A-N-I-E-L-L-E, B-A-B-C-O-C-K.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

THE COURT: Ms. Babcock, before you go any further, I'm going to ask you if you consent to have your testimony either video or audio taped.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: You do consent?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Everything we -- we have a stenographer, but we also need verbal responses to

1 01:52:47 2 01:52:48 3 01:52:48 01:52:51 4 01:52:54 5 01:52:55 6 7 01:52:57 8 01:52:59 01:53:00 9 10 01:53:01 11 01:53:02 12 01:53:05 13 01:53:07 14 01:53:11 15 01:53:11 16 01:53:12 17 01:53:13 18 01:53:16 19 01:53:18 20 01:53:22 **21** 01:53:24 22 01:53:28 23 01:53:30 24

01:53:31 **25**

questions, okay?

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: And you seem very soft spoken, so make sure you speak loudly enough so the back wall can hear you, okay?

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: This is your chance to yell in

court, okay?

THE WITNESS: Okay.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. PEEBLES:

Q. Danielle, how old are you?

A. Thirty.

Q. Did you grow up in the Oswego County area?

A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. Your entire life?

A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. How long have you been in a wheelchair?

A. Since 2002.

Q. And you were how old when you had your accident?

A. Seventeen going on eighteen.

Q. Before your accident were you employed?

A. Yes.

Q. Where did you work?

A. I worked at Medspars and East Coast Resorts.